


# COMMUNITIES IN MOTION 2035



REGIONAL LONG - RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

SEPTEMBER 2010



# *Communities in Motion 2035: Regional Long-Range Transportation Plan*

Adopted September 20, 2010  
Board of Directors, COMPASS



800 S. Industry Way, Suite 100  
Meridian, ID 83642  
[www.compassidaho.org](http://www.compassidaho.org)





**RESOLUTION NO. 15-2010**

**FOR THE PURPOSE OF APPROVING  
THE AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY FINDING  
AND ADOPTING *Communities in Motion* AS THE REGIONAL  
LONG-RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN**

**WHEREAS**, the Community Planning Association has been designated by the Governor of Idaho as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) responsible for transportation planning in Northern Ada County and the Nampa Urbanized Area;

**WHEREAS**, the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, and Efficient Transportation Equity Act – a Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) and Title 23 Part 450 and Title 49 Part 5303 of the Code of Federal Regulations require MPOs to prepare Regional Long-Range Transportation Plans covering a period of at least 20 years;

**WHEREAS**, the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendment requires all Regional Long-Range Transportation Plans in nonattainment areas demonstrate conformity to applicable state implementation plans for air quality improvements;

**WHEREAS**, the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho has performed an Air Quality Conformity Demonstration and has concluded the recommended plan does meet budget limits specified in the State Implementation Plan for particulate matter, volatile organic compounds and nitrogen oxides;

**WHEREAS**, the SAFETEA-LU and 23 United States Code Section 134 require the projects contained in the Regional Long-Range Transportation Plans to be financially constrained, which condition has been demonstrated in *Communities in Motion*; and

**WHEREAS**, a public comment period was held for *Communities in Motion* meeting the requirements of SAFETEA-LU.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Community Planning Association Board approves the finding that *Communities in Motion* conforms to relevant provisions of the State Implementation Plan for Idaho and hereby adopts *Communities in Motion*, dated September 20, 2010, as the Regional Long-Range Transportation Plan.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho Board of Directors authorizes the submittal of this amended plan to the appropriate local, state, and federal agencies for their consideration.

**Dated** this 20th day of September 2010.

**APPROVED:**

By:   
**David Bieter, Chair  
Community Planning Association Board**

**ATTEST:**

By:   
**Matthew J. Stall, Executive Director  
Community Planning Association**

850 S. Industry Way, Ste 200

Meridian, ID 83442

T. 208.353.2558

T. 208.353.2559

[www.cpa-idaho.org](http://www.cpa-idaho.org)

T:\FY10\900 Operations\999 Direct Operations\mal\c\lanos\Board\2010 Resolutions\Resolution 15 2010.doc



# Abstract

- Title: *Communities in Motion: Regional Long-Range Transportation Plan*
- Author: Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho (COMPASS)
- Subject: Regional growth, transportation
- Date: Adopted September 20, 2010, COMPASS Board of Directors
- Source of Copies: Communications Coordinator  
COMPASS  
208-855-2558 ext 231  
[www.compassidaho.org](http://www.compassidaho.org)
- Abstract: *Communities in Motion (CIM)* is the regional long-range transportation plan for Ada and Canyon Counties, Idaho, and provides regional transportation solutions for the next twenty-plus years. *Communities in Motion* evaluates projected population and employment growth, current and future transportation needs, safety, financial capacity, and preservation of the human and natural environment. *Communities in Motion* offers a vision for land use, known as “Community Choices” and addresses the way land use affects transportation, how investments in transportation influence growth, what and ideal transportation system can achieve, how transportation projects are selected, and how transportation projects serve regional needs.



# Preface

The Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho (COMPASS) is an association of local governments working together to plan for the future of Treasure Valley. COMPASS members set priorities for spending federal and state transportation dollars that come to southwest Idaho and play an important role in making decisions about future long-range transportation needs. They take into consideration environmental and economic factors that may affect our quality of life. COMPASS is the federally designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for transportation planning, which is required when an urbanized area reaches 50,000 people. COMPASS has served as the MPO for the Northern Ada County Urbanized Area since 1977 and for the Nampa Urbanized Area since early 2003. Members include two counties, eleven cities, three highway districts, the state department of transportation, and special and ex officio members. The full list is located in the Introduction.

COMPASS is responsible for producing the regional long-range transportation plan and the Transportation Improvement Programs for Ada County and Canyon County. COMPASS also manages corridors studies, monitors growth, and provides demographic, modeling, and geographic information services.

## *Vision for COMPASS*

*COMPASS is a respected forum that helps establish a healthy, economically vibrant region, offering people choices in how and where they live, work, play, and travel through the planning and support of a comprehensive multi-modal transportation system.*

## *Vision for Communities in Motion*

*We envision a Treasure Valley where quality of life is enhanced and communities are connected by an innovative, effective, multi-modal transportation system.*

Preparation of this document was financed in part through grants from the U.S. Department of Transportation, the Federal Highway Administration, and the Federal Transit Administration. The contents are the sole responsibility of the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho.





# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## COMMUNITIES IN MOTION 2035

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	ES-1
Defining the Vision .....	ES-1
Growing Our Region .....	ES-3
Designing the Future .....	ES-4
Roadways.....	ES-4
Transit .....	ES-8
Finding the Money .....	ES-9
Major changes in This Plan .....	ES-9
Putting <i>Communities in Motion</i> into Action.....	ES-10
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
Metropolitan Planning .....	1-1
About the Area.....	1-2
Housing and Transportation.....	1-3
Employment and Transportation .....	1-4
Assumptions .....	1-5
Elements .....	1-6
Expectations.....	1-7
CHAPTER 2. TAKING SHAPE.....	2-1
A Region Takes Shape.....	2-1
Transportation and Development Patterns .....	2-3
Demographics .....	2-4
An Organization Takes Shape: Community Planning Association of SW Idaho .....	2-4
A Regional Long-Range Transportation Plan Takes Shape: <i>Communities in Motion</i> .	2-5
A Change in Focus.....	2-6
Public Outreach, Education, Involvement .....	2-7
The Current Update.....	2-10
Implementation Since 2006 .....	2-11
Public Outreach .....	2-23
CHAPTER 3. DEFINING THE VISION .....	3-1
Where Do We Want to be in 2035?.....	3-1
Vision, Goals, and Scenarios .....	3-1
Trend vs. Community Choices .....	3-2
Guiding Principles for Land Use .....	3-6
Performance Monitoring Report .....	3-6
Definitions.....	3-6
Strategies/Summary Principles.....	3-7
Key Issues.....	3-17
Issue 1: Housing/Jobs Balance and Housing Choices.....	3-17
Issue 2: Transportation Choices/Shorter Commute Distances.....	3-20
Issue 3: Connectivity through Higher Densities and Less Land Developed .....	3-21
Issue 4: Open Space and Farmland.....	3-21
In Sum.....	3-22

CHAPTER 4. GROWING THE FUTURE .....	4-1
Regional Growth.....	4-1
Demographic Trends .....	4-1
Housing Trends.....	4-2
Energy Trends.....	4-4
Employment Trends .....	4-4
Treasure Valley as a Key Regional Hub.....	4-6
Continuing Compact Growth Vision .....	4-6
Forecasting .....	4-6
Modeling .....	4-7
Monitoring the Vision .....	4-8
Implementing the Vision .....	4-8
CHAPTER 5. DESIGNING THE FUTURE ROADWAY NETWORK .....	5-1
Where Are We Now? .....	5-1
Roadway Management.....	5-1
Current Ada and Canyon Roadways and Traffic.....	5-2
Land Use Implications for Roadways .....	5-2
Functional Street Classification.....	5-4
How to Use the Functional Classification Maps.....	5-7
Definitions and Specifications.....	5-8
Steps to Finalize Functional Classification .....	5-9
Short-Range Funded (Committed) Projects .....	5-9
The Plan for Future Corridors.....	5-14
How Were Corridors Placed in Priority in the 2006 Plan?.....	5-15
New Consideration of Corridors – Funded vs. Unfunded.....	5-16
Evaluating the Funded and Unfunded Corridors .....	5-22
Demonstration of Air Quality Conformance .....	5-29
Surrounding Counties .....	5-32
The Corridors Defined.....	5-33
Corridor Summaries (summaries in alphabetical order; being on page 5-34) .....	5-34
Amity Road	
Black Cat Road	
Bowmont/Kuna-More Road	
Cherry Land/Fairview Avenue	
Cloverdale Road	
Dewey Road and New Payette	
Five Mile Road	
Franklin Road	
Happy Valley Road	
Harris Creek/Centerville Road	
I-84 and I-184	
Locust Land and Lake Hazel Road	
Linder Road	
McDermott Road	
Meridian Road/State Highway 69	
Middleton Road	
Purple Sage/Beacon Light Road	

Rail Corridor	
Robinson/Star Road	
State Highway 16	
State Highway 21	
State Highway 44 (State Street)	
State Highway 45	
State Highway 55 (Eagle Road)/Three Cities River Crossing	
State Highway 55 (Karcher Road)	
Ten Mile Road	
US 20/26	
Ustick Road	
<b>CHAPTER 6. EXPANDING TRANSPORTATION CHOICES</b> .....	<b>6-1</b>
Local Public Transportation Resources .....	6-1
Public Transportation and Housing Choices.....	6-3
Cost of Providing Public Transportation .....	6-5
Revenue Sources .....	6-8
Federal Public Transportation Revenues.....	6-8
Local Public Transportation Revenues.....	6-8
Bike and Pathways.....	6-9
Safe Routes to Schools.....	6-10
Complete Streets.....	6-11
Challenges and Opportunities.....	6-12
<b>CHAPTER 7. MANAGING CONGESTION</b> .....	<b>7-1</b>
Background.....	7-1
Congestion Defined.....	7-1
Congestion Management Process .....	7-2
Federal Requirements.....	7-4
Findings .....	7-4
Management and Operations Strategies .....	7-5
Background .....	7-5
Findings.....	7-5
Congestion M&O Toolbox.....	7-6
Access Management.....	7-10
Access Management Benefits.....	7-11
Accidents .....	7-11
Traffic Conditions.....	7-12
Treasure Valley Planning.....	7-12
Intersection Innovation .....	7-14
Roundabouts .....	7-14
High Volume Intersections .....	7-16
Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) .....	7-17
Advanced Traveler Information Systems .....	7-18
Idaho 511 .....	7-19
Treasure Valley Services.....	7-19
Modern Traffic Signal Operations .....	7-21
Signal Timing .....	7-21

Signal Synchronization .....	7-22
Remote Signal Abilities.....	7-23
Adaptive Traffic Signal Controls.....	7-23
Signal Adjustment Results.....	7-24
Incident Response.....	7-24
Performance Monitoring.....	7-26
Linking Congestion to Prioritization .....	7-27
Future Policy and Planning to Reduce Congestion .....	7-28
Idaho Transportation Department .....	7-28
City of Nampa Transportation Plan .....	7-28
ACHD Transportation and Land-Use Integration Plan.....	7-29
COMPASS Congestion Management Process.....	7-30
Conclusion.....	7-31
Connection to the Long-Range Transportation Plan .....	7-32
CHAPTER 8. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION .....	8-1
Introduction.....	8-1
Environmental Review Process.....	8-1
Environmental Concerns .....	8-2
Water Quality: Surface and Groundwater.....	8-2
Wildlife, Fish, and Sensitive Habitats .....	8-3
Air Quality and Climate Change.....	8-4
Hazardous Waste and Contaminated Sites .....	8-6
Noise .....	8-7
Social and Economic Conditions and Environmental Justice .....	8-7
Areas of Concern.....	8-8
Floodplains.....	8-9
Wetlands.....	8-9
Public and Outdoor Recreation Lands .....	8-10
Agricultural and Farmland.....	8-11
Historical, Cultural, and Archaeological Resources .....	8-11
Mitigation Strategies .....	8-11
Water Quality and Stream or Wetlands Disturbances.....	8-12
Run-Off (Stormwater).....	8-13
Groundwater .....	8-13
Wildlife, Fish, and Sensitive Habitats .....	8-13
Historical and Archeological Resources .....	8-16
Agricultural and Farmland.....	8-16
Hazardous Waste and Contaminated Sites .....	8-16
Air Quality and Climate Change.....	8-16
Conclusion.....	8-17
CHAPTER 9. MAKING TRANSPORTATION MORE SECURE .....	9-1
Need for Consideration.....	9-1
Roadway Networks and Facilities.....	9-1
Snow .....	9-2
Fires.....	9-2
Dams .....	9-2

Earthquakes .....	9-2
Landslides .....	9-3
Floods .....	9-3
General Findings .....	9-4
Future Actions .....	9-5
Transit Networks and Facilities .....	9-6
Threats to Transit Passengers and Facilities .....	9-6
Disruption to Services .....	9-7
Provision of Evacuation Services .....	9-7
CHAPTER 10. MAKING TRANSPORTATION SAFER.....	10-1
Background.....	10-1
State Highway Safety Plan .....	10-1
Aggressive Driving .....	10-3
Commercial Vehicles .....	10-6
Emergency Medical Services .....	10-8
Highway-Rail Grade Crossings.....	10-9
Impaired Drivers .....	10-14
Mature Drivers .....	10-15
Occupant Protection.....	10-17
Road Related Crashes.....	10-18
Vulnerable Users – Bicyclists, Motorcycles, Pedestrians/School Children.....	10-21
Young Drivers.....	10-26
CHAPTER 11. MOVING GOODS AND AIR TRAFFIC .....	11-1
Background.....	11-1
The Idaho Trucking Association.....	11-2
Freight Services.....	11-2
Highway Freight .....	11-3
Rail Freight (with Passenger Discussion).....	11-5
Air Travel and Freight .....	11-7
Linking Project Prioritization and Freight Corridors .....	11-11
National and Regional Freight Corridors.....	11-11
Local Freight Corridors .....	11-12
Project Prioritization .....	11-13
CHAPTER 12. FINDING THE MONEY .....	12-1
Federal Requirements.....	12-1
The Importance of Financial Analysis .....	12-1
Purpose of “Funding Transportation Needs” .....	12-2
The Economic Setting.....	12-2
Roadway Revenue Assumptions.....	12-3
Roadway Costs.....	12-4
Transit Revenue Assumptions .....	12-4
Transit Costs .....	12-5
Conclusions of the Study .....	12-5
Roadways .....	12-5
Roadway Maintenance .....	12-6
Where Does the Money Come From? .....	12-7

Federal Funds .....	12-7
State-Collected Highway Funds.....	12-12
Local Highway Funds .....	12-15
Transit Funds .....	12-20
How Are Projects Budgeted?.....	12-23
Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) .....	12-23
State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) .....	12-23
Capital Improvement Program (CIP) .....	12-23
Transit Development Program .....	12-24
Cost of the Transportation System .....	12-24
Roadways .....	12-24
Transit .....	12-25
What is the Shortfall and What Does it Mean for the Average Household? .....	12-26
What are Some of the Potential Revenue Sources that Could or Should be Considered?.....	12-26
What Would it Take to Tap These Sources? .....	12-28
CHAPTER 13. FOSTERING SUSTAINABILITY AND LIVABILITY .....	13-1
Sustainable Communities Initiative.....	13-1
Provide More Transportation Choices .....	13-2
Promote Equitable, Affordable Housing .....	13-2
Enhance Economic Competitiveness.....	13-2
Support Existing Communities .....	13-2
Coordinate Policies and Leverage Investment .....	13-3
Value Communities and Neighborhoods .....	13-3
Developing a Sustainable, Livable Region.....	13-3
CHAPTER 14. LOOKING BEYOND 2035 .....	14-1
Setting the Stage.....	14-1
Growth Beyond 2035 .....	14-2
Buildout Implications.....	14-2
Implications of Buildout Analysis for the Transportation System.....	14-4
Comparisons to Other Communities .....	14-8
The Next Plan .....	14-9
In Sum.....	14-11
APPENDICES AND OTHER MATERIALS .....	Appendices-1
Appendix A. Public Comments and Responses; Comment Form .....	A-1
Appendix B. Member Agency Comments and Responses.....	B-1
Appendix C. Air Quality Conformity Demonstration .....	C-1
Appendix D. Transportation Related References from Security Plans .....	D-1
Appendix E. Summary List of Federal Highway Programs .....	E-1
Appendix F. Land Use Plans Used to Develop Land Use Scenarios .....	F-1
Glossary .....	Glossary-1
Acronyms .....	Acronyms-1
Acknowledgements .....	Acknowledgements-1

# LIST OF TABLES

## *COMMUNITIES IN MOTION 2035*

Table 1-1: Percentage of Housing.....	1-4
Table 1-2: Matrix of Key Issues as Related to Goals .....	1-7
Table 2-1: Public Survey Questions and Answers .....	2-26
Table 2-2: Quantitative Public Comment Results .....	2-29
Table 3-1: Estimated Effects of Growth Scenarios.....	3-3
Table 3-2: Goals/Objectives/Tasks.....	3-8
Table 3-3: Matrix of Key Issues as Related to Goals .....	3-17
Table 3-4: Design Principles Consistent with Community Choices .....	3-22
Table 4-1: Summary Demographics for 2035.....	4-8
Table 5-1: Existing Network with 2010 Demographics.....	5-2
Table 5-2: 2010-2014 Regional Short Range (Committed) Project List .....	5-10
Table 5-3: Programmed Network with 2035 Demographics.....	5-12
Table 5-4: Funded Corridors, In Alphabetical Order.....	5-18
Table 5-5: Partially Funded Corridors, In Alphabetical Order .....	5-19
Table 5-6: Unfunded Corridors, In Alphabetical Order.....	5-20
Table 5-7: Funded Corridors with 2035 Demographics .....	5-22
Table 5-8: Funded and Unfunded Corridors with 2035 Demographics .....	5-22
Table 5-9: Population and Employment Changes Within One Mile of Corridor .....	5-25
Table 5-10: Residential Properties Along Corridors.....	5-26
Table 5-11: Traffic Impacts on Selected Corridors .....	5-29
Table 5-12: Year of Expenditure for Funded Corridors and Partial Corridor Segments .....	5-30
Table 5-13: Surrounding County List, In Alphabetical Order .....	5-33
Table 6-1: Transit Service Levels and Land Use.....	6-4
Table 6-2: Service Levels and Costs for Optimal Transit System.....	6-6
Table 6-3: Summary Statistics for Selected Transit Entities.....	6-7
Table 7-1: Congestion Thresholds .....	7-2
Table 7-2: M&O Strategies “Toolbox” .....	7-7
Table 7-3: Signals and Travel Time .....	7-12
Table 7-4: Intersection 2010-2030 Return on Investment .....	7-17
Table 7-5: Traffic Study Benefits.....	7-22
Table 7-6: Ascending (East or Northbound) Travel .....	7-26
Table 7-7: Descending (West or Southbound) Travel.....	7-27
Table 7-8: TIP Project Prioritization, Potential Points .....	7-27
Table 7-9: Prioritization for Congestion Mitigation.....	7-30
Table 8-1: Threatened and Endangered Species in Ada and Canyon Counties .....	8-4
Table 8-2: Matrix of Environmental and Resource Agency Consultation .....	8-18



Table 10-1: Rail Crossings in Ada and Canyon Counties.....	10-11
Table 10-2: Seat Belt Usage and Injury/Fatality Rates.....	10-17
Table 11-1: Boise Airport Statistics .....	11-8
Table 11-2: Public Use Airports in the Six-County Area .....	11-10
Table 11-3: TIP Project Prioritization, Potential Points .....	11-13
Table 12-1: Authorized Funding for Federal Highway Programs – Idaho .....	12-9
Table 12-2: Federal Transit Funding under SAFETEA-LU .....	12-9
Table 12-3: National Funding for Section 5309 Program .....	12-10
Table 12-4: Highway Distribution Account Revenues and Expenditures .....	12-13
Table 12-5: Property Tax Funds Used for Roadways by County .....	12-17
Table 12-6: Service-Area Performance Statistics – Fiscal Year 2007 .....	12-21
Table 12-7: Sources of Funds – Valley Regional Transit Services.....	12-22
Table 12-8: Examples of Revenue Sources .....	12-27
Table 12-9: Possible Sources to Raise \$98 Million .....	12-28
Table 14-1: Expenditure Comparison with Other Regions.....	14-9

# LIST OF FIGURES

## *COMMUNITIES IN MOTION 2035*

Figure 1-1: Interstate-84 Traffic Growth.....	1-5
Figure 2-1: Regional Growth .....	2-4
Figure 2-2: Total Residential Permits.....	2-10
Figure 2-3: Planning Work Supports Transportation Construction Projects .....	2-12
Figure 2-4: Highway 44 Corridor Study Area .....	2-13
Figure 2-5: US 20/26 Corridor Study Area.....	2-13
Figure 2-6: State Street Study Area.....	2-14
Figure 2-7: Kuna-Mora Road Corridor Study Area .....	2-14
Figure 2-8: Walkable Neighborhoods Analysis Map in Performance Monitoring Report .....	2-16
Figure 2-9: High Capacity Transit Corridor Potential Alignments .....	2-17
Figure 2-10: Continuous Flow Intersection .....	2-18
Figure 2-11: Median U-Turn with Bowtie .....	2-18
Figure 2-12: Public Participation.....	2-19
Figure 2-13: Complete Streets .....	2-21
Figure 2-14: Example of a Major Activity Center.....	2-22
Figure 2-15: Public Education Series .....	2-23
Figure 2-16: Open House, Fall 2009.....	2-24
Figure 2-17: Stand-Alone <i>Communities in Motion</i> Display .....	2-25
Figure 2-18: Bags for “Meeting in a Bag” .....	2-27
Figure 3-1: “Trend” Residential Development.....	3-2
Figure 3-2: “Community Choices” Residential Development.....	3-2
Figure 3-3: Trend Map 2006 Plan.....	3-4
Figure 3-4: Community Choices Map 2006 Plan.....	3-5
Figure 3-5: Age/Gender Ada/Canyon .....	3-19
Figure 3-6: Age/Gender Canyon County .....	3-19
Figure 3-7: Age/Gender Ada County .....	3-19
Figure 3-8: Transportation Choices .....	3-20
Figure 4-1: Total New Construction Permits Issued by Year .....	4-2
Figure 4-2: Housing Affordability.....	4-3
Figure 4-3: Housing + Transportation Affordability.....	4-3
Figure 4-4: Boise-Nampa Unemployment Rate .....	4-5
Figure 4-5: Truck Flows Map.....	4-6
Figure 4-6: UPlan Land Use Model.....	4-7
Figure 4-7: 2030 Population Density.....	4-9
Figure 4-8: 2010 Employment Density .....	4-10
Figure 4-9: 2035 Employment Density .....	4-11
Figure 4-10: Stakeholder Benefits .....	4-12

Figure 5-1: 2010 Congestion on Existing Network .....	5-3
Figure 5-2: 2030 Functional Classification Map .....	5-5
Figure 5-3: 2035 Functional Classification Map .....	5-6
Figure 5-4: How Roadways Function .....	5-7
Figure 5-5: 2035 Congested Speeds 2015 Programmed Network .....	5-13
Figure 5-6: Funded and Unfunded Corridors .....	5-21
Figure 5-7: Congestion Using 2035 Demographics on Funded Network .....	5-23
Figure 5-8: Congestion Using 2035 Demographics on Funded and Unfunded Network.....	5-24
Figure 5-9: Funded and Unfunded Corridors with Community Choices Scenario .....	5-27
Figure 5-10: SH 55, Locust Grove and Cloverdale .....	5-28
Figure 5-11: US 20/26, McMillan and Ustick .....	5-28
Figure 6-1: Valley Regional Transit Total Service Area Ridership .....	6-2
Figure 6-2: Local Revenues Needed to Implement the Planned Transit System .....	6-9
Figure 6-3: Ada and Canyon County Bikeways .....	6-10
Figure 7-1: Access Management Treatments .....	7-9
Figure 7-2: Benefits of Access Management .....	7-11
Figure 7-3: Crashes per Million Vehicle Miles Travelled .....	7-11
Figure 7-4: Phasing Access Management .....	7-13
Figure 7-5: Use of Roundabouts .....	7-14
Figure 7-6: Happy Valley/Amity .....	7-15
Figure 7-7: 36 <sup>th</sup> Street/Hill/Catalpa Preliminary Design .....	7-15
Figure 7-8: Examples of High Volume Intersections: Bowtie, Jug Handle, Parallel Flow Intersections.....	7-16
Figure 7-9: ITD Traveler Services Web Site .....	7-19
Figure 7-10: ACHD Web Site .....	7-20
Figure 8-1: General Areas of Environmental Concern .....	8-8
Figure 9-1: Idaho Earthquake Profile .....	9-3
Figure 9-2: Landslide Prone Landscapes of Ada County .....	9-3
Figure 9-3: 100-Year Flood Zones .....	9-4
Figure 9-4: Transit Center Concept .....	9-6
Figure 10-1: Roundabout Example.....	10-5
Figure 10-2: Accidents on I-84.....	10-5
Figure 10-3: Commercial Vehicle Accidents in Ada and Canyon Counties.....	10-7
Figure 10-4: Rail Crossings.....	10-12
Figure 10-5: Rail Crossing Accident Sites .....	10-13
Figure 10-6: Impaired Driver Accidents in Ada and Canyon Counties .....	10-14
Figure 10-7: Mature Driver Crashes in Ada and Canyon Counties .....	10-16
Figure 10-8: Mature Driver Crashes by Time of Day.....	10-16
Figure 10-9: Bike Accidents.....	10-22
Figure 10-10: Pedestrian Accidents.....	10-23
Figure 10-11: Motorcycle Accidents.....	10-24
Figure 10-12: Young Driver Accidents in Ada and Canyon Counties .....	10-27

Figure 11-1: External Station Data Collection Project Tracking Locations .....	11-4
Figure 11-2: National and Regional Freight Corridors.....	11-11
Figure 11-3: Local Freight Corridors .....	11-12
Figure 12-1: Transit Operating and Capital Costs .....	12-5
Figure 12-2: Local/State Revenues and Expenditures .....	12-6
Figure 12-3: Local Road Revenues and Expenditures .....	12-6
Figure 12-4: Highway Distribution Account Revenue.....	12-13
Figure 12-5: Transportation Cost Inflation.....	12-14
Figure 12-6: Sources of Funding for Local Roads .....	12-14
Figure 12-7: Sources of Local Funding for Roads .....	12-15
Figure 12-8: Ranking of Idaho Tax Rates in US .....	12-16
Figure 12-9: Total Local Roadway Revenue by County .....	12-19
Figure 12-10: Change in Roadway Cost Estimates .....	12-24
Figure 12-11: Optimal Transit System Cost Compared to Base System .....	12-25
Figure 13-1: Sustainability .....	13-4
Figure 14-1: Buildout Analysis Land Use Map .....	14-3
Figure 14-2: Vehicle Miles of Travel .....	14-4
Figure 14-3: Vehicle Hours of Delay.....	14-5
Figure 14-4: I-84 Traffic Levels.....	14-6
Figure 14-5: Buildout Analysis on Full Communities in Motion Network.....	14-7