



REGIONAL TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

April 12, 2006 – 9:00 a.m. – 11:00 a.m.

Community Planning Association

Notice: This packet contains only the documents listed with an asterisk (*) in the agenda. The entire packet, including all attachments is available at <http://www.compassidaho.org/committees/rtac/agenda04126.pdf>. The online document requires Adobe Acrobat to read it; COMPASS' homepage <http://www.compassidaho.org> contains a free download link if you need a copy. The online document includes bookmarks at the left of the screen that are named to correspond to agenda items that have attachments. Clicking on a bookmark will take you directly to the named document.

AGENDA

I. AGENDA ADDITIONS/CHANGES

9:00

II. OPEN DISCUSSION/ANNOUNCEMENTS

9:05

III. CONSENT AGENDA

9:10 * A. Approve March 15, 2006 RTAC Meeting Minutes

IV. ACTION ITEMS

9:15 * A. Recommend Approval of Revision 2 of the FY 2006 Unified Planning Work Program and Budget Jeanne Urlezaga
Staff will review the proposed modifications to the work Program and budget to maximize available funds and address other financial issues.

9:40 * B. Recommend Release of **Communities in Motion Plan for Public Comment.** Charles Trainor
Staff will present the draft plan and key technical comments.

V. INFORMATION/DISCUSSION ITEMS

10:00 * A. Review Scope for the Annual Monitoring Report Charles Trainor
Implementing Communities in Motion will require an annual report on what has been done in prior years compared to the plan's goals and objectives.

10:15 * B. Discussion of COMPASS Review of Local Comprehensive Plans for Consistency with **Communities in Motion.** Patricia Nilsson
A task in the draft Communities in Motion plan directs COMPASS to establish a subcommittee of the Regional Technical Advisory Committee to evaluate comprehensive plan amendments for their consistency with the vision of Communities in Motion and Blueprint for Good Growth in Ada County. Staff will review elements of a proposed review protocol.

800 S. Industry Way, Ste 100

Meridian, ID 83642

P. 208.855.2558

F. 208.855.2559

www.compassidaho.org

VI. STATUS REPORTS

- A. *Transportation Status Report*
- B. *Staff Activity Report*
- *C. *Studies Coordination Report*
- *D. *Attendance Sheet*

VII. OTHER

10:50 A. *Next Meeting: Wednesday, May 10 2006, 9:00 a.m. at COMPASS.*

Upcoming Meetings: *June 14, 2006*

July 12, 2006

August 23, 2006

VII. ADJOURNMENT

*Enclosures

Times are approximate. Agenda is subject to change.

T:\FY06\800 Maintenance\820 Committee Support\RTAC\Agendas\agenda041206.doc





REGIONAL TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MARCH 15, 2006

COMMUNITY PLANNING ASSOCIATION

MINUTES

ATTENDEES:

Lisa Bachman, City of Kuna
Mary Berent, City of Middleton, *Vice Chair*
Kevin Bittner, Valley Regional Transit
Jon Cecil, Capital City Development Corporation (*for Pam Sheldon*)
Marje Ellmaker, Mayor, City of Notus
Stephen Freiburger, Nampa Highway District #1 (*for Jim Buffington*)
Karen Gallagher, City of Boise
Dean Gunderson, Ada County
Leonard Herr, Department of Environmental Quality
Leon Jensen, Canyon County Development Services
Don Kostelec, Ada County Highway District
Shawn Martin, Ada County Highway District
Paul Raymond, City of Nampa (*via telephone conference*)
Christy Richardson, City of Garden City
Jessica Shine, Public Participation Committee
Steve Siddoway, City of Meridian, *Chair*
Sue Sullivan, Idaho Transportation Department-District 3
Toni Tisdale, COMPASS (*Ex-Officio*)

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Wendel Bigham, Meridian School District Transportation
Gordon Law, City of Caldwell
Tim Richard, Canyon Highway District #4
Nichoel Baird Spencer, City of Eagle
Dennis Stegenga, City of Star
Sarah Stobaugh, Boise Independent School District

OTHERS PRESENT:

John Cunningham, COMPASS
Matt Ellsworth, City of Meridian
Patricia Nilsson, COMPASS
Erv Olen, Parametrix
Nicole Prehoda, COMPASS
Charles Trainor, COMPASS
Debbie Winchar, COMPASS

CALL TO ORDER

Chair Steve Siddoway called the meeting to order at 9:05 a.m.

Introductions were made of all attendees.

AGENDA ADDITIONS/CHANGES

Chair Siddoway asked for any additions or changes to the agenda. None were requested.

OPEN DISCUSSION/ANNOUNCEMENTS

Patricia Nilsson stated that meetings are being scheduled in May (the beginning of the public involvement process) regarding the US 20/26 and SH 44 Corridor Preservation Studies. The Corridor Studies involve Eagle Road in Ada County to I-84 in Canyon County. Sign-up lists were distributed to obtain the names of developers, property owners, motorists, and agencies personnel who would be interested in participating in special User Group meetings.

Toni Tisdale commented that the TMA Balancing process will take place in April for the Nampa Urbanized Area and the Transportation Management Area in Boise. If there are projects that are scheduled from 2007 – 2010 which need additional funding, staff should be notified as soon as possible.

Sue Sullivan stated that Alana Hansen's last day at the Idaho Transportation Department (ITD) is March 15. Also, ITD is having difficulty in controlling access in the region and will need the Land Use Authority's help regarding access management.

Leonard Herr announced that a grant has become available for biodiesel infrastructure development. It will be easy to apply for this grant because it is locally controlled. For more information, contact Leonard or the Idaho Energy Department, the agency responsible for handling the grant.

CONSENT AGENDA

A. Approve February 22, 2006 Minutes

Dean Gunderson moved and Jon Cecil seconded to approve the February 22, 2006 minutes as written. There being no further discussion, the motion was unanimously approved.

ACTION ITEMS

A. Recommend Approval of the Preliminary FY 2007-2011 Transportation Improvement Program Priorities

Dean Gunderson moved and Mary Berent seconded to recommend approval of the Preliminary FY 2007-2011 Transportation Improvement Program Priorities. There being no further discussion, the motion was unanimously approved.

B. Recommend Approval of the 2030 Planning Functional Classification Map for Ada and Canyon Counties

Toni Tisdale presented the revised 2030 Planning Functional Classification Map for Northern Ada County and Canyon County.

Discussion:

The Committee suggested several changes to the map and definitions:

Ada County Map and Change List:

- 8th Street between Bannock and Main – reclassify as a minor arterial
- Gap between Capital and 9th – classify as a principal arterial
- Line 11, Chinden Blvd (US 20/26), delete the word "Urban"

- Lines 31 & 32, Kuna Mora Road, change "Principal Arterial" to "Expressway"
- Line 45, McDermott Interchange, either change to McDermott "Area" or add a footnote indicating "interchange position study"
- Line 46, McDermott Road, change "Expressway" to "Freeway" and delete "Urban"
- Line 56, SH 16, change "Expressway" to "Freeway" and delete "Urban"

Canyon County Map and Change List:

- Line 30, McDermott Road, change "Principal Arterial" to "Expressway" or add a footnote indicating this study it will determine the classification
- Expand classification of South McDermott Road and Kuna Mora Road to "Expressway"

After further discussion, Chair Siddoway asked for a motion recommending approval of the 2030 Planning Functional Classification Map. *Stephen Freiburger moved and Dean Gunderson seconded to recommend approval of the 2030 Planning Functional Classification Map for Ada and Canyon Counties with the following changes: deleting the word "Urban" from "Urban Interchanges" and adding a footnote that McDermott south of the Interstate and Kuna Mora Road will be subject to further study for consideration as an Expressway. Motion passed 22 to 6 (total number of votes).*

Discussion

Sue Sullivan made an additional motion and Paul Raymond seconded to add cross hatching to the approved footnote, depicting the area near McDermott from I-84 to Kuna Mora as a study area, together with deletion of the word "Urban" from "Urban Interchange." Motion was unanimously approved.

Karen Gallagher moved and Stephen Freiburger seconded to amend the definitions of Functional Classification:

- "Expressways permit through traffic flow through urban areas and between major **regional** activity centers."
- "Expressways are similar to an interstate, **with grade separated interchanges** . . . "
- "Principal arterials serve the major **regional** centers of activity of a metropolitan area, the **higher** traffic volume corridors, and the **longer trips while carrying a higher** proportion of"
- "Minor arterials interconnect with and augment the principal arterial system and provide service to trips of **shorter** length at (**delete, somewhat**) a lower level of"
- "This classification includes all arterials not included in a higher classification and places"

Motion was unanimously approved.

Don Kostelec suggested adding procedural language to request COMPASS to incorporate the Functional Classification update process sooner in future long-range transportation updates in order to properly incorporate into corridor analysis and prioritization.

C. Recommend Amendment to the FY 2006-2010 Northern Ada County and Nampa Urbanized Area Transportation Improvement Programs

Don Kostelec moved and Dean Gunderson seconded to recommend the amendment to the FY 2006-2010 Northern Ada County and Nampa Urbanized Area Transportation Improvement Programs. There being no further discussion, the motion was unanimously approved.

INFORMATION/DISCUSSION ITEMS

A. Update on Communities in Motion

Charles Trainor gave a brief review of the plan document and guidelines for Committee review. The deadline for receiving comments is March 23, 2006, 3:00 p.m. In April, staff will ask the COMPASS Board to accept the draft plan for public release.

B. Status of I-84 Alternatives Analysis

John Cunningham gave a brief overview of the I-84 Alternatives Analysis and draft Request for Qualifications.

Discussion and suggested changes:

- Amend title to read "Rail/I-84 Corridor Alternatives Analysis"
- Under Administrative & Budget, add dollar amounts to Funding column for each Activity
- Page 1, Overview, amend phrase ". . . adopt this plan in the *summer* of 2006. . . ."
- Add language which describes the alternatives to be studied

C. Status of U.S. 20/26 and S.H. 44 Corridor Studies

Patricia Nilsson presented a briefing of the current issues related to U.S. 20/26 and S.H. 44 Corridor Studies. The Corridor Preservation Committee met on Friday, March 10. All jurisdictions, except for the City of Star, were represented. Public Involvement Scoping meetings have been scheduled for May 10 and 11. Specific information will be forwarded to RTAC. A process is starting to look at right-of-way preservation and recommend a protocol to effectively preserve right-of-way through the land development process.

OTHER

A. Next Meeting: Wednesday, April 12, 2006, 9:00 a.m., at COMPASS.

Upcoming Meetings: May 10, 2006
June 14, 2006
July 12, 2006

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 11:03 a.m.



MEMORANDUM

TO: Regional Technical Advisory Committee

FROM: Jeanne Urlezaga, Director of Operations

DATE: April 6, 2006

RE: Recommend Board Approval of Revision 2 of the FY2006 Unified Planning Work Program and Budget

ACTION REQUESTED:

Recommend Board approval of Revision 2 of the FY2006 Unified Planning Work Program and Budget.

BACKGROUND:

Federal metropolitan planning rules require the Community Planning Association to produce and maintain several documents:

1. Unified Planning Work Program and Budget;
2. Transportation Improvement Program;
3. Long Range Transportation Plan;
4. Air Quality Conformity (Ada County only); and
5. Congestion Management System.

Each of these documents is periodically amended as necessary to accommodate changes in staff activities, revenues and expenses, transportation projects, etc. These amendments are usually accomplished through a Board resolution with subsequent distribution of the approved resolution and documents to the appropriate funding agencies. Prior to presentation to the Board, proposed modifications of these documents are brought to the Regional Technical Advisory Committee and Finance Committee for recommendation to the Board.

Revision 2 of the FY2006 Unified Planning Work Program and Budget is proposed for the following reasons:

1. To include additional Consolidated Planning Grant revenue for FY2004, 2005, and 2006 and related expenses;
2. To include the Association of Metropolitan Planning Organizations (AMPO) Grant dollars to conduct the Access Management Study and create new project number.

The Finance Committee reviewed and recommended Board adoption at the April 5, 2006 meeting. The items listed below on the Recommended Changes for Revision 2 summarize the recommended adjustments.

STATUS:

Staff requests the Regional Technical Advisory Committee review and recommend Board adoption of Revision 2 of the FY2006 Unified Planning Work Program and Budget.

Recommended Changes to Revision 2:

<i>#</i>	<i>Revenue Adjustment</i>	<i>\$ Change</i>	<i>Expense Adjustment</i>	<i>\$ Change</i>
1	Add Consolidated Planning Grant final obligation amounts. FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2006	\$ 43,518 \$ 195,218 \$ 267,246		
2	Add AMPO Grant revenue – Treasure Valley Access Management Note: Match for grant is 100% Member in-kind labor- \$11,423 COMPASS labor - \$10,327	\$ 28,250	Add related expense to Program Number 655-AMPO Treasure Valley Access Management Professional Service Agreements Printing Meeting Support	\$ 5,200 \$ 1,000 \$ 300
3	Zero remainder Legislative Services Revenue	(\$ 37,439)		
4			Complete Salary Survey Adjustments: Salary & Fringe Add Demographer Position: Salary & Fringe	\$ 22,967 \$ 37,326
5			Add Consultant support to Program Number 620 - Growth and Transportation Monitoring Professional Service Agreements	\$ 25,000
6			Add Consultant support to new Program Number 757-Functional Classification Typologies Professional Service Agreements	\$ 245,000
7			Add Training / Education dollars to Program Number 801-Staff Development Professional Service Agreements	\$ 10,000
8			Establish a building fund for purchase instead of lease Program Number 995 – Building Fund	\$ 150,000
9				
	<i>TOTALS</i>	\$496,793		\$ 496,793

Attachments (9)

COMMUNITY PLANNING ASSOCIATION OF SOUTHWEST IDAHO
 FY2006 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM AND BUDGET - REVISION 2
 REVENUE AND EXPENSE SUMMARY

REVENUE	FY2006 Revision 1	FY2006 Revision 2
GENERAL MEMBERSHIP		
Ada County	160,408	160,408
Ada County Highway District	160,408	160,408
Association of Canyon County Highway Districts	31,190	31,190
Boise City	86,064	86,064
Caldwell City	21,753	21,753
Canyon County	105,452	105,452
Eagle City	7,617	7,617
Garden City	4,924	4,924
Kuna City	4,376	4,376
Meridian City	23,191	23,191
Middleton City	2,583	2,583
Nampa City	44,771	44,771
Notus City	334	334
Parma City	1,172	1,172
Star City	1,252	1,252
Subtotal	655,495	655,495
SPECIAL MEMBERSHIP		
Boise State University	6,600	6,600
Capital City Development Corporation	6,600	6,600
Department of Environmental Quality	6,600	6,600
Idaho Transportation Department	6,600	6,600
Independent School District of Boise City	6,600	6,600
Joint School District #2	6,600	6,600
Valley Regional Transit	6,600	6,600
Subtotal	46,200	46,200
GRANT AND SPECIAL		
FHWA/FTA - Consolidated Planning Grant Ada - FY2004	-	32,195 1
FHWA/FTA - Consolidated Planning Grant Canyon - FY2004	-	11,323 1
FHWA/FTA - Consolidated Planning Grant Ada - FY2005	-	144,423 1
FHWA/FTA - Consolidated Planning Grant Canyon - FY2005	-	50,795 1
FHWA/FTA - Consolidated Planning Grant Ada - FY2006	596,303	794,012 1
FHWA/FTA - Consolidated Planning Grant Canyon - FY2006	209,729	279,266 1
STP TMA - Key #8697, FY06, Transportation Planning, Ada	214,230	214,230
STP TMA - Key #8960, Rail Corridor Feasibility Study	277,980	277,980
Valley Regional Transit-local match for Key #8960	22,020	22,020
STP-U Key #7702 - Rail Corridor Feasibility Study, carry-over	7,945	7,945
STP-U Key #9193, FY06, Transportation Planning, Canyon	48,925	48,925
STP-S Key #7826, FY05, US 20/26 Corridor Preservation Study, carry-over	739,516	739,516
ITD-local match for Key#7826, US 20/26 Corridor Preservation Study	58,580	58,580
STP-S Key #7827, FY05, SH44 Corridor Preservation Study, carry-over	1,374,973	1,374,973
ITD-local match for Key #7827, SH44 Corridor Preservation Study	108,917	108,917
STP-U Key #9660, Middleton Road Connections Study	47,768	47,768
City of Nampa-local match for Key #9660, Middleton Road Connections Study	3,784	3,784
FTA5307(04) - Mode Choice Model	28,894	28,894
FTA - AMPO Treasure Valley Mgt. Strategy Grant	-	28,250 2
Subtotal	3,739,563	4,273,795
OTHER		
ITD-Communities in Motion	202,024	202,024
Carry-over local dollars from Fund Balance	49,661	49,661
In-Kind Match - AMPO Grant	-	11,423 2
Data Dissemination	12,000	12,000
Interest Income	7,000	7,000
Mapping and Miscellaneous	7,500	7,500
Legislative Services Revenue	37,439	- 3
Subtotal	315,624	289,608
COMPASS REVENUE	4,756,882	5,265,098

t:\FY06\9000Operations\Budget\Rev2.xls

EXPENSE	FY2006 Revision 1	FY2006 Revision 2
SALARY, FRINGE & CONTINGENCY		
Salary	903,918	950,751 2, 4
Fringe	340,232	353,692 2, 4
Salary Contingency (Overtime and Bonus)	13,000	13,000
Sick Time Trade	10,000	10,000
Subtotal	1,267,150	1,327,443
INDIRECT OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE		
COMPASS	328,000	328,000
DIRECT OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE		
610, SH44 Corridor Preservation Study	1,393,504	1,393,504
611, US 20/26 Corridor Preservation Study	748,322	748,322
612, Middleton Road Connections Study	48,276	48,276
620, Growth and Transportation System Monitoring	1,000	26,000 5
631, Rail Corridor Feasibility Study	307,945	307,945
647, Land Use Model Demonstration Project	15,000	15,000
653, Communications and Education	38,500	38,500
655, AMPO Treasure Valley Mgt. Strategy	-	17,923 2
661, Communities in Motion	285,556	285,556
685, Transportation Improvement Program	3,000	3,000
701, General Membership Services	10,000	10,000
757, Functional Classification Typologies	-	245,000 6
760, Legislative Services	193,100	193,100
801, Staff Development	20,000	30,000 7
820, Committee Support	5,000	5,000
836, Model Maintenance	44,394	44,394
842, Congestion Management System Maintenance	5,000	5,000
860, Geographic Information System Maintenance	2,200	2,200
990, Direct Operations and Maintenance	40,935	40,935
995, Building Fund	-	150,000 8
Subtotal	3,161,732	3,609,655
COMPASS EXPENSE	4,756,882	5,265,098

COMPASS SUMMARY		
TOTAL REVENUE	4,756,882	5,265,098
TOTAL EXPENSES	4,756,882	5,265,098
CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	0	0

COMMUNITY PLANNING ASSOCIATION OF SOUTHWEST IDAHO
 FY2006 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM AND BUDGET - REVISION 2
 REVENUE BY FUNDING SOURCE

	FY2006 REVISION 1				FY2006 REVISION 2			
	Ada	Canyon	Regional	Total	Ada	Canyon	Regional	Total
General Membership								
Ada County	160,408			160,408	160,408			160,408
Ada County Highway District	160,408			160,408	160,408			160,408
Association of Canyon County Highway Districts		31,190		31,190		31,190		31,190
Boise City	86,064			86,064	86,064			86,064
Caldwell City		21,753		21,753		21,753		21,753
Canyon County		105,452		105,452		105,452		105,452
Eagle City	7,617			7,617	7,617			7,617
Garden City	4,924			4,924	4,924			4,924
Kuna City	4,376			4,376	4,376			4,376
Meridian City	23,191			23,191	23,191			23,191
Middleton City		2,583		2,583		2,583		2,583
Nampa City		44,771		44,771		44,771		44,771
Notus City		334		334		334		334
Parma City		1,172		1,172		1,172		1,172
Star City	1,252			1,252	1,252			1,252
Transfer Local Dollars to Regional Projects	-225,172	-126,766	351,938	0	-432,037	-25,955	457,992	0
<i>Sub-total</i>	<i>223,068</i>	<i>80,489</i>	<i>351,938</i>	<i>655,495</i>	<i>16,203</i>	<i>181,300</i>	<i>457,992</i>	<i>655,495</i>
Special Membership								
Boise State University			6,600	6,600			6,600	6,600
Capital City Development Corporation	6,600			6,600	6,600			6,600
Department of Environmental Quality			6,600	6,600			6,600	6,600
Idaho Transportation Department			6,600	6,600			6,600	6,600
Independent School District of Boise City	6,600			6,600	6,600			6,600
Joint School District #2	6,600			6,600	6,600			6,600
Valley Regional Transit			6,600	6,600			6,600	6,600
<i>Sub-total</i>	<i>19,800</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>26,400</i>	<i>46,200</i>	<i>19,800</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>26,400</i>	<i>46,200</i>
FHWA/FTA Consolidated Planning Grant								
FY2004					32,195	11,323		43,518
FY2005					144,423	50,795		195,218
FY2006	596,303	209,729		806,032	794,012	279,266		1,073,278
Transfer Consolidated Planning Grant Dollars to Regional Projects	-436,788	-127,911	564,699	0	-521,738	-153,139	674,877	0
<i>Sub-total</i>	<i>159,515</i>	<i>81,818</i>	<i>564,699</i>	<i>806,032</i>	<i>448,892</i>	<i>188,245</i>	<i>674,877</i>	<i>1,312,014</i>
Surface Transportation Program								
State- Key#7826 SH 20/26 Corridor Preservation Study			739,515	739,515			739,515	739,515
State- Key#7827 SH 44 Corridor Preservation Study			1,374,973	1,374,973			1,374,973	1,374,973
TMA- Key#8697-FY06 - Ada County			214,230	214,230			214,230	214,230
TMA- Key#8960 Rail Corridor Feasibility Study			277,980	277,980			277,980	277,980
Urban-Key #7702-Rail Corridor Feasibility Study			7,945	7,945			7,945	7,945
Urban-Key#9193-FY06 Canyon County			48,925	48,925			48,925	48,925
Urban-Key #9660-Middleton Road Connections Study		47,768		47,768		47,768		47,768
<i>Sub-total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>47,768</i>	<i>2,663,568</i>	<i>2,711,336</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>47,768</i>	<i>2,663,568</i>	<i>2,711,336</i>
Other Federal Sources								
FTA - Section 5307 (04)			28,894	28,894			28,894	28,894
FTA - AMPO Treasure Valley Mgt. Strategy Grant							28,250	28,250
<i>Sub-total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>28,894</i>	<i>28,894</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>57,144</i>	<i>57,144</i>
Other Revenue Sources								
ITD-Communities in Motion			202,024	202,024			202,024	202,024
City of Nampa-match for Key#9134-Middleton Road Connections		3,784		3,784		3,784		3,784
ITD-match for Key#7826-US 20/26 Corridor Preservation Study			58,580	58,580			58,580	58,580
ITD-match for Key#7827-SH 44 Corridor Preservation Study			108,917	108,917			108,917	108,917
Valley Regional Transit-match for Key #8960, Rail Corridor Feasibility Study			22,020	22,020			22,020	22,020
Carry-over of local dollars from Fund Balance			49,661	49,661			49,661	49,661
Data Dissemination-Sales	12,000			12,000	12,000			12,000
Maps and Miscellaneous-Sales	7,500			7,500	7,500			7,500
Interest Income			7,000	7,000			7,000	7,000
Legislative Services			37,439	37,439			0	0
In-Kind Labor (task #655)							11,423	11,423
<i>Sub-total</i>	<i>19,500</i>	<i>3,784</i>	<i>485,641</i>	<i>508,925</i>	<i>19,500</i>	<i>3,784</i>	<i>459,625</i>	<i>482,909</i>
Grand Total	421,883	213,859	4,121,140	4,756,882	504,395	421,097	4,339,606	5,265,098

t:\FY06\900Operations\Budget\Rev2.xls

COMMUNITY PLANNING ASSOCIATION OF SOUTHWEST IDAHO
 FY2006 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM AND BUDGET - REVISION 2
 EXPENSES BY WORK PROGRAM NUMBER AND FUNDING SOURCE - REGIONAL

WORK PROGRAM NUMBER	EXPENSES				%		REGIONAL														GRAND TOTAL	
	Labor & Indirect Days Cost	Direct Cost	Total Cost	Ada			Canyon	FEDERAL										LOCAL FUNDING				
					CPG-Ada FHWA/FTA	CPG-Canyon FHWA/FTA		STP-URBAN #7702	STP-STATE #7826	STP-STATE #7827	STP-TMA #8697	STP-TMA #8960	STP-Urban #9193	FTA(04) Section 5307	FTA - AMPO Grant	Total Federal	Match	Local	Other Revenue	Total Local		
601 UPWP/Budget Development and Monitoring	197	98,911	-	98,911	Regional	70,846	20,805										91,651	7,260			7,260	98,911
605 Federal Assurances	6	3,320	-	3,320	Regional	2,378	698										3,076	244			244	3,320
610 SH 44 Corridor Preservation Study	172	90,386	1,393,504	1,483,890	Regional					1,374,973							1,374,973	-		108,917	108,917	1,483,890
611 US 20/26 Corridor Preservation Study	94	49,774	748,322	798,096	Regional				739,516								739,516	-		58,580	58,580	798,096
612 Middleton Road Connections Study	6	3,275	48,276	51,551	0% 100%												-	-			-	-
620 Growth and Transportation System Monitoring	141	62,710	26,000	88,710	50% 50%												-	-			-	-
626 Population Estimate Development	70	37,090	-	37,090	50% 50%												-	-			-	-
631 Rail Corridor Feasibility Study	84	43,734	307,945	351,679	Regional	30,874	9,067	7,945							277,980		325,866	3,793		22,020	25,813	351,679
647 Land Use Model Demonstration Project	81	38,360	15,000	53,360	Regional	38,220	11,224										49,443	3,917			3,917	53,360
653 Communications and Education	119	63,580	38,500	102,080	Regional	73,116	21,471										94,587	7,493			7,493	102,080
655 AMPO, Treasure Valley Access Mgt. Strategy	80	38,580	17,920	56,500	Regional												28,250	-	15,747	12,503	28,250	56,500
661 Communities in Motion	623	348,816	285,556	634,372	Regional	106,314	31,145				214,230			48,925			400,614	31,734		202,024	233,758	634,372
685 Transportation Improvement Program	242	121,980	3,000	124,980	60% 40%												-	-			-	-
TOTAL PROJECTS	1,915	1,000,516	2,884,023	3,884,539		321,748	94,409	7,945	739,516	1,374,973	214,230	277,980	48,925	-	28,250	3,107,977	54,441	15,747	404,044	474,231	3,582,208	
701 General Membership Services	152	71,450	10,000	81,450	80% 20%												-	-			-	-
703 General Public Services	104	54,210	-	54,210	75% 25%												-	-			-	-
705 Transportation Liaison Services	96	56,660	-	56,660	60% 40%												-	-			-	-
720 Three Cities River Crossing Corridor Study	10	5,170	-	5,170	100% 0%												-	-			-	-
729 Lake Hazel Extension Study	6	2,540	-	2,540	100% 0%												-	-			-	-
733 Clean Cities Coalition Participation	15	8,160	-	8,160	100% 0%												-	-			-	-
740 Meridian Pathways Plan	4	2,400	-	2,400	100% 0%												-	-			-	-
741 South Meridian Area Plan	14	7,470	-	7,470	100% 0%												-	-			-	-
742 Ten Mile Specific Area Plan	6	3,090	-	3,090	100% 0%												-	-			-	-
749 I-84 High Occupancy Vehicle Lane Feasibility Study	6	2,340	-	2,340	Regional	1,676	492										2,168	172			172	2,340
751 West Bench Circulation Study	7	2,690	-	2,690	100% 0%												-	-			-	-
753 Floating Feather Alignment Study	7	2,890	-	2,890	100% 0%												-	-			-	-
755 ACHD Capital Improvement Plan Update	38	18,210	-	18,210	100% 0%												-	-			-	-
757 Functional Classification Typologies	40	23,220	245,000	268,220	30% 70%												-	-			-	-
760 Legislative Services	82	48,970	193,100	242,070	Regional												-	-	242,070		242,070	242,070
TOTAL SERVICES	587	309,470	448,100	757,570		1,676	492	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,168	172	242,070	-	242,242	244,410	
801 Staff Development	61	30,420	30,000	60,420	Regional	43,277	12,709										55,985	4,435			4,435	60,420
820 Committee Support	267	105,240	5,000	110,240	Regional	78,961	23,188										102,148	8,092			8,092	110,240
836 Model Maintenance	144	65,776	44,394	110,170	Regional	45,794	13,448							28,894			88,136	22,034			22,034	110,170
842 Congestion Management System Maintenance	70	32,410	5,000	37,410	Regional	26,795	7,869										34,664	2,746			2,746	37,410
856 Studies Coordination System Maintenance	7	3,810	-	3,810	Regional	2,729	801										3,530	280			280	3,810
860 Geographic Information System Maintenance	223	104,140	2,200	106,340	80% 20%												-	-			-	-
861 Ada County Orthophotography	10	3,660	-	3,660	100% 0%												-	-			-	-
TOTAL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE	782	345,456	86,594	432,050		197,556	58,014	-	-	-	-	-	-	28,894	-	284,464	37,586	-	-	37,586	322,050	
960 Information Technology	37	-	-	-	Regional												-	-			-	-
990 Direct Operations / Maintenance	0	-	40,940	40,940	Regional												-	-	33,940	7,000	40,940	40,940
991 Support Services Labor	915	-	-	-	Regional												-	-			-	-
995 Building Fund	0	-	150,000	150,000	Regional												-	-	150,000		150,000	150,000
999 Indirect Operations/Maintenance	0	-	-	-	Regional												-	-			-	-
TOTAL INDIRECT/OVERHEAD	952	-	190,940	190,940		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183,940	7,000	190,940	190,940
GRAND TOTAL	4,236	1,655,442	3,609,657	5,265,099		520,980	152,916	7,945	739,516	1,374,973	214,230	277,980	48,925	28,894	28,250	3,394,609	92,198	441,757	411,044	944,999	4,339,608	

I:\FY06\9000Operations\Budget\Rev2.xls

COMMUNITY PLANNING ASSOCIATION OF SOUTHWEST IDAHO
 FY2006 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM AND BUDGET - REVISION 2
 EXPENSES BY WORK PROGRAM NUMBER AND FUNDING SOURCE - TOTAL

WORK PROGRAM NUMBER	EXPENSES				%		GRAND TOTAL														GRAND TOTAL					
	Work Days	Labor & Indirect Cost	Direct Cost	Total Cost	Ada	Canyon	FEDERAL																			
							CPG-Ada FHWA/FTA	CPG-Canyon FHWA/FTA	STP-URBAN #7702	STP-STATE #7826	STP-STATE #7827	STP-TMA #8697	STP-TMA #8690	STP-Urban #9193	STP-Urban #9660	FTA(04) Section 5307	FTA AMPO GRANT	Total Federal	Match	Local		Other Revenue	Total Local			
601 UPWP/Budget Development and Monitoring	197	98,911	-	98,911	Regional		70,846	20,805	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	91,651	7,260	-	-	-	7,260	98,911
605 Federal Assurances	6	3,320	-	3,320	Regional		2,378	698	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,076	244	-	-	-	244	3,320
610 SH 44 Corridor Preservation Study	172	90,386	1,393,504	1,483,890	Regional		-	-	-	-	1,374,973	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,374,973	-	-	108,917	108,917	1,483,890	
611 US 20/26 Corridor Preservation Study	94	49,774	748,322	798,096	Regional		-	-	-	739,516	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	739,516	-	-	58,580	58,580	798,096	
612 Middleton Road Connections Study	6	3,275	48,276	51,551	0% 100%		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47,768	(0)	-	3,784	3,784	51,551		
620 Growth and Transportation System Monitoring	141	62,710	26,000	88,710	50% 50%		41,099	40,061	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	81,161	6,429	1,120	-	7,549	88,710	
626 Population Estimate Development	70	37,090	-	37,090	50% 50%		17,184	17,184	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34,368	2,722	-	-	2,722	37,090	
631 Rail Corridor Feasibility Study	84	43,734	307,945	351,679	Regional		30,874	9,067	7,945	-	-	-	277,980	-	-	-	-	-	-	325,866	3,793	-	22,020	25,813	351,679	
647 Land Use Model Demonstration Project	81	38,360	15,000	53,360	Regional		38,220	11,224	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	49,443	3,917	-	-	3,917	53,360	
653 Communications and Education	119	63,580	38,500	102,080	Regional		73,116	21,471	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	94,587	7,493	-	-	7,493	102,080	
655 AMPO, Treasure Valley Access Mgt. Strategy	80	38,580	17,920	56,500	Regional		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28,250	28,250	15,747	12,503	28,250	56,500	
661 Communities in Motion	623	348,816	285,556	634,372	Regional		106,314	31,145	-	-	-	214,230	-	48,925	-	-	-	-	-	400,614	31,734	-	202,024	233,758	634,372	
685 Transportation Improvement Program	242	121,980	3,000	124,980	60% 40%		69,484	46,323	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	115,806	9,174	-	-	9,174	124,980	
TOTAL PROJECTS	1,915	1,000,516	2,884,023	3,884,539			449,515	197,977	7,945	739,516	1,374,973	214,230	277,980	48,925	47,768	-	28,250	3,387,079	72,766	16,867	407,827	497,460	3,884,539			
701 General Membership Services	152	71,450	10,000	81,450	80% 20%		60,377	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60,378	4,783	16,290	-	21,072	81,450	
703 General Public Services	104	54,210	-	54,210	75% 25%		24,122	(0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24,121	1,911	8,678	19,500	30,089	54,210	
705 Transportation Liaison Services	96	56,660	-	56,660	60% 40%		31,501	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31,501	2,495	22,664	-	25,159	56,660	
720 Three Cities River Crossing Corridor Study	10	5,170	-	5,170	100% 0%		4,791	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,791	379	-	-	379	5,170	
729 Lake Hazel Extension Study	6	2,540	-	2,540	100% 0%		2,354	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,354	186	-	-	186	2,540	
733 Clean Cities Coalition Participation	15	8,160	-	8,160	100% 0%		7,561	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,561	599	-	-	599	8,160	
740 Meridian Pathways Plan	4	2,400	-	2,400	100% 0%		2,224	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,224	176	-	-	176	2,400	
741 South Meridian Area Plan	14	7,470	-	7,470	100% 0%		6,922	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,922	548	-	-	548	7,470	
742 Ten Mile Specific Area Plan	6	3,090	-	3,090	100% 0%		2,863	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,863	227	-	-	227	3,090	
749 I-84 High Occupancy Vehicle Lane Feasibility Study	6	2,340	-	2,340	Regional		1,676	492	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,168	172	-	-	172	2,340	
751 West Bench Circulation Study	7	2,690	-	2,690	100% 0%		2,493	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,493	197	-	-	197	2,690	
753 Floating Feather Alignment Study	7	2,890	-	2,890	100% 0%		2,678	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,678	212	-	-	212	2,890	
755 ACHD Capital Improvement Plan Update	38	18,210	-	18,210	100% 0%		16,873	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16,873	1,337	-	-	1,337	18,210	
757 Functional Classification Typologies	40	23,220	245,000	268,220	30% 70%		74,560	84,678	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	159,238	12,614	96,368	-	108,982	268,220	
760 Legislative Services	82	48,970	193,100	242,070	Regional		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	242,070	-	242,070	242,070	
TOTAL SERVICES	587	309,470	448,100	757,570			240,993	85,170	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	326,164	25,837	386,070	19,500	431,406	757,570		
801 Staff Development	61	30,420	30,000	60,420	Regional		43,277	12,709	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55,985	4,435	-	-	4,435	60,420	
820 Committee Support	267	105,240	5,000	110,240	Regional		78,961	23,188	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	102,148	8,092	-	-	8,092	110,240	
836 Model Maintenance	144	65,776	44,394	110,170	Regional		45,794	13,448	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28,894	-	-	-	88,136	22,034	-	-	22,034	110,170	
842 Congestion Management System Maintenance	70	32,410	5,000	37,410	Regional		26,795	7,869	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34,664	2,746	-	-	2,746	37,410	
856 Studies Coordination System Maintenance	7	3,810	-	3,810	Regional		2,729	801	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,530	280	-	-	280	3,810	
860 Geographic Information System Maintenance	223	104,140	2,200	106,340	80% 20%		78,828	(0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	78,828	6,244	21,268	-	27,512	106,340	
861 Ada County Orthophotography	10	3,660	-	3,660	100% 0%		2,980	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,980	236	444	-	680	3,660	
TOTAL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE	782	345,456	86,594	432,050			279,363	58,014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28,894	-	366,272	44,066	21,712	-	-	65,778	432,050		
960 Information Technology	37	-	-	-	Regional		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
990 Direct Operations / Maintenance	0	-	40,940	40,940	Regional		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33,940	7,000	40,940	40,940	
991 Support Services Labor	915	-	-	-	Regional		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
995 Building Fund	0	-	150,000	150,000	Regional		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	150,000	-	150,000	150,000	
999 Indirect Operations/Maintenance	0	-	-	-	Regional		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TOTAL INDIRECT/OVERHEAD	952	-	190,940	190,940			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183,940	7,000	190,940	190,940	
GRAND TOTAL	4,236	1,655,442	3,609,657	5,265,099			969,872	341,162	7,945	739,516	1,374,973	214,230	277,980	48,925	47,768	28,894	28,250	4,079,514	142,669	608,589	434,327	1,185,585	5,265,099			

T:\FY06\900Operations\Budget\Rev2.xls

COMMUNITY PLANNING ASSOCIATION OF SOUTHWEST IDAHO
 FY2006 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM AND BUDGET - REVISION 2
 CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE

	FY2006 REVISION 1				FY2006 REVISION 2			
	Ada	Canyon	Regional	Total	Ada	Canyon	Regional	Total
<i>Projected Revenue Summary:</i>								
General Membership	223,068	80,489	351,938	655,495	16,203	181,300	457,992	655,495
Special Membership	19,800	0	26,400	46,200	19,800	0	26,400	46,200
FHWA/FTA Consolidated Planning Grant	159,515	81,818	564,699	806,032	448,892	188,245	674,877	1,312,014
Surface Transportation Program	0	47,768	2,663,568	2,711,336	0	47,768	2,663,568	2,711,336
Other Federal Sources	0	0	28,894	28,894	0	0	57,144	57,144
Other Revenue Sources	19,500	3,784	485,641	508,925	19,500	3,784	459,625	482,909
<i>Total Projected Revenue</i>	<i>421,883</i>	<i>213,859</i>	<i>4,121,140</i>	<i>4,756,882</i>	<i>504,395</i>	<i>421,097</i>	<i>4,339,606</i>	<i>5,265,098</i>
<i>Projected Expense Summary:</i>								
Projects	118,661	139,851	3,528,783	3,787,295	137,888	164,443	3,582,208	3,884,539
Services	209,118	51,582	243,910	504,610	277,775	235,386	244,410	757,570
System Maintenance	94,104	22,426	307,514	424,044	88,732	21,268	322,050	432,050
Operations	0	0	40,935	40,935	0	0	190,940	190,940
<i>Total Projected Expenses</i>	<i>421,883</i>	<i>213,859</i>	<i>4,121,140</i>	<i>4,756,882</i>	<i>504,395</i>	<i>421,097</i>	<i>4,339,607</i>	<i>5,265,098</i>
<i>Projected Change in Fund Balance</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>

BEGINNING UNRESERVED, UNDESIGNATED FUND BALANCE - SEPTEMBER 30, 2005	499,419	499,419
PROJECTED ENDING FUND BALANCE - SEPTEMBER 30, 2006	499,419	499,419

t:\FY06\900Operations\Budget\Rev2.xls

COMMUNITY PLANNING ASSOCIATION OF SOUTHWEST IDAHO
 FY2006 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM AND BUDGET - REVISION 2
 DIRECT EXPENSE SUMMARY

DESCRIPTION	TOTAL DIRECT	LEGAL / LOBBYING (72)	EQUIPMENT (34)	TRAVEL / EDUCATION (40)	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES (30)	PRINTING (60)	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT (64)	MEETING SUPPORT (65)	OTHER (63)
601 UPWP/Budget Development and Monitoring	-								
605 Federal Assurances	-								
610 SH 44 Corridor Preservation Study	1,393,504				1,393,504				
611 US 20/26 Corridor Preservation Study	748,322				748,322				
612 Middleton Road Connections Study	48,276				48,276				
620 Growth and Transportation System Monitoring	26,000				25,000	500			500
626 Population Estimate Development	-								
631 Rail Corridor Feasibility Study	307,945				307,945				
647 Land Use Model Demonstration Project	15,000		10,000		5,000				
653 Communications and Education	38,500				30,000	2,500	4,500	1,500	
655 AMPO, Treasure Valley Access Mgt. Strategy	17,923				5,200	1,000		300	11,423
661 <i>Communities in Motion</i>	285,556				211,290	48,000	15,000	2,000	9,266
685 Transportation Improvement Program	3,000					500	2,500		
701 General Membership Services	10,000				10,000				
703 General Public Services	-								
705 Transportation Liaison Services	-								
720 Three Cities River Crossing Corridor Study	-								
729 Lake Hazel Extension Study	-								
733 Clean Cities Coalition Participation	-								
740 Meridian Pathways Plan	-								
741 South Meridian Area Plan	-								
742 Ten Mile Specific Area Plan	-								
749 I-84 High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lane Feasibility Study	-								
751 West Bench Circulation Study	-								
753 Floating Feather Alignment Study	-								
755 ACHD Capital Improvement Plan Update	-								
757 Functional Classification Typologies	245,000				245,000				
760 Legislative Services	193,100	160,000		20,000					13,100
801 Staff Development	30,000			30,000					
820 Committee Support	5,000							5,000	
836 Model Maintenance	44,394			5,000	38,894	500			
842 Congestion Management System Maintenance	5,000				5,000				
856 Studies Coordination System Maintenance	-								
860 Geographic Information System Maintenance	2,200								2,200
861 Ada County Orthophotography	-								
960 Information Technology	-				-				
990 Direct Operations / Maintenance	40,935		30,000		6,935			4,000	
995 Building Fund	150,000								150,000
GRAND TOTAL	3,609,655	160,000	40,000	55,000	3,080,366	53,000	22,000	12,800	186,489

t:\FY06\900Operations\Budget\Rev2.xls

*COMMUNITY PLANNING ASSOCIATION OF SOUTHWEST IDAHO
 FY2006 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM AND BUDGET - REVISION 2
 INDIRECT OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE SUMMARY*

<i>CATEGORY</i>	<i>ACCOUNT CODE</i>	<i>FY2006 REVISION 1</i>	<i>FY2006 REVISION 2</i>
Professional Services	30	35,000	35,000
Equipment Lease	35	12,000	12,000
Equipment Repair / Maintenance	36	5,000	5,000
Travel / Education	40	8,000	8,000
Dues	42	11,000	11,000
Publications	43	5,000	5,000
Postage	50	5,000	5,000
Telephone	51	7,000	7,000
Space Rent	52	105,000	105,000
Janitorial	53	9,000	9,000
Printing	60	1,000	1,000
Copier	61	14,000	14,000
Advertising	62	4,000	4,000
Events	63	2,000	2,000
Audit	70	12,000	12,000
Insurance	71	14,000	14,000
Legal Services	72	20,000	20,000
General Supplies	80	12,000	12,000
Computer Supplies	82	7,000	7,000
Computer Software / Maintenance	83	20,000	20,000
Internet Service	84	2,000	2,000
Commuting Incentive	90	1,000	1,000
Vehicle Maintenance	91	2,000	2,000
Utilities	92	9,000	9,000
Local Travel	93	4,000	4,000
Other / Miscellaneous	95	2,000	2,000
<i>TOTAL</i>		328,000	328,000

t:\FY06\900Operations\Budget\Rev2.xls

*COMMUNITY PLANNING ASSOCIATION OF SOUTHWEST IDAHO
 FY2006 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM AND BUDGET - REVISION 2
 WORKDAY ALLOCATION*

<i>WORK PROGRAM DESCRIPTION</i>	<i>LEAD STAFF</i>	<i>DIRECTORS</i>	<i>PRINCIPAL PLANNERS</i>	<i>ASSOCIATE PLANNERS</i>	<i>ASSISTANT PLANNERS</i>	<i>OPERATIONS</i>	<i>TOTAL</i>
601 UPWP/Budget Development and Monitoring	MSt	61	20	-	-	116	197
605 Federal Assurances	TT	2	2	-	2	-	6
610 SH 44 Corridor Preservation Study	PN	8	130	6	20	8	172
611 US 20/26 Corridor Preservation Study	PN	6	70	3	9	6	94
612 Middleton Road Connections Study	PN	1	4	-	-	1	6
620 Growth and Transportation System Monitoring	New	7	51	5	68	10	141
626 Population Estimate Development	New	2	60	1	7	-	70
631 Rail Corridor Feasibility Study	JC	6	60	1	11	6	84
647 Land Use Model Demonstration Project	JW	6	17	33	25	-	81
653 Communications and Education	TS	15	79	-	2	23	119
655 AMPO, Treasure Valley Access Mgt. Strategy	PN	-	54	-	22	4	80
661 <i>Communities in Motion</i>	CTr	177	267	35	94	50	623
685 Transportation Improvement Program	TT	22	139	9	61	11	242
TOTAL PROJECTS		313	953	93	321	235	1,915
701 General Membership Services	CTr	17	55	4	63	13	152
703 General Public Services	CTr	27	29	8	40	-	104
705 Transportation Liaison Services	MSt	25	63	3	5	-	96
720 Three Cities River Crossing Corridor Study	JW	2	3	2	3	-	10
729 Lake Hazel Extension Study	JW	-	1	2	3	-	6
733 Clean Cities Coalition Participation	JW	-	15	-	-	-	15
740 Meridian Pathways Plan	RD	1	3	-	-	-	4
741 South Meridian Area Plan	JC	2	9	-	3	-	14
742 Ten Mile Specific Area Plan	JC	1	3	-	2	-	6
749 I-84 High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lane Feasibility	JW	-	-	2	4	-	6
751 West Bench Circulation Study	JW	-	-	2	5	-	7
753 Floating Feather Alignment Study	JW	-	1	2	4	-	7
755 ACHD Capital Improvement Plan Update	JW	4	6	16	10	2	38
757 Functional Classification Typologies	CTr	13	18	2	4	3	40
760 Legislative Services	MSt	30	40	-	12	-	82
TOTAL SERVICES		122	246	43	158	18	587
801 Staff Development	JU	9	24	4	12	12	61
820 Committee Support	JU	15	11	-	-	241	267
836 Model Maintenance	JW	10	23	53	55	3	144
842 Congestion Management System Maintenance	JW	4	19	20	24	3	70
856 Studies Coordination System Maintenance	TT	-	7	-	-	-	7
860 Geographic Information System Maintenance	RD	5	120	8	90	-	223
861 Ada County Orthophotography	RD	-	1	-	9	-	10
TOTAL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE		43	205	85	190	259	782
TOTAL DIRECT		478	1,404	221	669	512	3,284
960 Information Technology	JU	37	-	-	-	-	37
991 Support Services Labor	JU	175	72	9	21	638	915
TOTAL INDIRECT/OVERHEAD		212	72	9	21	638	952
TOTAL LABOR		690	1,476	230	690	1,150	4,236

t:\FY06\900Operations\Budget\Rev2.xls



MEMORANDUM

TO: Regional Technical Advisory Committee
FROM: Charles Trainor, Director of Planning
DATE: April 6, 2006
RE: Recommend Release of *Communities in Motion* Plan for Public Comment

ACTION REQUESTED:

Recommend the COMPASS Board approve release of *Communities in Motion* draft plan for public comment.

BACKGROUND:

At the April 17, 2006 meeting, Board members were provided a draft of *Communities in Motion*. This document is the product of over two years of meetings and evaluations.

During this time, the process has:

- Met with citizens in workshops and open house meetings to develop growth scenarios and transportation options.
- Provided information at dozens of group meetings with neighborhood associations, professional and service organizations, local agencies, and others.
- Worked with agency staff in a series of weekly meetings to develop meaningful transportation systems to meet the Trend and Community Choices scenarios.
- Provided RTAC and the COMPASS Board with routine updates as work progressed and obtained COMPASS Board concurrence in May 2005 and again in December 2005 with the selection of Community Choices as the preferred scenario.

Implications

- *Communities in Motion* will meet the federal requirements for a regional transportation plan. It is essential to ensure federal funds for transportation.
- It will provide guidance for transportation and land use decisions over the next several years. The selection of Community Choices would promote future land use patterns to evolve more compact communities, preserve open space and encourage use of alternative transportation.
- Tasks described in Chapter 2 of the draft plan will guide COMPASS' future Unified Planning Work Programs to help implement goals in the plan.
- The draft plan contains the goals and objectives, including corridor recommendations and a public transportation network, endorsed by the Board in March 2006. It is based on the Community Choices land use scenario endorsed in December 2005.

STATUS:

Staff requests RTAC to recommend the COMPASS Board approve the draft plan for the purposes of releasing this document to the public for comment between April 18 and May 19.

- The draft plan was provided to the Plan Coordination Team, the Regional Technical Advisory Committee and the Blueprint for Good Growth Steering Committee. Nearly all comments have pertained to style or background information. In general there was a favorable reaction to the draft and its key recommendations. There were areas where clarification was requested. (See Attachment 1 for details)
- Significant comments are shown in Attachment 1. These changes can be considered for inclusion in the final draft to be acted on in July 2006. Note that Greenhurst Road is now on the *Funded* list and McDermott is now *Illustrative*.

Attachment (1)

pc: 661.03.2 Internal Memorandums

CT:dw T:\FY06\600 Projects\661 CIM\02-InternalMemorandums\rtacmmo-apr2006.doc

Attachment 1
Highlight of Comments

Direct written comments were received from:

- Ada County Highway District staff (March 23, 2006)
- Capital City Development Corporation (March 26, 2006)

Comments were provided to Eagle City Council by staff on April 4, 2006.

Virtually all the comments were in the nature of clarifying background materials, correcting errors, requesting expansion or contraction of the document and other non-policy issues. Style or narrative comments such as these will be addressed by COMPASS staff as appropriate.

To get a copy of any of these comments, please call Toni Tisdale @855-2558 x228 or ttisdale@compassidaho.org.

Significant comments (regarding policies, objectives, or transportation recommendations):

1. Eagle Road is not targeted for widening between SH 44 and I-84. (ACHD)
2. SH 55 west of Karcher is not in the funded list but is Illustrative. (ITD oral comment)
3. Strategy 2.1.1 (Chapter 2) states that local plans would need to be amended to reflect the regional land use goal for Community Choices. This conflicts with state law empowering local governments with land use. (City of Eagle)
4. Task 2.1.3 and 2.1.4 (Chapter 2) calls for COMPASS and RTAC review of comprehensive plan amendments and major developments. The monthly RTAC meeting cycle would be difficult and creates a regional review of a local action. (City of Eagle)
5. The long-range functional classification map no longer details collector street designations. A concern was noted that designation is thereby left to individual cities and ACHD. (City of Eagle)
6. The GARVEE proposal shows I-84 widening between Cole/Overland and Isaac Canyon, while *Communities in Motion* shows a widening between Cole/Overland and Gowen. (ITD oral comment)

<p>In a final corridor prioritization check based on recent functional classification changes, the position of Greenhurst: Middleton Road – Happy Valley Road and McDermott: I-84 – Lake Hazel switched since Greenhurst is now a principal arterial. So Greenhurst is Funded, and McDermott is Illustrative. This was the only corridor funding change generated by the functional class changes approved by the Board in March. This change will be made to the draft prior to issuance on April 18, 2006.</p>



MEMORANDUM

TO: Regional Technical Advisory Committee

FROM: Charles Trainor, Director of Planning

DATE: April 6, 2006

RE: Review Scope for the Annual Monitoring Report

ACTION REQUESTED:

Review factors for a Monitoring Report.

BACKGROUND:

This item was initially brought to DAC in January. The Monitoring Report is intended to report on key indicators tied to goals and objectives in the regional transportation plan being developed under *Communities in Motion*. It would evolve from the current Development Monitoring Report, also on the agenda for the DAC meeting. In most cases, the measurements would be tracked across a period of time to allow the reader to see if change is occurring. Where quantitative goals or objectives have been established, these would be included.

Communities in Motion is scheduled for adoption in July 2006. The intent is to issue the first Monitoring Report in August 2006. This would allow it to be used in the consideration of project requests for the 2008 Transportation Improvement Program cycle.

STATUS:

DAC members were asked to review and comment on the outline at the January meeting. No comments were received, but the intent is the Monitoring Report will also be in a evolutionary mode. Changes are shown in red.

New items or refinements could be added as necessary as long the items are tied to goals under *Communities in Motion*. The product is intended to help elected officials, staff and others see where progress is being made and where it is lagging; then the intent is to use this information in decisions on transportation investments, future land use forecasts, and establishment of planning activities.

Attachment (1)

pc: File 620 – Development Monitoring
 CT:dw T:\FY06\600 Projects\620 Growth Trans. System Monitoring\performance monitoring\rtac-monitormemo-april2006.doc

Potential Content of a Monitoring Report

The Monitoring Report is intended to report on key indicators tied to goals and objectives in the regional transportation plan being developed under *Communities in Motion*. It would evolve from the current Development Monitoring Report, which is a simple accounting of new construction and subdivision activity compiled by corporate limits of each city, non-city area and by demographic area. The intent of a broader Monitoring Report is to tie measurements to goals under *Communities in Motion*. In most cases, the measurements would be tracked across a period of time to allow the reader to see if change is occurring. Where quantitative goals or objectives have been established, these would be included.

1. Growth related – (Are the general growth forecasts in line with the actual pace of growth?)
 - a. Current and historic population estimates reported by city and county jurisdiction and by area of impact.
 - b. Current and historic employment estimates reported by city and county jurisdiction and by area of impact.
 - c. Comparisons of each with forecast used in *Communities in Motion*
2. Land use related – (Is growth occurring in the same places and at the same densities as depicted under the Community Choices scenario?)
 - a. Acres consumed by residential and non-residential growth
 - b. Acres of farmland consumed by residential and non-residential growth
 - c. Amount and percentage of residential and non-residential growth within areas of impact
 - d. Amount and percentage of residential and non-residential growth within activity centers along fixed-guideway or premium transit corridors
 - e. Amount and percentage of residential growth within ¼ and ½ mile of one or more of the following:
 - i. Supermarkets
 - ii. Employment sites with at least 1,000 jobs
 - iii. Parks
 - iv. Elementary schools
 - f. Amount of residential and non-residential growth and existing residential and non-residential uses within ½ of existing transit routes. Percentage of total residential and non-residential growth and existing.
 - g. Residential densities for new development. (Measure lot densities for single-family subdivisions)
 - h. Percentage of all residential development at transit supportive densities (8+ dwelling units per acre gross)
 - i. Number and location of preliminary plats.
 - j. Residential lot supply (approved, undeveloped subdivided lots)
3. Travel demand related
 - a. Average weekday vehicle miles of travel (model produced)
 - b. Intersections exceeding 50,000 entering vehicles/day (traffic counts/model estimate)
 - c. Increase in travel time
 - d. Increase in traffic and delay on key corridors (taken from annual Congestion Management Report).

- e. Percentage of major roadway system exceeding capacity
 - f. Transit ridership, ridership per capita, percentage of trips
 - g. Vanpool/carpool ridership, percentage of trips
 - h. Bicycle/pedestrian activity (needs practical method for estimating)
 - i. Peak hour factors on key roadways
4. Travel supply related
- a. Transit service in terms of population
 - i. Per capita expenses/hours of service
 - ii. Total hours of service
 - iii. Number of buses in service
 - iv. Vanpools in service
 - v. Number, location and size of park-and-ride lots
 - b. Roadway
 - i. Centerline miles of 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 lane roads
 - ii. Miles of arterial roadways by number of access points per mile
 - iii. Should there be some monitoring of landscaping or other features?
 - iv. Miles of roadway by pavement sufficiency condition by jurisdiction.
 - v. Number of bridges by bridge rating by jurisdiction.
 - c. Pathways
 - i. Percentage of roadways with no sidewalks, sidewalk one side, sidewalk both sides
 - ii. Miles of off-road pathways (exclude pathways for sole recreation use)
 - iii. Miles of roads with bike lanes
 - iv. Miles of roads designated as bike routes
5. Other
- a. Plans and ordinances
 - i. Track communities' actions on key plan components and ordinances supportive of alternative transportation, access management, or other policies related to transportation goals.
 - ii. Track changes in city jurisdictional boundaries and area of impact.
 - b. Financial
 - i. Amounts and percentage of transportation total expenditures by transportation mode – roadways, transit, walking, biking, carpool/vanpool
 - ii. Amount and percentage of expenditures for maintenance and safety categories.
 - iii. Changes in revenues available for transportation
 - iv. Activities related to seeking new/expanded revenue sources
 - v. Fuel prices (high-low during prior year)
 - vi. Parking pricing by area (where applicable)
 - c. Miscellaneous
 - i. Owner-occupied and renter-occupied by jurisdiction (source would be Assessor file information)
 - ii. New housing pricing compared to median income.



MEMORANDUM

TO: Regional Technical Advisory Committee

FROM: Patricia A. Nilsson, AICP, Principal Planner

DATE: April 4, 2006

RE: Discussion of COMPASS Review of Local Comprehensive Plans for Consistency with *Communities in Motion*

ACTION REQUESTED: None.

BACKGROUND: A task in the draft *Communities in Motion* directs COMPASS to establish a subcommittee of the Regional Technical Advisory Committee to evaluate comprehensive plan amendments for their consistency with the vision of *Communities in Motion and Blueprint for Good Growth* in Ada County.

STATUS:

With the adoption of *Communities in Motion* scheduled for July, it is timely to start the discussion of how local agencies will incorporate the plan into local land-use decisions. If the investment of time and resources into the plan indicate a regional desire to improve the coordination of land use decisions and transportation investments, then a review and discussion of exactly how the plan will be "put into play" is warranted.

The attached table provides a hierarchy of three options for monitoring and evaluating land use changes for consistency with *Communities in Motion*. These represent starting points for a discussion of RTAC that may lead to a synthesis of these three options.

The first option represents a voluntary "business as usual" approach that represents a basic monitoring function. COMPASS staff would monitor final decisions and evaluate whether or not the decision is consistent with the goals and policies of *Communities in Motion* and the demographic forecasts of the Community Choices pattern of housing and employment. RTAC would periodically review the compilation of decisions.

The second option would incorporate a consistency review as part of the review process, but consistency would be advisory only. COMPASS staff, upon formal transmittal from the local jurisdiction, would consult with a subcommittee of RTAC and provide a consistency review for consideration in the decision on a comprehensive plan amendment. Monitoring and periodic compilation of final decisions would still be done by COMPASS staff.

The third option would require consistency with *Communities in Motion* prior to the approval of a comprehensive plan amendment. The same consistency review would be developed as in the second option. This approach would require an amendment to *Communities in Motion* in order to approve the comprehensive plan amendment.

Please consider these options prior to the April 12, 2006, RTAC meeting, as we desire to have a robust discussion on the importance of using *Communities in Motion* to evaluate changes in land use policy. Some questions to consider prior to the meeting include:

1. What are effective uses of *Communities in Motion* in decision-making to ensure it does not become a plan that "sits on a shelf?"
2. As a member agency of COMPASS, what responsibility will your jurisdiction assume for implementing *Communities in Motion*?
3. In what types of decisions should *Communities in Motion* be considered?

Please contact me prior to the meeting if you have any questions.

pc: 661

PAN:dw T:\FY06\600 Projects\661 CIM\12-ApprovalDocuments\RTAC CIM Consistency.doc

COMMUNITIES IN MOTION
Process for Managing Change – Consistency Reviews

	<i>Monitor</i>	<i>Advisory</i>	<i>Mandatory</i>
DESCRIPTION	Decisions on land-use changes would proceed as usual. COMPASS would monitor decisions and report on inconsistencies after decisions have been made, perhaps semi-annually.	Local governments would review proposed comprehensive plan amendments for consistency with <i>Communities in Motion</i> , but there would not be a requirement for consistency prior to making a decision.	Local governments would require that proposed comprehensive plan amendments be consistent with <i>Communities in Motion</i> . An amendment to <i>Communities in Motion</i> would be required if approval of the comprehensive plan amendment is desired.
BENEFITS	<p>No changes to staff workload.</p> <p>No change to local government process.</p>	<p>Changes to demographic assumptions would be frequently monitored.</p> <p>Raises awareness of the regional plan in local decisions.</p>	<p>Changes to demographic forecast may be updated less frequently.</p> <p>Raises awareness of the regional plan in local decisions.</p> <p>Plan is maintained and implemented through local actions.</p> <p>Similar to approach supported by Regional Transportation Task Force in the 2004 report.</p>
DRAWBACKS	<p>Land-use changes inconsistent with <i>Communities in Motion</i> would not be detected until after the fact.</p> <p>Plan would lose effectiveness as demographic forecasts and transportation model would become unreliable indicators of growth.</p> <p>Frequent updates to demographics would be required to ensure reliability of forecasts.</p>	<p>May require additional review time by local government staff and COMPASS staff.</p> <p>Frequent updates to demographics would be required to ensure reliability of forecasts.</p>	<p>May require additional review time by local government staff and COMPASS staff.</p> <p>Frequent updates to demographics would be required to ensure reliability of forecasts.</p> <p>Local governments may perceive loss of autonomy in decision-making.</p>

	<i>Monitor</i>	<i>Advisory</i>	<i>Mandatory</i>
SUGGESTED PROCESS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. COMPASS periodically contacts local governments for list of approved comprehensive plan amendments. 2. COMPASS reviews amendments and incorporates results into next update of population and household forecasts 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. COMPASS receives notice of proposed action via official transmittal from local government. 2. COMPASS staff prepares and submits review, after consultation with RTAC, based on changes to population and/or employment estimates and forecasts for affected traffic analysis zone(s). 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Local governments incorporate a finding into comprehensive plan adoption resolutions stating that the amendment is consistent with <i>Communities in Motion</i>, the regional long-range transportation plan. 2. COMPASS staff prepares and submits review, after consultation with RTAC, based on changes to population and/or employment estimates and forecasts for affected traffic analysis zone(s). 3. If the local government cannot make that finding, then the comprehensive plan amendment is not adopted unless <i>Communities in Motion</i> is first amended to provide the necessary consistency.



MEMORANDUM

TO: Regional Technical Advisory Committee

FROM: Toni Tisdale, Principal Planner

DATE: March 30, 2006

RE: Status Report – Current Transportation Project Information

ACTION REQUESTED:

None. Information only.

BACKGROUND:

The information below provides a monthly update on transportation trends, issues, and current project status.

STATUS:

The following is the most recent statistics compared to the same month a year ago:

<i>Boise Air Terminal</i>	<i>Feb. 2006</i>	<i>Feb. 2005*</i>	<i>% Change</i>
Monthly Air Passengers (Inbound and Outbound)	234,923	218,254	7.64%
Monthly Air Freight (Inbound and Outbound) (tons)	3,574	3,548	0.73%
<i>Public Transportation Monthly Ridership</i>	<i>Feb. 2006</i>	<i>Feb. 2005*</i>	<i>% Change</i>
ACHD VanPool (Trips)	15,532	13,208	17.60%
Valley Regional Transit**	84,350	90,278	-6.57%
First Transit/Treasure Valley Transit/ Treasure Valley Metro**	9,164	8,526	7.48%
<i>Total</i>	109,046	112,012	-2.65%

*There is a possibility that the 2005 statistics do not match those reported in 2005 due to data finalization.

**Types of service and/or service routes changed during this time period.

STATUS OF MAJOR PROJECTS

**Staff was unable to obtain the Idaho Transportation Department project updates in time for the mailout. All of the following updates are the same as last month.*

<i>Project</i>	<i>Sponsor</i>	<i>Comments*</i>
I-184, Curtis Road Interchange, Boise	ITD	Project is for bridge deck rehabilitation. Changes from the final review are being incorporated in the design. ITD District 3 anticipates advertising this project this spring with construction planned for summer 2006.
I-84, Blacks Creek Rest Area	ITD	Rehabilitation work started on January 19, 2006. Demolition of the existing structures is complete and the initial grading for the new structures has started. The rest area is currently closed and will be closed until the end of the year.
I-84, Franklin Interchange (Exit 29), Caldwell	ITD	Right-of-way acquisition has become critical with several condemnations. ITD anticipates advertisement in June 2006. A last minute design change is necessary to obtain a permit from the irrigation district; however, the change should not delay advertisement in June 2006.
I-84, Karcher Interchange, Nampa	ITD	Continued construction of the retaining walls at the railroad structure, I-84 structure and around the ramps. One lane on east-bound I-84 is closed between 8:00 pm and 5:00 am with the speed limit reduced to 55 mph during work on the pier and abutments for the I-84 bridge. Starting in mid-march, Karcher Road will be closed between Nampa/Caldwell Blvd and Ten Lane while maintaining access for residences and businesses.
I-84, Locust Grove Grade Separation, Meridian (NEW)	Meridian, ACHD, ITD	The Plans, Specification and Engineer's Estimate package has been submitted to ITD Headquarters and is awaiting an agreement from ACHD and Nampa Meridian Irrigation District for modification of the canal. ITD anticipates advertising this project in early April. This project is for construction of an overpass of I-84 linking North and South Meridian.
I-84, Ten Mile Interchange	ITD	Negotiations with the consultant are complete. ITD is working to offset funding in order to start this project in advance of GARVEE bonding. The scheduled construction year is FY 2008.
I-84, Orchard Street to Gowen Road Interchange Study	ITD	The 8 Point Access Report and the High Occupancy Vehicle analysis have been submitted for review.
I-84, Sand Hollow to Caldwell (three companion projects)	ITD	(No Change) Project still under final design. This project consists of a series of three pavement rehabilitation projects. Traffic control plans are currently being developed. Construction anticipated for summer 2006.
SH-16, Improvement Study	ITD	The intent of this project is to purchase right-of-way annually for ITD's anticipated future expansion of SH-16. ITD is currently pursuing the purchase of right-of-way for the programmed Freezeout Hill south passing lane project. The GARVEE designation will limit activity on this highway to what is currently programmed in anticipation that the GARVEE program will cover the extended improvements.
SH-55, Eagle Road Infrastructure Improvements, South, Central, and North Phases	ITD	The Preliminary Design Review was held January 25 and 26, 2006. Preliminary Design plans are being finalized and ITD District 3 is preparing the Environmental Evaluation.
SH-55, Junction I-84 westbound Off Ramp to Franklin Rd. near	ITD	This project was awarded on February 22, 2006. Construction is scheduled to begin on March 16 with completion by mid-

<i>Project</i>	<i>Sponsor</i>	<i>Comments*</i>
Meridian		May 2006.
SH-55, Marsing to Sunnyslope Curve	ITD	Work on embankments, utility and irrigation systems is planned for March with little impacts to traffic.
US-20/26, Cloverdale Road to Hewlett Packard Main Entrance and US-20/26, Hewlett Packard Main Entrance to Joplin Road	ITD	ITD District 3 recommended award of the contract for the Hewlett Packard Main Entrance to Joplin Road portion of this project. District 3 is reviewing funding options for the Cloverdale to the Hewlett Packard Main Entrance portion. When complete, the options will be presented to the IT Board for consideration.
US-20/26, Chinden Boulevard, Stafford to Stone	ITD	The preferred alternative for this project is a raised splitter island to restrict access to right-in/right-out at the south City Market access on Chinden Blvd. This should mitigate the high occurrence of accidents at this location. This will be a change ordered into the Hewlett Packard Main Entrance to Joplin Road project.

Other

- Intersection Improvements on Greenhurst / Powerline and Greenhurst / Southside (No Change) – video detection, curb, gutter, sidewalk, and drainage. Work began on February 21, 2006. The main focus of current work is to complete all irrigation prior to March 15, 2006.
- FY 05 Pavement Overlay in Canyon County (No Change) – Middleton Road, Ustick Road, and Phyllis Canal Bridge Deck (work will not begin until after April 1, 2006).

pc: 685.01, 685.02

TT:dw T:\FY06\600 Projects\685 TIP\Monthly Status Report\0604.doc

MARCH 2006 - STAFF ACTIVITY REPORT

ITEM VI-B

PROGRAM NO.	TITLE	LEAD STAFF
601	<p><i>UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM AND BUDGET, DEVELOPMENT AND TRACKING</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Processed and tracked revenue and expenditures associated with Revision 1 of FY2006 Unified Planning Work Program and Budget. • Provided options for Revision 2 of the FY2006 Unified Planning Work Program and Budget at a joint Executive/Finance Committee meeting. Revision 2 will be presented to the Finance Committee on April 5th and then to the Board of Directors at the April Board meeting. • Continued the Unified Planning Work Program and Budget process for FY2007. 	<p><i>JEANNE URLEZAGA</i></p>
605	<p><i>FEDERAL ASSURANCES</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No significant activity. 	<p><i>TONI TISDALE</i></p>
610	<p><i>SH 44 CORRIDOR PRESERVATION STUDY</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Held initial meeting of the Corridor Preservation Committee on March 10, 2006. • Held meetings with Washington Group and ITD to review the scope of work for a supplement to Work Order #1 for tasks related to the first public meeting in late May (dates to be determined). 	<p><i>PATRICIA NILSSON</i></p>
611	<p><i>US 20/26 CORRIDOR PRESERVATION STUDY</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Held initial meeting of the Corridor Preservation Committee on March 10, 2006. • Attended two ACHD technical review meetings regarding developments along the corridor. • Held meetings with the public involvement consultant to plan for two public meetings scheduled for May 10 and 11, 2006. • Conducted negotiations of the scope of work and man-hour estimate for Work Order #2. • Participated with ITD staff in a work session with the Meridian Planning and Zoning Commission to discuss the project status and access management. • Prepared project brochure for printing in early April 2006. 	<p><i>PATRICIA NILSSON</i></p>
612	<p><i>MIDDLETON ROAD CONNECTIONS STUDY</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completed project. 	<p><i>PATRICIA NILSSON</i></p>
620	<p><i>GROWTH AND TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MONITORING</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completed 2005 Development Monitoring Report. • Researched transit level of service approaches for future report. 	<p><i>CHARLES TRAINOR</i></p>
626	<p><i>POPULATION ESTIMATE DEVELOPMENT</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presented Population Estimates to COMPASS Board for approval at the March 2006 meeting. Following approval, estimates were posted on the COMPASS website. 	<p><i>CHARLES TRAINOR</i></p>

<i>PROGRAM NO.</i>	<i>TITLE</i>	<i>LEAD STAFF</i>
631	<i>RAIL CORRIDOR FEASIBILITY STUDY</i>	<i>JOHN CUNNINGHAM</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Finalized and released Request for Qualifications 	
647	<i>LAND USE MODEL DEMONSTRATION PROJECT</i>	<i>JAY WITT</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No significant activity this month. 	
653	<i>COMMUNICATIONS AND EDUCATION</i>	<i>TERRI SCHORZMAN</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continued development of new COMPASS website and design of new brochure. Worked with member agencies for public presentations and community events. Issued an Executive Committee opinion piece on transportation funding equity in the State of Idaho to local newspapers. 	
661	<i>COMMUNITIES IN MOTION</i>	<i>CHARLES TRAINOR</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Made updates to the Communities in Motion website. Provided the first draft of Communities in Motion to the COMPASS technical committees for a technical review and comment of the document. Met with the Plan Coordination Team on March 24, 2006. Continued the update of the functional classification maps for Ada and Canyon Counties including a specially-called Regional Technical Advisory Committee on March 6, 2006 to finalize discussions. Continued the update of the capital improvement maps for Ada and Canyon Counties Held weekly staff meetings to ensure progress on Communities in Motion. Began staff-level discussion regarding implementation strategies for Communities in Motion. Participated in a Community Advisory Committee sponsored by Idaho Power on March 22, 2006. Hosted a Focus Group made up of members of the Public Participation Committee to provide comments on the concept of the "Communities in Conversation" process. 	
685	<i>TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM</i>	<i>TONI TISDALE</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hosted a TMA Balancing Committee meeting on March 9, 2006. Researched the High Priority funding for the Amity Road project and met with the City of Nampa on March 14, 2006. We expect several more meetings to secure a plan for this project. Met with the Idaho Transportation Department to better coordinate the Transportation Improvement Program and the State Transportation Improvement Program on March 10, 2006. Worked with various agencies and the Idaho Transportation Department in preparing required forms for the FY 2007-2011 Transportation Improvement Program. 	

<i>PROGRAM NO.</i>	<i>TITLE</i>	<i>LEAD STAFF</i>
701	<p><i>GENERAL MEMBERSHIP SERVICES</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Filled transportation modeling requests. • Produced approximately 33 maps and 14 hours of geographic information system assistance to member agencies. • Participated in meetings on Ada County Comprehensive Plan update. • Participated in ACHD consultant selection process for Transportation/Land Use Integration project. 	<i>CHARLES TRAINOR</i>
703	<p><i>GENERAL PUBLIC SERVICES</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provided information to the public on demographics and traffic issues. • Provided travel demand model data to the public upon request. • Produced approximately 64 maps for general public. 	<i>CHARLES TRAINOR</i>
705	<p><i>TRANSPORTATION LIAISON SERVICES</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussed COMPASS and its current and future services with various Board members and staff. • Attended an Intelligent Transportation System Plan stakeholders meeting on March 2, 2006. • Attended a meeting between M3 Companies, their traffic study consultant, and ACHD regarding a possible development north of Eagle on March 14, 2006. • Attended a meeting of the Treasure Valley Air Quality Council on March 22, 2006. • Attended the State of the City Addresses for the City of Meridian and the City of Kuna. • Attended State of the County Addresses for Ada and Canyon Counties. • Met with Mayor Watson on March 14, 2006 to provide a background of COMPASS committees and procedures. 	<i>MATT STOLL</i>
720	<p><i>THREE CITIES RIVER CROSSING CORRIDOR STUDY</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No significant activity this month. 	<i>JAY WITT</i>
729	<p><i>LAKE HAZEL EXTENSION STUDY</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participated in a meeting regarding demographic/model adjustments requested as part of the analysis of the project. 	<i>JAY WITT</i>
733	<p><i>CLEAN CITIES COALITION PARTICIPATION</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No significant activity this month. 	<i>JAY WITT</i>
740	<p><i>MERIDIAN PATHWAYS PLAN</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No activity this month. 	<i>ROSS DODGE</i>
741	<p><i>SOUTH MERIDIAN AREA PLAN</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No activity this month. 	<i>JOHN CUNNINGHAM</i>

<i>PROGRAM NO.</i>	<i>TITLE</i>	<i>LEAD STAFF</i>
742	<i>TEN MILE SPECIFIC AREA PLAN</i>	<i>JOHN CUNNINGHAM</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Developed Draft Scope of Work. 	
749	<i>I-84 HIGH OCCUPANCY VEHICLE (HOV) LANE FEASIBILITY STUDY</i>	<i>JAY WITT</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No activity this month. 	
751	<i>WEST BENCH CIRCULATION STUDY</i>	<i>JAY WITT</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No activity this month. 	
753	<i>FLOATING FEATHER ALIGNMENT STUDY</i>	<i>JAY WITT</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No significant activity this month. 	
755	<i>ACHD – CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN UPDATE</i>	<i>JAY WITT</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provided model data as requested. 	
760	<i>LEGISLATIVE SERVICES</i>	<i>MATT STOLL</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Participated in relevant activities in support of Board legislative position statements. Tracked and reported significant activity in federal and state transportation-related legislative issues. Took Missy Johnson of Congressman Otter's staff on a tour of proposed transportation projects for FY2007 Appropriations. Made a presentation regarding Idaho metropolitan planning organizations to the Senate Transportation Committee on March 8, 2006. Held a Board of Directors' press conference on March 21, 2006 stating local elected officials support and recommendations for compromise on JFAC's GARVEE funding for ITD. 	
801	<i>STAFF DEVELOPMENT</i>	<i>JEANNE URLEZAGA</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attended Western Benefits presentation on Prescription Costs. Attended class on Internet and Email Marketing. Attended seminar on "New Media for Reaching the Public." 	
820	<i>COMMITTEE SUPPORT</i>	<i>JEANNE URLEZAGA</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provided staff support to the COMPASS Board of Directors and standing committees. 	
836	<i>MODEL MAINTENANCE</i>	<i>JAY WITT</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continued to make improvements to the travel demand forecasting model as identified. Continued drafting the (2002) Model Calibration/Validation Report. Continued a "back casting" analysis with the current model to test the model's forecasting abilities. 	

<i>PROGRAM NO.</i>	<i>TITLE</i>	<i>LEAD STAFF</i>
842	<i>CONGESTION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM MAINTENANCE</i>	<i>JAY WITT</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Began the 2006 travel time data collection campaign with Idaho Transportation Department staff. Data collection will continue through May 2006 	
856	<i>TRANSPORTATION STUDIES COORDINATION</i>	<i>TONI TISDALE</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continued working with member agencies to obtain the latest information for the studies coordination website. 	
860	<i>GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM MAINTENANCE</i>	<i>ROSS DODGE</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participated in the monthly Ada County Special Interest Group, GIS Advisory Board and Canyon County Spatial Data Cooperative meetings. • Maintained various countywide geographic data layers. • Provided monthly map updates to Public Safety and Boise City Planning & Zoning. • Distributed the monthly data dissemination compact disks to subscribers. • Continued migration from coverage to geo-database in cooperation with member agencies. • Supported planning activities by providing approximately 17 mapping and data requests. • Continued with another installment of a GIS e-newsletter to improve coordination across jurisdictional boundaries. • Set up a meeting with cooperative members on a 2007-2010 ortho-photography project • Created a river floaters information map for Ada County Parks & Waterways 	
861	<i>ADA COUNTY ORTHOPHOTOGRAPHY</i>	<i>ROSS DODGE</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sold approximately 39 ortho-photography sections. 	
960	<i>INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY</i>	<i>JEANNE URLEZAGA</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continued coordination with the IT consultant. • Continued system maintenance and updates. 	
991	<i>SUPPORT SERVICES LABOR</i>	<i>JEANNE URLEZAGA</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attended regular staff meetings and prepared monthly status reports. • Oversaw general administration and personnel. 	



MEMORANDUM

TO: Regional Technical Advisory Committee

FROM: Toni Tisdale, Principal Planner

DATE: April 6, 2006

RE: Studies Coordination Report

ACTION REQUESTED:

None. Information only.

BACKGROUND:

COMPASS staff, in cooperation with member agency staff, has created a web page that provides brief information and graphical representation (map) of each regional transportation study in the Treasure Valley. The page can be found at <http://www.compassidaho.org/studies.html>.

STATUS:

Every month, members of the Regional Technical Advisory Committee are requested to review the map and textual information about the Transportation Studies Coordination and provide any necessary updates. This process will keep the information on the website as current as possible. Information may be relayed at the meeting or by contacting me prior to the meeting. My contact information follows:

- Phone: 208-855-2558, extension 228
- Fax: 208-855-2559
- Email: ttisdale@compassidaho.org

Changes Last Month

- Communities in Motion – changed the status expected completion to July 2006
- Downtown Boise Mobility Study – changed the status to “complete, adopted by the various sponsoring agencies in Winter 2005/2006”
- Alternative Analysis: Treasure Valley Priority Corridor and Downtown Boise Circulator – new study
- South Treasure Valley Arterial Corridor Study – updated the description text
- Moved links to specific study websites to the name of the study in the descriptions section.
- ACHD has provided numerous changes that are not yet incorporated.

pc: 856.03 Studies Coordination

TT:dw T:\FY06\800 Maintenance\856 Studies Coordination System Maint\0604061RTACStudiesCoord.doc

