

# A Lot Can Change in 30 Years Public Survey (September 11 – November 3, 2019)

## Open-Ended Comments

*Thank you to all commenters. All open-ended comments will be provided to the COMPASS Board of Directors.*

Question / Quantitative Responses	Comment <small>(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)</small>
<b>Where do you see yourself living in the future? (Home)</b>	
No answer	Stay in my current home
House on a larger lot	I currently live in a single family detached house on a moderately sized lot that adjoins dedicated open space. I don't plan to change.
House on a larger lot	This will change as I age.
House on a larger lot	Single family homes lower the density of the population. Our infrastructure, specifically schools and roads, becomes congested when apartments and multi-unit homes are built. Traffic congestion and overcrowded schools are already a problem that must be addressed.
No answer	Staying in existing home
House on a larger lot	We plan to "age out" in our current home on a quiet, large lot at the edge of the city. But, we plan to install an ADU and move my parents into the ADU. When they vacate, we may occupy it, with our children moving into the larger home.
No answer	I live in a subdivision in Eagle. 1/3 acre lot, 3100 sq. ft home. No changes anticipated.
House on a smaller lot	2 <sup>nd</sup> choice would be shared housing
House on a smaller lot	Though I suspect it'll be an apartment in the city downtown
No answer	Stay where I am
No answer	Full time RVing.
No answer	Plan on staying at present home.
No answer	I'm happy with my current home
House on a larger lot	I'm not moving so it would be a house on the same size lot.
No answer	Same house
No answer	already live in a small (1100 sf) house on a small lot.
House on a smaller lot	Large lot now
House on a smaller lot	As a millennial, if I am able to afford a house, I imagine it will be on a smaller lot. Larger homes are more expensive and harder to maintain. Though I don't necessarily want to be close to my neighbors, I feel that a smaller home on a smaller lot is more realistic for people currently between the ages of 25-35.
House on a smaller lot	Town home attached to another in a row. Think sanfransisco or new england but boise. Thats what I'd hope for.
Shared housing (e.g., a roommate or granny flat)	At the rate things are going and the fact that I will be retired... Staying in my home may require me to figure out an alternative situation where I can rent a room or a separate space on my property.
No answer	I don't totally understand what COMPASS will be getting from this question. First, I am guessing many more people will say that they picture themselves in a single story house than the number of people who will actually be willing and able to pay for this - most expensive option. This reminds me of the recent articles that 1/2 of millenials believe that they will become millionaires. Hopefully COMPASS isn't going to make suggestions regarding zoning or transportation that oversupplies this housing type, and locks the region into the expensive and inefficient land use and transportation options that comes with it.
House on a larger lot	I own a house on a decent sized lot and do not want to share the space.
House on a larger lot	I will be moving out of town because of crowding & Californians
House on a larger lot	Plan to continue living in my family home built in the 1950's. Classic ranch on large view lot overlooking BSU.
Condo or apartment	It's important to have variety and choices.

Question / Quantitative Responses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
House on a larger lot	I love my home right now which is a house on 1/3 acre. I hope to remain here a long time. My choice is to remain where I am.
Shared housing (e.g., a roommate or granny flat)	Hoping to share a home with our son to help us as we are seniors with health issues.
No answer	Not planning on moving
House on a larger lot	I will hopefully be in the house I'm in now if I'm not taxed out of it.
House on a smaller lot	Could move to condo as I age.
Condo or apartment	Dense housing must also be supported by shared green/open space.
No answer	Already own a house on a nice sized lot and don't plan to move
House on a larger lot	Without all farm land being consumed
House on a smaller lot	Our plan is house on a smaller lot where our teens can launch into adulthood staying in our home. I would call it co-housing but maybe that is not the correct term. Our motto is pool our resources though [end of comment]
House on a larger lot	Larger/Smaller lot is quite vague. Is 1/4 acre small or large?
House on a smaller lot	If it were available, I would have picked small house on small lot. Housing has to be affordable. This is the only way I see that happening.
House on a smaller lot	Diversity of options is the best choice.
No answer	In my current house if I am not taxed out of it.
House on a larger lot	Preserving larger lots allows people the ability to grow their own food to control this part of their nutrition. In addition, gardening is good exercise and is proven to relieve stress.
House on a larger lot	Want to live where I can have a large garden & my horses.
House on a larger lot	I'd like a horse property with plenty of land for an orchard
House on a larger lot	I hope to be married with a large family
No answer	Same size lot. Where is that option?
House on a larger lot	Density is becoming way to high. Growth is good but needs to be controlled as we are over-running our infrastructure and creating the potential for gridlock.
Condo or apartment	I see myself ideally having access to both a house on a large lot (either in town or out of town) AND and a nice downtown apartment or condo. Roaming from place to place as I see fit.
Condo or apartment	senior living community
No answer	House on acreage
House on a larger lot	I'm planning to retire from a house in a neighborhood in Boise to a house in a rural setting in Canyon County
House on a smaller lot	A meaningless question. I may want a certain house but may not be able to afford it and my fellow citizens should not be required to pay to maintain infrastructure to my preference that they cannot afford. Come on, people, assume we are smarter than this!
Shared housing (e.g., a roommate or granny flat)	Senior living
House on a smaller lot	None of these were choices I'd make; I plan to stay in the home I own for as long as possible.
Condo or apartment	When I retire, I would like to live in a downtown Boise condo
House on a smaller lot	In a Senior living community.
No answer	Because of uncontrolled growth and over population from high density apartments, I will do not see myself or my kids being able to live in Meridian city. Taxes are going up faster then wages, making older residence move out of their homes after 15 plus years in their homes
Shared housing (e.g., a roommate or granny flat)	Remember that most people might want a house on a large lot but cannot afford it and there is limited supply with ease of transportation
House on a smaller lot	In 20 years I will be 95 so will be in nursing home or apartment.
No answer	Stay in current home
No answer	No change from current home in the near future.
No answer	Same. Plan on staying put.

Question / Quantitative Responses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)	
House on a smaller lot	I happily trade personal space (large lot, large house) to live closer to downtown and lively areas.	
House on a larger lot	How about a house on the same size lot we are already on? I would choose that one.	
House on a smaller lot	would help to define larger/smaller lot	
No answer	In the mountains; Either around Cascade or Garden Valley, on some property of ten acres, or more. Away from the city!	
House on a larger lot	The prospect of living in close quarters with others who are noisy is the stuff of nightmares. I specifically want distance from my neighbors. Proximity does not make good neighbors.	
Condo or apartment	Downtown Boise	
House on a smaller lot	I am currently living in a house with a smaller lot and intend to stay there for 8-10 years.	
No answer	I don't plan to move. my home is in the heart of the best city in Ada County and has all the amenities I want	
Shared housing (e.g., a roommate or granny flat)	Looking for net zero energy housing with shared common area. Also ADA options for aging.	
No answer	Your question should be: "what type of housing is most desirable", which is a question everyone can answer. However, many people can't answer this question correctly when their answer is: none of the above (because we're not moving)	
Shared housing (e.g., a roommate or granny flat)	As a 73-year-old, I will need accessibility features and live on a single level, although a helper could live on a different floor. I want to continue living in my large home, with housemates I like.	
Shared housing (e.g., a roommate or granny flat)	I have always lived in my adult life in a house on a larger lot but as I prepare for my demise in the next 15 years the character of intergenerational living really appeals to me.	
No answer	Retired, staying where I am	
No answer	I want house small yard but not sitting that close to my neighbor	
Shared housing (e.g., a roommate or granny flat)	I am getting older and expect to have to live with my daughter. I would prefer to live in my own home but my health may require something different.	
No answer	Same house	
No answer	No change	
House on a larger lot	Lots don't need to be overly large, but neither do we need to see houses sitting virtually on top of each other - slightly larger set backs we be appropriate. I would not be adverse to small neighbor sections that feature "row" houses. so long as paring is adequately addressed, and open space communal areas are included.	
No answer	Same house	
House on a smaller lot	I plan to work in and around Boise in the near and somewhat distant future. I want a comfortable house that feels private, but is still near the activities I enjoy. To get all of these, I would be willing to live in a smaller lot that has a majority of the space dedicated to the house.	
House on a larger lot	I'm looking forward to having a big family and lots of space and privacy.	
House on a larger lot	High density housing in the city and low density out of the city.	
No answer	I plan on living in the same place until I die.	
No answer	Currently live in a house on a smaller lot, and will for next 20 years, but then would anticipate downsizing to a townhouses/condo	
No answer	Retirement facility	
House on a smaller lot	I currently live in a house on a smaller lot. I support and believe that we should be building houses on larger lots. Density complimented by nearby services and employment can have a positive impact on our communities and an individuals well-being. I have lived in Boise since 2003 and been fortunate to live and work nearby, so biking and walking are part of my daily life. It makes life simple. Now with a family, our kids can walk and bike to preschool and are experiencing the joy of this lifestyle. We should be striving for this in the Treasure Valley!	
Shared housing (e.g., a roommate or granny flat)	Renting my house and living abroad.	
House on a larger lot	Rural not in a cookie cutter neighborhood	

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
House on a smaller lot	RV at the Boise Riverside RV Park
House on a larger lot	Boise must not lose its soul as it grows. Open space, ag land, big old properties must remain. High-density development must ONLY exist within a walking-distance radius of career centers and quality public transportation.
House on a smaller lot	With plenty of share green space.
House on a smaller lot	Plan on staying put. Live on a 1/4 ac lot, probably falls in between larger lot / smaller lot. Please reclassify my answer if needed.
Shared housing (e.g., a roommate or granny flat)	What I want, and what I need, and What I can afford is three different things.
No answer	In my car it's getting to expensive
House on a larger lot	flawed question in the absence of the demographic of responder
No answer	None of the above
No answer	I plan on staying where I am in Boise 83705
House on a smaller lot	Houses in eagle are built way to big and all the water features are wasted space. That's a luxury people can do without.
No answer	The 1,120 sq.ft. home that sits on .16 acres that I have owned for the last 10 years in Colombia Village.
No answer	My farm
Condo or apartment	I'm retired, rent apartment, don't commute, don't shop stores, do shop online, drive down car. Your app sucks, kicked me out 8 times.
No answer	Assisted living
Shared housing (e.g., a roommate or granny flat)	Being seniors we don't want a lot of yard work so granny flat would be nice to be near our kids
No answer	Not planning on moving
No answer	Same home & lot as I currently gave
House on a smaller lot	The greedy contractors are building housed with NO side storage for boat or trailer - forcing me to sell both ! DAM IT ALSO - IF A HOUSE gets on fire - you can expect the rest of the block of houses to burn also. Thanks Boise City Planners and board.
House on a larger lot	I don't see myself moving again, and staying put is not 1 of your options.
No answer	None of the above. I will stay in my current house.
Shared housing (e.g., a roommate or granny flat)	Individual accomadations with multiple extended family dwellings on one property.
Shared housing (e.g., a roommate or granny flat)	Family compound with individual housing units
Shared housing (e.g., a roommate or granny flat)	Shared housing with friends.
Condo or apartment	Apartments are already beyond what the average person is able to afford. After paying The overpriced rent for junk apartments We don't have enough money to even buy food that will last a month. Property management business is purposely making rents WAY to High. They charge \$750 a month even for a piece crap 50 year old trailer to live in. rent pricing is affordable in Boise. For the average wages in Idaho. Soon there will be people on every street corner begging money. And our lawmakers refuse to do anything about it. I for one plan on getting the hell out of this valley A.S.A.P.
House on a larger lot	Happy to stay in existing home.

Question / Quantitative Responses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)	
<b>Where do you see yourself living in the future? (Neighborhood)</b>		
In a residential neighborhood in a larger town	I currently live in Hidden Springs and don't plan to move. I'm afraid that the nice relaxed rural setting is going to change as our county approves more developments. I think we should stop trying to grow and focus more on maintaining the quality of our area.	
In a residential neighborhood in a larger town	This is where I live now and where I see myself for the next 10 years or so. This will change as I age.	
In a residential neighborhood in a larger town	This will also change as I age.	
In a residential neighborhood in a larger town	Boise...Meridian...Nampa...Middleton are already becoming one large town, which is ok. Smart planning for continuous growth is imperative to keep our desirable quality of life here in the Treasure Valley.	
In / near downtown or other area with retail / jobs	3-5 miles from downtown is considered "near" to me.	
In a residential neighborhood in a small town	Is Boise a small town or a large town? I think of it as small.	
In a residential neighborhood in a small town	small house (1100 sf) in neighborhood walkable to transit and downtown.	
In a residential neighborhood in a larger town	As far as Boise goes, I think the likely trajectory is a residential neighborhood in a larger town and/or a more "downtown" feel. If I'm in Boise, I'm hedging toward residential neighborhood in a larger town over a downtown due to costs associated with housing.	
In / near downtown or other area with retail / jobs	My hope is that there will be space left in my bench neighborhood that supports grocery shopping that is locally grown and sourced.	
In / near downtown or other area with retail / jobs	These types of neighborhoods are largely absent from the Treasure Valley - largely because of the rules that planning agencies enforce such as strict single-use zoning, excessive parking requirements, height restrictions, and the design of very wide arterial roads that are unpleasant to be near outside of the protection of a car.	
In a residential neighborhood in a small town	Boise needs more condos & apartments. More dense urban housing to satisfy demand. But I'll be moving out of Boise due to it turning into a bigger city, which I do not like.	
In a residential neighborhood in a larger town	Proximity to grocery stores and necessary services along with walkability and cycle friendly (i.e. safety) are primary interest. 3 of the choices given could meet this criteria.	
No answer	Where I am now - small development in a rural area	
In / near downtown or other area with retail / jobs	Or residential neighborhood close to downtown and public transportation.	
No answer	In my current neighborhood	
In a rural setting	Want to live in this setting to enjoy the views. have some space between neighbors. Grow a large garden & raise my horses.	
No answer	We're retired and plan on living in our single family home on a large lot (3/4 acre) until we can no longer manage the property.	
In a rural setting	crowds and traffic are becoming too much. we would like to go to less dense areas in a more rural area.	
In a residential neighborhood in a larger town	An even more useless question. There are huge differences in the public cost to maintain infrastructure in different areas. Why aren't you informing us of this before asking for our preference?	
In a residential neighborhood in a small town	Boise has a huge problem with ACHD making the streets into super highways for out of towners, which reduces the quality of life for actual Boiseans-- I have to wait and wait and wait to even turn out of my neighborhood, and the road, motorcycle, and siren noise, and air pollution, are horrible!!!!	
In a residential neighborhood in a larger town	I want big city conveniences and a quality home.	
No answer	The town will continue to grow but it will probably always be the 2nd largest in Idaho	

<b>Question / Quantitative Responses</b>	<b>Comment</b> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
In a residential neighborhood in a small town	Similar to NE, close to shopping and entertainment. Ability to walk and bike to restaurants and entertainment.
In a rural setting	I currently live in Boise east end Mesa. In retirement I have property in Parma id and plan to live there
No answer	I honestly do not see myself affording to live in this valley. I'm 3 weeks away from being homeless being forced to move and there is no affordable housing to rent or buy. I'd have to live in Payette and drive 100 miles a day! This valley is no longer home. If I had my choice, rural setting, my own home.
In a residential neighborhood in a larger town	Reconsider our zoning laws ..... 1) St. Al's recently built several buildings along Emerald St. - all single story structures - 2 or 3 story buildings would have been far better land utilization 2 - Stop kowtowing to developers - require certain infrastructures to be in place before development is even considered, and raise impact fees to ensure secondary infrastructure is adequately funded ..... a more holistic approach (schools / roads / water & sewer / emergency response / etc. with all stakeholders weighing in) should be the norm, not the exception.
No answer	residential in Boise, similar to where/what I have now
No answer	Currently a large lot in a rural large lot sub in the County that will be in the City within 10-15 years. And then close to regular sized lot subs.
In a residential neighborhood in a larger town	At the greatly expanded (by a factor of 4) Boise Riverside RV Park
In a residential neighborhood in a small town	Make developers pay for infrastructure improvements before the build. A perfect example of over-building infrastructure is Middleton Road (or 20/26).
No answer	Planned community of villages and/or hamlets.
In a residential neighborhood in a small town	I don't see being able to afford to stay in Boise.
No answer	I'm retired so I'm staying on my 1 acre Property in 83705
In a residential neighborhood in a small town	I hope to move from this city when I retire in 13 years. It's gotten to be a terrible place and I would be glad to be gone, I've been here for 50 years already.
No answer	Assisted living
In a rural setting	The Treasure Valley has gotten too big for our liking. In the next 10 years, we plan to retire to somewhere more rural and smaller.
In a residential neighborhood in a small town	I thought that was where I was in meridian before the explosive growth
In a residential neighborhood in a larger town	Staying in Boise
No answer	Not applicable
In a residential neighborhood in a small town	Easy access to Boise downtown
In / near downtown or other area with retail / jobs	This is where I would choose to live, but can't afford due to extreme prices
No answer	in the Collister Neighborhood on a small acreage!!!

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
<b>Where would you prefer to spend your leisure time?</b>	
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	Choices don't fit super well - chose public places but mean church, library, parks rather than shopping locations like mall; this is about 50/50 split with outdoors, but had to choose 1
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	Really think that all except the public places fits my desires.
Hosting friends at my home or visiting theirs	All of the above!
Hosting friends at my home or visiting theirs	all of the choices fit our lifestyle.
Mainly at home by myself or with family	I like events that are cultural such as the symphony or Boise Baroque.
Hosting friends at my home or visiting theirs	2nd choice would be nature.
No answer	Why do I have to pick only one?
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	More theater options in the Nampa Caldwell area would be fantastic, similar to Boise contemporary theater.
Mainly at home by myself or with family	Also prefer nature. Would've selected both if could've.
Hosting friends at my home or visiting theirs	Leisure time?
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	I like the access Boise has to natural open spaces. I feel if we don't prioritize them, we will lose them.
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	Please preserve these spaces. The value exceeds the price that developers are currently paying for the open spaces currently sold.
Mainly at home by myself or with family	Not listed is OUT OF THE CITY in the National Forest, National Parks.
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	These are not really one answer questions! Ideally all of the above, with proximity to nature, real nature not fabricated parks
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	I want my life to be balanced, varied, and experience all of these choices. I can't choose one.
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	I would like to select all of the above. I live in and love boise because of the variety of things that are available. However, I chose nature because our foothills and river system are one of our most precious assets and it will surely be a challenge managing the preservation of those spaces amidst a growing population.
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	I truly enjoy all of the above depending on weather
Public places (e.g., theater, mall, sports venue)	public places AND in nature, we have so many lovely locations here in the treasure valley & surrounding areas!
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	In a rural setting I can walk in my neighborhood and enjoy it.
Mainly at home by myself or with family	More reeding
Public places (e.g., theater, mall, sports venue)	Pretty meaningless question unless we know the trade offs. Many people are FORCED to stay at home because they cannot afford to live near places they might otherwise be able to go to without driving all the time.
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	Hosting Friends AND Public Places AND Nature - all three!!!
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	And public places as well as spending time alone and with family
Hosting friends at my home or visiting theirs	This should be a multiple choice question because I prefer several equally.
Hosting friends at my home or visiting theirs	We do all of these things!
Public places (e.g., theater, mall, sports venue)	I strongly prefer indoor recreational activities. I do not use our outdoor amenities at all. So I am bored most of the time as I find we have an inadequate supply of indoor venues (disregarding restaurants) for recreation. Nowhere to go and nothing to do.

<b>Question / Quantitative Reponses</b>	<b>Comment</b> <small>(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)</small>
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	Cabin in Donnelly.
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	All of the above. However, it's important to me to live near open space.
Mainly at home by myself or with family	For the majority of time but not by myself but with family or with telephonic and email access with family.
No answer	All those work but it is my choice
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	All of the choices are situations that I hope to enjoy.
Hosting friends at my home or visiting theirs	My answer is friends and outdoors in nature.
No answer	All these choices should be available for societal inclusiveness, and to meet one's day-to-day changing needs.
Hosting friends at my home or visiting theirs	All of the above
No answer	I actually spend my time fairly equally between all of these options.
No answer	All of the four options at different times.
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	all of the above, really
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	Choices 2-3 & 4 (friends, public, nature)
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	I prefer undeveloped natural areas. Developed natural areas are just parks.
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	Riding one of my bikes on the Greenbelt, on the Ridge to Rivers Trails, on Pearl Loop, On Willow Creek/Chaparral Road, north of Star, on new trails and paths.
Mainly at home by myself or with family	What's wrong with all of the above? I don't see these as mutually exclusive.
No answer	A combination of nature and hosting friends and neighbors, my place of theirs.
No answer	Spending time at recreation.
No answer	Motorcycling
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	The indoor pool and fitness center with a women's fitness room at the gym I belong too.
No answer	urban setting with nature very closeby
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	These are all viable options. Being able to rank the options or close more than 1 would be valuable. I like to host and visit friends as well as go out to dinner, but I place a higher value on having accessible public outdoor options built into future plans.
Public places (e.g., theater, mall, sports venue)	in nature parks etc
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	Both in public places and in nature with friends.
In nature (parks, foothills, river, lake)	All except public places
No answer	All that's what I do now and will continue to do so.
No answer	I like all four.
No answer	Prefer my home, garden etc. and using the parks, foothills, greenbelt for additional recreation

Question / Quantitative Responses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
<b>Which would you like to see more of in the future?</b>	
No answer	None of the above
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	I would like to see more pesticide free, non-GMO, organic food. If this can be accomplished with less land and conserve more water, that would be terrific.
No answer	None of the above
No answer	Not sure that any of these apply to me.
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	I keep thinking as I answer these questions that it would be better to be able to rank choices than have to choose one, I.e., I don't care for your survey design 😊
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	These are strange choices.
No answer	I currently live & own my home on a larger lot (1/2 acre) and intend to retire here. I do however support higher density products
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	There is a lot of room for automation and technology to overcome some of the barriers of distance, i.e. making remote places less remote and making opportunities available to more people. Those are the types of innovations I'd like to see more of...that plus innovations that help us make better use of the land we have.
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	2nd choice is Telehealth
No answer	Better traffic flow with more quickly build roads.
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	3D printing as an option under Innovation seem quite irrelevant to this survey
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	There is nothing herre that I really want to see as a Lifestyle.
No answer	Really dumb question.
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	None of the above
No answer	None - I want to go back to the good ole days of human interaction and lots of farm ground.
No answer	I suppose none of the options...
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	I'd love to see more urban gardening. Automation is a double-edged sword that I feel may be more or less problematic, and I am most concerned about it from the options given.
Telehealth / ehealth (e.g., virtual doctor visit)	None of these options are what I choose.
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	what about more protection of wildlife habitat/corridors and "natural" surroundings for humans.
No answer	Keep Idaho with agricultural land don't flood it with homes!
No answer	All of these will be happening in the future. I want them all not just one.
No answer	Restoring dilapidated neighborhoods through new/automated construction methods
3D printing (e.g., printing objects at home or work)	As long as it is organic and not GMO.
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	There are far too few options here.
No answer	#savethefarms
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	More natural opportunities for growing food.
No answer	None.
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	Organic, healthy, non GMO food.....
Automation (e.g., robots doing human tasks)	These are all desirable, of course; at least as options (telehealth is better than no doc, but in-person visits are still desirable.)
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	more food in less space with LESS toxic chemicals!
No answer	I want to see more public transportation. Like a light rail system.
No answer	Humans doing their own tasks, and less technology, not more.
Automation (e.g., robots doing human tasks)	Transportation innovations, such as better public transit options or self driving vehicles, would be amazing.

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
No answer	Innovative (non GMO) farming techniques would be great but we need to stop building houses on the precious farmland that we do have!
No answer	Not a choice here. Open land for farming is a necessity. Cramming more food onto smaller spaces is unhealthy.
Telehealth / ehealth (e.g., virtual doctor visit)	It took an hour just to get TO the scheduled doctor appointment last week
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	Bizaare set of choices. There are hundreds more that could be included but to what end? Aren't you all supposed to be about planning for how we pay for infrastructure?
No answer	More available public transportation
3D printing (e.g., printing objects at home or work)	none
No answer	None of these options
Telehealth / ehealth (e.g., virtual doctor visit)	Land to grow food is disappearing and becoming filled with houses and cars. It is a problem.
No answer	autonomous vehicles
No answer	None of the above
No answer	Food without chemicals
No answer	more organic growing, fewer chemicals around food & people
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	Again, I would like to be able to choose more than one, they're not mutually exclusive.
No answer	Efficient & effective Government operation
No answer	None of the above
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	this should be ranked choice and there are a lot of options missing
Telehealth / ehealth (e.g., virtual doctor visit)	More human interaction with people sharing similar hobbies and interests that don't involve running in the foothills or biking on the Green Belt.
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	Re: farming methods: more support to independent, organic growers and farmers markets
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	None of the selections. I would like to see more ethics and honesty in govt. be able to use the technology that we already have instead of not knowing what we are doing, and then creating an App, or technology to correct lack of basic knowledge.
3D printing (e.g., printing objects at home or work)	I don't see Leisure Time happening. I spend too much time stuck in traffic trying to get to work downtown.
No answer	Safety and justice
No answer	none
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	Torn between the healthcare and farming. Although if we are growing more food on less land, I would like to know the growing techniques. More sustainable farming and caring for the environment.
No answer	By "more food on less land" do you mean more ag land preserved? Or, do you mean more intensive, technologized (i.e. intensive) ag? Those are very different questions. And remember more crop for your drop = less water for everyone else (including the environment)
No answer	non of the above
3D printing (e.g., printing objects at home or work)	honestly none of the above
No answer	Organic farming methods without pesticides that destroy bees
Telehealth / ehealth (e.g., virtual doctor visit)	Farming with land smaller farms but not to make land to go away and farm in a truck
No answer	Don't like people being replaced by robots ever a doctor. That's not the future I want I want people to care around me. Farms okay but not completely gone.
No answer	None of the above
No answer	I'd like to see more open spaces and less tech in the future!
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	With continuing loss of ag lands, this is critical. Would like to see more in the way of decentralizing "Farmer's Markets" - not everyone wants to endure the hassle of driving downtown to visit two Saturday markets located in fairly close proximity.
No answer	farmin on less land, more food, BUT this needs to be sustainable, preferably organic or with minimal pesticide/fertilizer. Intense agriculture seems to have problems, especially animal farming

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	I am interested in more local organic food.
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	I believe all of these will be explored and expanded through 2050. The option I have heard the least about is improved farming methods using less land. There could be a lot of potential in terms of city growth and land-use efficiency if this can come to fruition.
No answer	things to stay the way they were 30 yrs ago
No answer	choices 2-3-4 (3D, telehealth, farming)
No answer	Public transportation
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	I want to see farming innovation that uses water more efficiently, not necessarily less land, although vertical and small lot food production in urban places also would be good. I don't want us to lose farmland!
No answer	Better roads for going places
No answer	Find a way to route I-84 to the south of the valley.
Automation (e.g., robots doing human tasks)	Humans do not need jobs.
3D printing (e.g., printing objects at home or work)	should be rankle multiple yes answer here
No answer	I don't agree with any of these options. I think that people should make an effort to grow more of their own food.
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	Innovation to reduce water use in neighborhood such as what is done in communities like Las Vegas, NV. Residents there are given rebates when converting grass lawns to water-smart landscaping. Boise should do the same and also give rebates/encourage solar panels on houses, etc.
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	Why do I have to pick one. The one I don't want is Telehealth.
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	I would like to see preservation of section sized farms and pre-pivot farming methods restored and taught to our younger generations. Back yard gardening is lovely but the fundamentals of farming on a large scale are not being taught but is imperative to survival for future generations
No answer	flawed choices leads to flawed conclusions or inferences
No answer	Environmentally friendly initiatives
No answer	None of the above.
No answer	Traffic that flows smoothly
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	Not chemically altered food that harms our health.
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	Needing unpasteurized food to live healthy and stop causing chronic health issues
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	To tell the truth I don't really like any of these options.
No answer	A north south highway to relive a ton of congestion.
No answer	All those options don't seem human
No answer	None of the above. I want more old fashioned community like we used to have, not regressive "progress"
No answer	None of the above
No answer	Self driving automobiles
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	Odd choices! Also like 3D printing
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	3D printing is optional
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	I would like to see more tele health and more efficient farming
No answer	I see no future innovation just poverty, starvation and disease
Telehealth / ehealth (e.g., virtual doctor visit)	more food more land less housing
Farming methods that grow more food on less land	How/what we teach in schools!
No answer	Health er food better roads
No answer	prefer raising my own fruit, animals as pets (horse) etc. like having Dr. who knows me and my history

Question / Quantitative Responses	Comment  (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
<b>Work Arrangement: Do you anticipate your profession will allow for flexible work arrangements in the future?</b>	
<b>Compressed work week (5 = very likely; 1 = very unlikely)</b>	
5	Already do this
5	Imagine the power and energy savings alone, if white collar businesses closed their doors an additional day every week. I know you would still be taking on 2 hours to every day to compensate for this, but I think overall it would make a great difference. Schools in Wilder are already doing this. I think moral and absenteeism would go down, too.
5	already does
No answer	I'm retired. These questions don't apply.
1	No applicable as I am retired.
1	I had 4 x10's for 10 years and those were recently taken away
1	I work at CDHD and we have been denied the opportunity each time we inquire
5	they already do
3	I'm retired. Part-time is all I can do, really.
4	I am a retired state employee. When I was working employees wanted varied schedules but mgmt. didn't trust everyone to get the work done. So no one was allowed a varied schedule.
1	I would really like this, but I don't foresee my profession going in this direction anytime soon
No answer	retired
1	1 star
3	5 star
2	I wish
5	Retired 5 years ago
No answer	I am retired
4	Retired from real estate
5	I'm retired. You can't get a more compressed workweek than 0 days a week.
5	self employed/own my own business
No answer	Retired
1	My boss is a fossil and stuck in the 80's. Does not believe commuting from home is a thing.
3	Possible, given generational differences and demands. Workplaces are slow to adjust to such changes, however.
1	I am a teacher, so all of these seem unlikely.
2	There's a difference between "will allow" and "could allow".
No answer	I am retired
5	I'm retired; hard to answer these. When employed, I worked 4 10-hr days happily.
3	I'm a mediCal professional, as long as there are other qualified workers, the compressed workweek is reasonable
1	Healthcare delivery
5	Already do this and love it! Wish more employers would offer this.
1	Retired n/a
4	This is already happening
5	Already have flexible hours
1	Do now
1	I'm a stay at home mom... no set work hours- lol
5	Currently work 4-10 and it's FANTASTIC
4	More like "get your work done in the amount of time it takes. 10 or 50. If it varies week to week, that is ideal, just get it done."
No answer	Retired
1	I am retired
2	Retired
1	Monday - Friday 0800-1700
5	My company currently has flex time.
No answer	already does

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment <small>(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)</small>
1	Retired
No answer	Retired
1	I'm in the construction field so it is always 7-4
5	My profession already supports this in some circumstances.
2	Micron. Good place to work, but has never been the BEST about flexibility and taking a leadership stance in the flexible work front.
5	retirement!
No answer	Currently retired
1	I am retired
No answer	retired, na
No answer	retired
1	Corporate retail so no.
No answer	Retired
5	Already use a flex schedule due to the global reach of my occupation
No answer	Companies are doing this now!
5	I'm retired but would have preferred
No answer	People are working 50 to 60 hours a week to make it here
No answer	unemployeed
1	I'm in real estate so no
3	i am retired @82 so answers really refer to what I think it may be like vs. my lifestyls
4	Public Service has little flexibility.
No answer	I am retired
5	I already work 4-10s
No answer	Retired
1	Not as long as I report to the same manager as I do now!
No answer	Does not apply, I'm retired.
2	There's no reason why we can't except "old school" mentality
5	already have this option
5	Can do this now but will certainly happen in the future.
5	It's already allowed
5	I already work a compressed work week.
1	Retired. N/A
No answer	Will be retired
1	Providing early care and education probably still means a five day work week for us as an industry.
5	we need to kill 9-5: 5days/week for many jobs (not all). creates artificial spikes in demand. Spread out demand to use capacity. Better yet, move bits not bodies with increased telework.
1	This is not applicable to me as I am retired.
5	We need more innovation in work standards and need to adjust work standards to be more civilized and humane.
2	All of these issues are ones that absolutely should be promoted as ways to reduce the traffic in the valley. Working at ITD, it should be implemented right away, but we are stuck in the past and wont implement these simple solutions
1	I work an office environment and I can't be outside the Mon-Fri 8-5 box.
1	Great idea, I've done it in other states, but Idaho is way to closed off for this to be an option.
5	I already work this schedule
No answer	I'm retired.
5	Already in place
5	I work 4-10's now and never want to return to a 5 day work week.
1	I will be retired
1	Would absolutely love to see this!
No answer	I'm retired
5	My employer already offers this
1	I work for the State - they would not allow a clerical for this and with everyone moving in, too much to do for one person for the entire state
No answer	na

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
5	already work 4, 10-hour days
1	I'm retired!
1	retired
5	It already does. I work 1 12-hour shift every other week.
3	Retired. Don't care.
1	1
5	Already there
No answer	Retired
No answer	Retired
1	Although now retired, I was a Federal employee.
No answer	Retired
4	I hope sooner than later!
No answer	Retired, so for me, NA. Job I had, might have allowed for this
1	In my profession, we're lucky to even get weekends off.
1	Firefighter...work schedule is dictated by staffing needs which won't change.
1	I'm retired
No answer	Retired
1	Retired
5	Currently retired
No answer	I'm retired
1	Headed to retirement
1	I'm a teacher and there doesn't seem to be enough evidence yet for a compressed week benefiting students.
No answer	Retired
No answer	I am 69 years old and retired
No answer	3
1	Retired
No answer	I am retired so not relevant to me.
3	retired n/a
No answer	I'm retired.
No answer	Retired
5	We already do this at my workplace.
2	I already have a lot of freedom with my work week.
5	Already doing now.
5	Except having a "european" work week of 30 - 35 hours.
1	I'm a farmer
5	Currently work 4-10's
1	2
3	Already compressed, and only so much CAN be compressed.
1	Retired
5	We do this in the summer
No answer	Retired
No answer	I am a retiree.
1	EMS dosent typically allow this as it is shift work
1	Retired
No answer	retired, don't work
No answer	I'm a stay at home Mom for now.
5	I answered 5 to all of these. I'm self employed and can determine e what my work day/week look like.
5	Reired
No answer	Yes
2	I'm an RN so I'm usually at the mercy of my employer and since I take care of patients I'll usually need to be where they are.
1	I'm a teacher. We have to go to campus and give lectures.
No answer	Retired
1	I own a yoga studio.
2	My work requires me to drive.
No answer	I am retired
4	I am now retired so these options do not apply to me or my husband.

<b>Question / Quantitative Reponses</b>	<b>Comment</b> <small>(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)</small>
1	This can be an option in banking but rarely is
No answer	Retired, but substitute and volunteer work
No answer	retired
3	Teaching preschool does not lend itself to these choices
3	Retired
5	I'm retired but my old job already had compressed schedules
1	NA retired
4	Don't like all our nature been eating up by development. Therefore, I plan on leaving in the next six years.
No answer	I'm retired and not planning on a job in the near future.
1	Would Love to see SAIA offer this but isnt feasible with shipments moving daily
1	I work as a nurse at a local hospital
2	Although this sounds good in theory, it keeps families apart and children don't get quality parent time
1	Retired

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment  (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
<b>Work Arrangement: Do you anticipate your profession will allow for flexible work arrangements in the future?</b>	
<b>Alternate Work Schedule (1 – very unlikely; 5 = very likely)</b>	
5	Most people in my profession do this
1	I'm retired.
2	Already do this and want it to continue
5	they already do
No answer	retired
1	1 star
2	5
2	I wish
1	Retired
5	self-employed/own my own business
1	Same as last comment, boss is a fossil stuck in the 80's
5	My job is already a swing shift schedule 4pm-3am 5 days per week
4	not so much as shift work as flexible schedule
5	Already have flexible hours
1	I am retired
5	I feel like this might happen naturally? I already work 7-3 to avoid peak traffic times when I do drive.
1	Retired
No answer	Retired
5	Piloting this right now.
5	retirement!
No answer	Currently retired
No answer	retired, na
No answer	Retired
No answer	Useless question already happening
No answer	unemployeed
5	I'm in real estate so my schedule changes daily
No answer	Retired
No answer	Does not apply. I'm retired.
5	already have this option- just coordinate w/other in workgroup
5	We're currently on a flex schedule
1	This is not applicable to me as I am retired.
1	Already working 7-6 for a salary job with no lunch!
No answer	Retired
5	Already in place
1	Retired
No answer	na
1	Retired
5	I already do shift work.
3	Retired. Don't care.
No answer	1
No answer	Retired
No answer	Retired
No answer	Retired. But job I had, might not have permitted this
1	I'm retired
No answer	im retired
1	Retired
No answer	I am retired
5	Currently doing shift work, and it seems like the best work/life balance option. Along with 4/10's
3	retired n/a
No answer	I'm retired.
No answer	Retired
5	I already do work 7-4.

<b>Question / Quantitative Reponses</b>	<b>Comment</b> <small>(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)</small>
5	Already doing now.
4	I already work an alternate work schedule.
1	4
No answer	Retired
No answer	Retired
No answer	Retired
No answer	Do this now
5	I own a yoga studio.
1	My work has a set schedule, I don't anticipate that changing.
No answer	I am retired
5	Having to get a second job due to the ridiculously low wages paid in this valley or on the streets begging for help with the rest of the people already out there.
1	NA retired
5	We already work a night shift for our job. Changing hours doesnt seem like a possibility unless we do a job change within the company
4	I already work 3 12 hour shifts per week

Question / Quantitative Responses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
<b>Work Arrangement: Do you anticipate your profession will allow for flexible work arrangements in the future?</b>	
<b>Telecommuting (1 – very unlikely; 5 = very likely)</b>	
3	Mainly for faculty in higher ed, not for other personnel
5	Huge companies like HP do this as a matter of course. Executive assistants never even meet their bosses face-to-face. Everything is done by phone and through email for the most part. Think off all the cars you would take off the road during commute. I have done this in the past and I know I ended up working more, than less, which could be a downfall of doing this.
1	I'm retired.
3	Already do some of this and want it to continue
3	I'm not sure if this is something that my current employer will allow in the future, but I believe all of these work arrangements are very beneficial. My current employer is a governmental entity and therefore may be limited in what they can offer, but these work arrangements listed are benefits that I will be looking for in employers as I continue to grow in my career.
No answer	retired
No answer	1 star
No answer	5
2	I wish
5	self-employed/own my own business
5	I work from home now.
5	Already do this
1	Would like a mix home and office.
5	I am involved with several volunteer organizations
5	Already wfh
No answer	Already does
No answer	Retired
No answer	Retired
1	I'm studying nursing. Nurses can't really telecommute
5	Already an option.
5	Work from home full time with travel 10 times a year.
5	I do this now.
1	retirement!
No answer	2
1	Our director doesn't believe in telecommuting.
No answer	Currently retired
No answer	retired, na
5	Already using a flex schedule due to the global reach of my occupation
No answer	Yes we do this now!
No answer	unemployeed
5	am already a teleworker
2	Retired
1	Not as long as I work for the same manager that I do now!
No answer	Does not apply. I'm retired.
No answer	N/A
5	some areas already using, can't see in my position
No answer	This is mainly how I worked before I retired.
5	Move bits not bodies! I can already do most of my work from home office with videoconferencing.
1	This is not applicable to me as I am retired.
3	Do somewhat already but it isn't really counted as part of my day.
1	retired
5	I sometimes work from home now
No answer	na
1	retired
5	Telehealth is on the rise

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment  (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
3	Retired. Don't care
No answer	1
5	Already there
No answer	Retired
5	My employer already allows me to work from home one day/week.
No answer	Retired
No answer	Retired. Job I had was starting to allow this. Technology, Internet, internet security, software, specific job, made this easier for some positions than others
1	I'm retired
No answer	im retired
2	3
1	Retired
No answer	i am retired
2	retired n/a
1	I'm a sixth grade teacher. This isn't happening.
No answer	I'm retired.
No answer	Retired
5	I already telecommute a couple of days a week.
5	Need to consider doing as a means to flex staffing for busy periods.
No answer	1
No answer	Retired
No answer	retired
No answer	No
1	I'm in healthcare.
1	I work in a classroom. Unless we go completely on line, I'll still need to be in front of students with hands on examples.
No answer	I am retired
1	NA retired
4	This could be an excellent way to push work into the future with less impact on the planet due to transportation, less vehicular wrecks, and more quality home time for parents.

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment  (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
<b>Work Arrangement: Do you anticipate your profession will allow for flexible work arrangements in the future?</b>	
<b>Job Sharing or Part Time (1 – very unlikely; 5 = very likely)</b>	
1	I'm retired.
No answer	I am a business owner so I set my own hours
No answer	retired
No answer	1 star
No answer	5
5	self-employed/own my own business
5	This is already happening and I hate it. You can't maintain the same standard of living working forty hours at three jobs. It should be discouraged.
5	My job and many others have had schedules reduced to below 30 hours per week in order to avoid paying higher wages/offering benefits package
3	Hard to afford to live in the valley on a part time salary
1	I am retired
No answer	Retired
No answer	Retired
3	retirement!
No answer	retired; part time as volunteer in community
No answer	unemployed
4	Retired
1	Only if the pay was the same!
No answer	Does not apply! I'm retired.
2	we did this, they got rid of it
4	with a living wage in keeping with the local economy!!!
5	Gig economy for young adults. Blending part time work with family responsibilities for aging population and adults who take care of either their children and/or aging parents.
1	This is not applicable to me as I am retired.
5	This is already happening at my employer to save on benefits costs.
4	Place of employment already allows some job sharing and part time.
No answer	na
1	retired
5	I already work PRN
3	Retired. Don't care.
No answer	1
5	Already there
No answer	Retired
No answer	Retired
No answer	Retired. But I double if job would have allowed for this
1	I'm retired
5	There should be two questions since not mutually exclusive.
1	Can't afford to work less than 40.
No answer	im retired
No answer	1
1	Retired
No answer	I am retired
3	retired this is an n/a ?
No answer	I'm retired.
No answer	Retired
5	To some respect have staff already scheduled flex or per deem.
3	The fact that we still have a 40 hour work week as a nation shows how afraid of the future capitalism is. No one should have to have multiple jobs unless they want them.
No answer	5
1	Retired
No answer	Retired

<b>Question / Quantitative Reponses</b>	<b>Comment</b> <small>(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)</small>
1	If we lose funding, this will be a reality.
No answer	I am retired
5	I work part time now- off all summer and school holidays so this question isn't really applicable for Me.
1	NA retired
5	Families are torn apart trying to make ends meet. A quality life style with less work hours for more family time is essential
1	doesn't work well nor for longer periods of time

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
<b>Work Arrangement: Do you anticipate your profession will allow for flexible work arrangements in the future?</b>	
<b>Freelance ("gig economy") (1 – very unlikely; 5 = very likely)</b>	
1	I'm retired.
No answer	retired
No answer	1 star
No answer	5
No answer	Retired Medical Technoligist
5	self-employed/own my own business
1	God, I hope not. A gig economy is awful because it doesn't help provide for a family, cover bills, provide insurance, or allow for any sort of personal life stability. Personally, while I recognizing this can be "freeing," it can also be incredibly problematic, especially for millennials.
5	I will be retired. This is most likely what I will do to earn extra cash.
1	Full time software development needs full time employees
5	This is also already happening and I also think it's tremendously destructive. It should be discouraged as much as possible by policy, or at least policy should build up a more robust safety net for the victims of the "gig economy"
2	Probably out of necessity as wages aren't keepng up with cost of living.
No answer	Retired. None of these questions is applicable.
1	I am retired
5	Retired
4	Retired
5	This is the thing that has me most excited - the opportunity to build a career for myself that isn't tied to one employer or hospital
3	retirement!
1	Retired
No answer	NA
No answer	Retirement in 5 years hopefully
No answer	unemployed
5	I am currently an independent contractor/work at home mom who sets my own schedule and hours. I want this for more people.
5	currently retired. I am a freelance writer.
1	For engineers, this sort of gig economy is considered unethical.
No answer	Retired
No answer	Does not apply. I'm retired.
5	already here. Been doing it for years.
1	This is not applicable to me as I am retired.
No answer	I am disabled and unable to work. I barely get enough to live on. My wife is now the primary earner in our household.
1	Not really an option at my type of employment.
No answer	I began freelancing full-time in 1998 and retired at age 70.
5	I free-lanced full-time for 20+ years, retiring 3 years ago.
No answer	na
1	retired
3	Retired. Don't care.
No answer	1
No answer	Retired
No answer	Retired
No answer	Retired. Work would not allow this, too much potential for conflict of interest and which job/employer dictates time.
1	I'm retired
1	My profession was US Military. All answers in this section based on that.
3	I hope to retire in 5-8 years and possibly do freelance work.
No answer	im retired
1	Realtor, headed to retirement
1	Retired

<b>Question / Quantitative Reponses</b>	<b>Comment</b> <small>(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)</small>
No answer	I am retired
3	retired n/a
No answer	I'm retired.
No answer	Retired
1	Retired, and plan to stay that way.
4	I hope to start my own business.
5	An interesting concept. Suspect some career paths already are doing this.
5	This is why we need universal healthcare in our country.
1	Retired
No answer	I'm a homemaker. I won't ever retire unless they take away my keys and stick me in a home or they bury me.
No answer	I'm retired
No answer	retired
No answer	Retired
No answer	I'm retired
1	I am retired. I see none of these options in my future
No answer	No
No answer	I,M RETIRED Da - U FORGOT ABOUT US!
4	I could see creating a job for myself as a consultant.
No answer	I am retired
1	NA retired
1	I own my own business
5	Aren't most of these available today?
No answer	retired and loving my neighborhood and the time to enjoy hobbies
1	Very weak economic model...more like hobbying

Question / Quantitative Responses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
<b>Transportation Technology: How likely are you to use these new transportation technologies in the future?</b>	
<b>Autonomous vehicles (1 – very unlikely; 5 = very likely)</b>	
1	I feel like that's the wrong direction to go. Fuel efficient or electric cars are ideal but I don't trust the technology for self driving cars
5	I believe autonomous shared vehicles will increase mobility and lower travel costs.
3	They have to be proven safe and reasonable before I would try.
1	I love to drive, it would make a little sad to not!
No answer	1 star
No answer	1
1	I do not trust this technology and will only adopt if I have to.
1	Think that's a ways off.
2	I'm still driving the same vehicle I started driving in 1986, a 1966 classic. No signs of death on the horizon.
1	Will not use them!
4	It will be common place in other metros before Idaho invests in the infrastructure needed to make this happen
1	Never
4	After thorough testing.
3	Never with ride sharing. People are nasty.
4	Likely to use once they become efficient, safe, and affordable.
1	No way
3	It just depends on the technology and the response of the government and community.
No answer	Self driving cars require G5 which causes brain damage and cancer.
1	I enjoy driving. In fact, it depends on what you enjoy. I like the feel and experience of driving. I don't care about the distractions that keep many others from wanting to drive... i.e. texting, social media, taking driving as a serious responsibility, etc.
5	in 30 years, this will be standard equipment.
5	I cannot wait for this.
3	only on the highways and as slow as ITD moves, I don't expect to see this in my lifetime.
No answer	none
5	Where I just moved from already has a completely driverless subway system. It very efficiently moves millions of passengers per day and has significantly reduced the amount of cars on the road. This area doesn't have the population density to support that kind of infrastructure now but I would love for it to be considered for 20-30 years down the road. People will use mass transit if it's affordable, reliable and convenient.
1	I am confined to a wheelchair so would need a special van
1	no way
No answer	3
1	I just purchased a brand new car so that I won't have to use the self-driving cars
2	Only if I reach the state where I can't drive myself safely anymore.
1	very scary - potential killing machines thinking for us. technology & electronic failures rate higher than human. catastrophic
2	I generally ride a bike and believe in human powered transport for my health and that of our planet.
No answer	Retired
2	it is so new that change seems challenging for me personally
4	My 2019 Subaru Forester has several autonomous features now. Yay!
3	sure it will happen sometime in the next 50 years/
1	4
3	I hope these come soon. the freeway is getting more scary every year. too many people driving like a video game.

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment  (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
5	So long as they were electric autonomous cars with no CO2 emissions, and we did not have to be reliant on gas
1	Never! If you want to go this way, put down tracks for safety.
1	Absolutely not.
1	Doubt if I will be able to afford a new car anytime soon. Also, I think these have not been tested enough in enough situations
5	I hope this becomes a reality, although I admit I'm a bit skeptical.
No answer	2
1	I'm a mechanic and I work on well pumps. How well do self driving cars and trucks work on gravel roads?
5	I dont have kids yet, but maybe my grandchildren will be able to afford this technology, it is currently way above my budget
1	We'll see if this ever gets off the ground
1	Idiotic idea. We should make our cities bikable instead. Especially with growing obesity rates and lung problems associated with smog & vehicle pollution.
No answer	No
2	3
5	This is a must for our environment, public transportation and retirement.
1	Self driving vehicles will be nothing but a danger to society. The first time the system is hacked and people are killed in the resulting wrecks will resolve this issue however.
1	Far from ready for the mainstream.....
1	Dangerous
1	Little to none!
1	far too easy to hack... will breed urban terrorists

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment  (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
<b>Transportation Technology: How likely are you to use these new transportation technologies in the future?</b>	
<b>Ride Hailing (1 – very unlikely; 5 = very likely)</b>	
1	No wheelchair accessible options.
5	already do
2	1 star
No answer	2
1	Great in theory. Too risky IRL
2	I already use them, but I don't prefer them, as they are a continuation of a long-standing problem we have with energy, our environment, and reliance on foreign powers that control energy flows. I'd prefer we get away from car-centric thinking if at all possible.
1	As a retired person this is an expensive option.
5	already use ride sharing any time I fly out of airport.
2	Only for specialty events like concerts or sporting events
4	Not on a regular basis, like commuting to work.
2	I already use them when I have to, but it's not much and I don't foresee it being much.
2	Only use on occasion.
2	Not for work but for airport rides definitely.
1	they are not vetted
No answer	3
5	Use this now but will use more in the future.
1	I will continue to use public transportation-the bus!
2	Have used Uber a couple of times on vacation.
1	Not wheelchair accessible. Boise has to improve their public transportation for example later hours and weekends.
1	These are fine for nights out or trips to the airport, but not a substitute for a car
1	2
4	I would like to use public transportation more but the schedule and routes are not convenient.
5	Already do
No answer	Not using this now. I usually try to combine trips/errands which might be hard with ride hailing
1	Prefer conventional taxi service with city licensing, etc.
5	Also riding my bicycle
2	3
1	I already don't trust cabbies. :-)
2	right now in Boise I mostly ride a bike but I do have a car
5	I already use this technology.
No answer	No, this is not New York just yet!
No answer	4
2	Like idea, but never used it
2	Never tried
1	Can't make enough money in Idaho to afford calling for an Uber or Lyft all the time
1	sometimes I have to travel between hospitals
1	Needs to be regulated and registered just like taxis for passenger security and safety

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment  (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
<b>Transportation Technology: How likely are you to use these new transportation technologies in the future?</b>	
<b>Vehicle Sharing (1 – very unlikely; 5 = very likely)</b>	
1	Probably no wheelchair accessible options.
5	already do
No answer	1 star
No answer	1
3	I am more in favor of this, but, again, I'd prefer we move away from our car-centric culture, which only encourages MORE build out and congestion that we, as a region, CANNOT afford.
5	This is not popular or even happening, that much in Boise currently, but wouldn't it be great if it were... On many levels.
No answer	Never
1	Vehicle sharing is not convenient or practical for me.
2	If public transport is good this would be 5 stars
1	I own my car
No answer	3
1	Not accessible for individuals who use wheelchairs
1	Most people are still going to need a car to get out of town on the weekends
No answer	2
3	I like this idea. But how to make it work - but I know some areas do make it work. I often travel with a dog, and would continue to do this.
1	Tired of paying for things that i don't "own" anymore, i.e., music.
No answer	Not interested
No answer	1
3	I rent vehicles for hauling stuff i.e. U-haul, but I am unlikely to join any daily driver vehicle sharing services. I need to have transportation available 24/7 for my job.
4	My main interest is whatever being ecologically sound

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment  (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
<b>Transportation Technology: How likely are you to use these new transportation technologies in the future?</b>	
<b>Personal Rideable Tech (1 – very unlikely; 5 = very likely)</b>	
1	Bad idea for this area
1	No wheelchair accessible options.
5	already do
No answer	1 star
No answer	4
5	Or a regular old analogue bicycle
5	Already ride an electric bike to work
4	I am more in favor of these modes as alternatives. Anything to do with getting away from more cars and more gas-powered vehicles is the way to go. That said, I would like to see more innovations with buses across the valley to move people from one place to another. ACHD is not helpful in this endeavor, but we need to find solutions regardless, so I hope we do.
1	These are dangerous. No, hopefully something safer emerges.
3	Bike share far more likely than electric bikes or scooters
1	I commute to Fruitland - it would be too expensive to do any of these suggestions. I would consider a transit system to commute in.
1	What about walking with your own two legs/feet? These questions eliminate some of the most appealing and healthy transportation modes! Very slanted.
5	I believe that our downtown needs to be as pedestrian and bicycle/alternate transportation friendly as possible. Creating pedestrian corridors is a great idea, like we have with 8th street today. Extending the Basque block past CW Moore park could be another fantastic pedestrian area.
No answer	Never
2	Prefer riding my own bicycle but support the convenience of these transportation systems (for on road use) in urban center. I would like to see more and safer bike/personal rideable vehicle lanes in Boise city limits, and eliminate the use of these transport methods on pedestrian sidewalks. In a city with 20mph speed limit in most areas, bikes/e-scooters travel at speeds closer to cars than walkers, strollers, wheelchairs.
5	This is the future! If you don't know this now...go to Europe and see it in full blossom.
3	Only electric bikes, as I predict we will only see more and more head injuries in the emergency room due to scooters.
No answer	These cause a lot of injuries
1	escooters are a scourge and should be banned.
5	Personal street scooter
1	More likely to own and ride my own bicycle
No answer	Bicycle but not electric or scooters, hate those! They are dangerous to other bicycles and walkers
2	I love to ride my bike but impossible in my line of work. Please continue to increase the bike paths for my personal activities. Have no problem riding to the store to pick up a few items
1	While this may help reduce some vehicle traffic, the issues with pedestrian safety & sharing sidewalks need to be addressed.
No answer	1
1	Get the e-bikes and scooter off the sidewalks and trails.
1	I have a bicycle, so I wouldn't rent one, and my bike is just as good as a scooter for getting around.
1	they are not ADA friendly. yet!
4	Will ride my own bike. No electric or scooters.

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment  (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
5	I will continue on a REAL bike. Non- solar electric vehicles are mostly STUPID, just shifting pollution elsewhere and causing SALMON EXTINCTION! They are also VERY DANGEROUS on the greenbelt because they go way too fast, not to mention the *****drivers. Electric scooters suck and are very dangerous, whether laying on a sidewalk or street, or being ridden by some knothed who is too lazy to walk.
1	Live too far from work for this to be viable at any point.
No answer	3
1	Get rid of the escooters!
4	I like this. One of these days I will have to try out one of those scooters, and e-bike if available. I do travel with a dog, so using these options mide be when I am just doing "errands"
No answer	1
1	As a senior I have concerns about pedestrian safety. The electric scooters are a danger for us old folks on foot!
5	Bike share programs such as Boise Green Bike need to make their bikes more easy to park anywhere without having to pay an additional fee
5	The Boise Green bikes need more flex hubs or lock up options that dont require an extra fee
1	not good for old people
1	Got 3 bikes of my own.
2	I still like human powered bicycles
5	That's what I use now.
5	This should be the future. Really, just a bikable city for all, regardless of the tech upgrades the bike has. It lowers the speed of transportation and keeps our streets safer.
No answer	Winter weather can make these option a No Go!
No answer	1
1	This will turn into what other cities have found, where the vehicles are found destroyed and disposed of in unlikely places.
4	Why not a train system like SLC or Switzerland. Think of how many people would use that to get from place to place. I know I would! Our current public transportation system is a pain and not efficient at all.
No answer	How about just REGULAR bikes. Get out and exercise, electric makes people lazy.
1	With the almost psycho drivers on the roads today, in the future riding a bike, or scooter will kill hundreds of people. But if you want to commit suicide doing this will be a great option.
3	I'll ride my own bike for short errands around town and especially downtown.
1	Either force them to be helmeted and be limited to streets/dedicated lanes. Must be prohibited from all pedestrian walkways. We will be fatalities increase as ridership increases

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
<b>Transportation Technology: How likely are you to use these new transportation technologies in the future?</b>	
<b>Other New Technologies (1 – very unlikely; 5 = very likely)</b>	
2	What would I do with a drone? Institutions, Amazon, US Postal service etc. are likely to deliver packages etc. by drone or robot, but I would likely not direct the use of drones or robots.
No answer	1 star
No answer	1
3	Unsure. Not familiar enough with this type of technology to know what this type of transportation would look like from safety, efficiency, environmentally friendly, convenience perspectives.
No answer	Need light rail
1	Maybe...I certainly don't see us doing Tesla's Hyperloop, though. It'd be awesome if we could find a way to get a better regional train system across the Pacific Northwest, that would be incredible. That said, I don't think it's realistic for us given the politics of Idaho and the eastern parts of Oregon and Washington.
1	Meh, no. Less of this.
1	not clear enough of a question
4	Why no public transportation offerings?
No answer	Never
1	Don't know what this entails
1	What about Light Rail mass transit like what Colorado has. If you are really looking at future planning, this will be important.
3	Depends on the technology.
No answer	This does not make sense.
1	Drones are a problem for safety and privacy
4	personal flying vehicle will be my preference
No answer	Hybrid cars
3	Not sure I fully understand this or how it would be different from autonomous vehicles.
No answer	0
1	Shoot down the drones!
1	Hard to say I'd use these as I don't know what format they would exist. But it might be pretty cool to fly around town carried by a drone.
1	absolutely not. I have too much respect for humans to think they can be replaced and don't need jobs!!!!!! disgusting
No answer	Light rail would be wonderful
4	Drones and robots particularly for freight and personal product delivery (aka amazon)
2	Not sure what this is so I really can't comment.
1	Depends on the purpose for which the technology is used. Amazon deliveries - maybe. Operate on my eye - noooooo.
4	Public train/subway
2	I don't think I'll ride a robot or a drone. If I was on a drone, it wouldn't be a drone, would it?
No answer	None of these
No answer	1
1	I would like to use public transportation more but the schedule and routes are not convenient.
1	We need a light rail system from Caldwell to Boise and from downtown Boise to the airport!!! That is the only way to solve the traffic problem....not more lanes or new tech
No answer	I do not know. Would this be for delivery as well as transporting myself somewhere ?
1	Who knows? Don't really even understand. Ride a drone, be hauled by a robot?
5	Rail between airport and downtown and mall for now. Then grow west.

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment  (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
No answer	1
1	How about mass transit? monorail to popular locations?
1	Bicycle
1	My ego doesn't need to be a busy capitalist to me happy. I would rather live in reality, and appreciate living a slower life.
No answer	Not
2	1
5	buses that run frequently enough to make them worth using
1	Not available in rural settings
5	Urban Train service.
4	tTRANSPORTATION DRON would be nice.
No answer	Don't need more drones flying around me and getting in the way of birds.
4	Light rail and other public transport
5	Electric vehicles
5	Would love to fly drone from Boise to Valley county (McCall area). A high speed train would be nice from Boise, in all directions (Mtn home, Idaho City, McCall, Emmett, Caldwell.
1	Far too easy to hack; will encourage/expand urban terrorism

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
<b>How likely would you be to use the following options, if each were available and convenient?</b>	
<b>Bus (1 = very unlikely; 5 = very likely)</b>	
5	If buses were readily available and reliable to get me to work, I would use them daily.
2	But I doubt we ever have available and convenient bus transportation.
5	The best way to improve the bus convenience is to have them come more often. one-hour intervals are too long and inconvenient if the bus is missed.
5	I would take the bus everyday if it were convenient and timely for me.
5	We are in desparate need of a system that reaches farther and has street level connections Boise to Meridian, Nampa and Caldwell
No answer	1 star
No answer	5
4	But they're never convenient.
1	Too slow, too many stops to be practical
1	Dramatic improvements would have to be made in the bus system which has been a failure to provide enough bus routes.
1	There needs to be incentive here. This is simply too slow and inconvenient
3	If they improve the routes around and between Nampa, Caldwell, Eaglr, Meridian and Boise.
5	please copy Portland/Salt Lake City!! Enables more growth and more prosperity.
5	PLEASE!!! PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE!!! Tax me so we can better fund public transportation.
5	Yes! If we had a more reliable, convenient, and easy to use service, I'd be on board. Currently, our bus system is severely lacking within Boise and across Ada County. Compared to other cities our size, such as Salt Lake and Spokane, we are significantly behind on public transit and we need to do better or else we'll end up like Denver. Maybe we already are like Denver, though? Anyway, we certainly need to prioritize bus transit.
5	I sure hope public transit becomes more of the thing to do in our future and less of a stigma.
2	More likely if connected with rail
4	Boise valley's bus system is terrible because there isn't the density of housing needed to support it yet.
5	An elevated State St from Star to downtown with a hop on / hop off tram underneath and a dedicated, protected bikeway underneath.
4	Night-time buses would be helpful.
5	If the routes are decent. Right now they don't work for me for the price
3	Available, no. Convenient, yes.
3	If bus ran later.
1	The bus routes are time consuming with waiting for stops, to transfers. As a commuter and taxpayer, I'd rather see resources with uber-lyft that are direct. I'd also love to see part of downtown Boise blocked from vehicles and walking/biking only
1	Not flexibile enough - long wait times connections
3	Because i live in the north end and am less than 2 miles from downtown and everything that i need in my life, i personally am not likely to use a bus or rail. However, i believe those are very important methods of connecting the more distant areas of the metro to downtown. So please, even though i did not give these 5 stars, know that is just because of my personal living situation. I am in favor of improving/creating these services for the greater metro area.
5	It would need to have the reliability of European bus systems. Also would need to make sure that commute times are not too long. We lived in Germany for awhile and the efficiency was amazing
5	Increase the frequency of routes and number of routes.
1	No bus service in my area. The area south of the freeway has no service - ridiculous.

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
No answer	Never
2	If the bus system was better. Right now I have no interest in using Boise's current bus system.
3	I would use this option specifically for accessing outdoor amenities (ski areas, hiking trailheads, parks, etc.)
4	Need more reliable system in place. Need to expand to, South Boise as well.
5	It depends
4	I would take this opportunity, if valley bus routes hit a wider variety of exterior locations from city.
4	I rode the bus everyday while on business assignments for six months in Taiwan because they were cheap and reliable.
3	Bus systems in other cities that are convenient and frequent would be used. Your question does not make it clear if we would ride the existing system we have or a future system we would like to have like other cities have. Again, your data will be meaningless without this explanation
2	I would consider taking a bus if it actually went somewhere and was convenient or connected to other transportation such as a train but at the moment it's easier to drive myself.
1	until busses can deliver close to the same door to door times for travel, its not a viable option.
1	I hate buses. We need a fun transportation mode like trams. Light rail is too expensive. Buses are too slow and still clog the streets.
2	No bus system in meridian
5	Must be on time and trackable online
5	I would use this for major events around town. The problem is the transportation does not stay out late enough.
3	I currently have small kids so public transportation can be rough, especially for errand running. But I still want better public transportation for others who have more set schedules.
5	Available and convenient, which our is NOT!
No answer	2
5	I would ride the bus to work if there was a stop near my home and the bus picked up every 15 minutes.
5	Our current public transportation system stinks!
3	as long as safety of passengers is there, against criminals
No answer	No buses in the area
3	Only if they start by being on time. Not being on time messes the route up and wastes the riders time
4	If this were actually available and had frequent runs...
5	The busses have many problems, such as too infrequent runa making the timing bad; and too few and very difficult bike racks
5	Absolutely, for key corridors (along state street and Eagle Rd). Need to connect to local nodes (bike/ride share) to close the loop.
5	We are completely failing in Idaho to prepare for the future in regards to transport. Our model is totally supportive of a 1 person per SUV transport model. We should be building light rail, trolley and bus systems NOW before it is too late. WHO will lead this effort? Look at Seattle that is trying to build a light rail system 30 years too late.
2	The current bus system is not a viable choice for transportation. Bus systems need to be reliable. The current system is not! They are late every day and sometimes simply fail to show up at all!
1	It takes too long to get anywhere by bus from Meridian. It would turn my morning 25 minute commute into a trip that is an hour longer. That isn't going to work for most people
5	It'd be nice if the Meridian area had more options.
3	We need more options for Meridian area.
5	This would require a very reliable service with frequent stops - every 10 minutes at the most so one can plan to take the bus on a whim instead of planning for it ahead of time

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
1	As long as I never had to wait more than 10 minutes and the ride was at least as quick as driving myself
1	these options don't work for my job. I rode bus in Seattle in the late '80s. It was convenient and I was working in a profession that did not require me to be mobile
3	Only if the bus system improves GREATLY. Right now it's untenable with my schedule and place of residence vs work.
5	If bus routes are extended to late night band on weekends
3	Only if it has frequent routes and comes close to my house. That's highly unlikely, unfortunately. Small vans for seniors are helpful, though.
4	Emphasis on convenient, Boise Bus system has never been convenient
1	Our bus system is very limited, I have lived here for 25 years and don't personally know anyone who takes the bus.
5	Yes - make the bus system near my house AND consistent. I will ride. Also - it's difficult to figure out how to get to downtown from SW Boise on the bus. Make it easier to learn the system. Put realtime sensors on the buses so we can tell where they are from our phones!
4	I'd ride it if was actually more efficient. Boise system is awful.
1	The bus system in Boise area is horrible. Wait times are ridiculous, routes are confusing. I grew up in Brazil where I chose not to drive because I had a reliable bus system that could take me to all parts of town. Bus intervals were small and routes were very clear.
2	entire valley must embrace this.
No answer	2
1	Due to urban sprawl in Treasure Valley, this solely provides minimal mitigation of transportation congestion issues for the relatively small urbanized footprint.
5	Like the top description states, it would have to be available and convenient.
1	no one wants to ride a bus. So disappointing when the city went that direction.
4	They they had consistent reliable routs close to my home (in North Meridian) to my work (in downtown Meridian)
3	It would be incredibly beneficial to have Idaho accept Federal Tax dollars for public transportation. Only 2 states do not accept Federal tax dollars for public transportation - Idaho and Georgia
4	Boise needs to improve bus options with more hubs and hours. I looked into this once with bike/bus to work, and the bus did not go on the worst section of the route that I had to take. Hours also made it unattractive. Need to do cost compare as well. I think cost and hours would still drive me to using car for some of the trips I do
5	I know my adult, autistic daughter would use this frequently as well.
No answer	Take a lesson from Salt Lake City consists of a wide network of roads, an extensive bus system, a light rail system, and a commuter rail line. ... UTA also operates a streetcar line that connects the Sugar House neighborhood with the TRAX system in South Salt Lake
No answer	4
5	I use the bus now for my daily commute.
1	Probably can't ride to work. I want to live far enough out that the buses don't run where I live.
4	These are great, however we need to promote buses because right now there seems to be a stigma that these are only for "poor" people, instead of viewing them as a transportation opportunity for all like other big cities see them
1	I live in Kuna and work in Caldwell. Even when I lived in Boise, busing was never an option because it took 3x the time to get where you needed and routes were not convenient.
3	I would like to ride the bus if it picked up more often in my area.
3	"Convenient" will raise the money taxpayers put in by astronomical amounts. I grew up in a major city with convenient bus service. It was a major hit on the taxpayer.
No answer	We need much more.
4	I doubt the bus system will ever get off the ground and be convenient although I do use it on occasion

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment  (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
5	I currently ride the bus when I can.
1	I dislike buses.
5	Already do. I wish the routes were more time friendly though & didn't repeat themselves for no reason.
5	This would work if the commute to the bus stop isn't half way to work. My route was recently cancelled.
1	I live and will live way out in a rural setting most of this will probably never affect me.
No answer	3
No answer	ONCE IN A WHILE
4	I would ride if I didn't have to wait in the heat, cold or rain with no shelter for long. It has to be close to get to also.
5	I would like to use this option now, but the routes are inconsistent and poorly located
5	Unless future businesses plan to open in West treasure valley plans should already be in process for this
3	Dependent on rail system
5	Extensive Valley system.
3	You have to plan the routes correctly such that people would have incentive to use them. Every day 10000 people go to Micron, but no bus route to get you there.
5	The current system isn't worth the effort. It takes all day to get places.
1	No bus service near my house or place of work.
5	Our bus system needs to be looked at. In Denver Colorado cars are required by Law to yield for bus's pulling away from a stop. If this law could be implemented here it would help the bus system stay on time. This would help the people riding the bus that have to be somewhere on time.
4	Convenience is key
2	If bus routes were increased - SW Boise to downtown I would consider riding it more
5	Use a payroll tax and dedicated defined urban areas for taxing districts to full fund viable routing/scheduled

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment <small>(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)</small>
<b>How likely would you be to use the following options, if each were available and convenient?</b>	
<b>Rail (1 = very unlikely; 5 = very likely)</b>	
5	I think this is an absolute must between Caldwell and Mountain Home. Again, the number of cars during commute time that would leave the road could greatly impact commute time, accidents and stress. I think the Treasure Valley has missed the mark on this. I think our legislators need to get their behinds in gear and get funding for this NOW. I hate the closed-minded idea that Boise gets everything and the smaller communities get nothing when you approve this kind of funding. It the future and you have to plan for that NOW.
1	I do not live anywhere near a rail line.
5	If a walkshed around a rail line stop is 0.5 miles, then the most bang for the buck streetcar network would be a one mile diameter circle around/through downtown.
5	If a rail system existed that was reliable and timely, I would utilize it often.
2	Lived in Portland - light rail line is expensive to build and maintain, and has to run slow on 95 degree days. Strongly prefer bus rapid transit!
5	We really need a better option than our bus system, which has very limited times and routes. Please looks at Seattle, Portland, Salt Lake, and the Bay Area.
No answer	1 star
No answer	5
1	Very expensive. Little return on investment
5	Local? The train system should go all over the Valley and beyond. COMPASS did not have the foresight to plan for this many years ago. Plenty of viable plans for the funding as well as the implementation are available. Just saying that people in the TV want to drive their cars is not a good answer. Adding more traffic lanes is not a good answer either.
4	This works where a bus fails. It provides consistent experience with potentially faster commute, thus incentive to ride
3	If available.
5	Lite rail only, would not favor a heavy rail system in the valley
5	See my previous comments. We absolutely need to prioritize this, but I don't know how realistic it is to expect rail given the political powers that be in Idaho. Idaho is too conservative to allow such a change to occur UNLESS people suddenly start to feel pain associated with commuting by vehicle. As much as I'd love to see this, I don't think it is possible in Idaho. We cannot even get a circulator bus in downtown Boise, which makes me think a rail isn't going to happen in my lifetime, and I'm in my mid-30s. Isn't that tragic?!
5	If we don't have rail going to Meridian, Nampa and Caldwell by this point I and Many others will have health problems. Including, but not limited to: breathing issues, depression, cancer, etc
4	This is only useful if there is a very good last mile solution for transit at the train stations.
5	Caldwell/Nampa to Boise yes. NOT rail within the downtown
1	Due to poor planning, as Boise has grown, land was not set aside to support these systems.
4	I currently live in the north end, and therefore a rail or bus line is a less likely mode of transportation for me, personally. However, connecting more distant areas of the metro to downtown via bus and rail seems like it is of high importance.
5	WE NEED LIGHT RAIL NOW!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
4	Preferred form of transit
1	This is hard to answer, depends on where the rail goes. I'd love to see rail from Boise to McCall. Light rail from Caldwell-Nampa-Boise would be beneficial
2	I live close to everything. If there was a concert at Ford center, etc. I would but otherwise this proves rather useless to me. Not that I don't want it for others though ...

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
1	Since we don't have this option, can't envision using it.
3	I live close to downtown Boise, but if I lived in Caldwell and worked in Boise this might be an option. Spendy tho....
No answer	never
5	I would use rail to access neighboring towns, much preferred to highway driving and I'd be much more inclined to leave Boise to visit Caldwell, Nampa, Meridian, Eagle, etc. which I rarely visit now
5	high priority need to plan/implement light rail Mtn Home to Ontario. Requires new regional transportation agency with state and local gov representation. Authority to generate revenue
1	I used to live in Phoenix, AZ. The light rail there is a disaster - the homeless ride for free, and the trains are full of urine and needles. They aren't safe to ride. They are air conditioned. They are an attractive nuisance. I hope Idaho avoids a similar, super expensive project that has horrible results
No answer	This could work.
5	Absolutely we need light rail east/west from Caldwell to Micron and from the airport connecting to downtown Boise.
2	Boise really needs to look at this; tracks are in place and there could possibly be trains from Ontario to Mt Home; the population is only going to grow, the need for alternative transportation will only grow and the cost will never get cheaper. We need to bite the bullet, stop dancing around it and get serious about good public transportation. The current excuse that "no one takes the bus" is because the bus doesn't go anywhere and is inconvenient. Build a system that works and it will be used.
4	I would love to take a train from Caldwell to Boise. Why can't we have commuter on the same rails as commerce? They do this in the east coast just fine.
1	Too slow, not fun.
3	Need a train with stop in caldwell nampa meridian and then boise
1	Too late for this and too expensive!
5	I love this idea but at what cost?
5	I used to take light rail in the Phoenix area regularly and loved it.
No answer	4
5	If there were a rail system that went between Boise and Ontario, OR/ Payette, ID area 7 days a week, I would ride it often. Or possibly move away from Boise but continue to work in Boise.
5	If we had it.
5	as long as safety of passengers is there, against criminals
No answer	Rail is the only alternative
5	If they were a viable
5	I would LOVE this
5	We are completely failing in Idaho to prepare for the future in regards to transport. Our model is totally supportive of a 1 person per SUV transport model. We should be building light rail, trolley and bus systems NOW before it is too late. WHO will lead this effort? Look at Seattle that is trying to build a light rail system 30 years too late.
5	It'd be nice if the Meridian area had more options.
3	We need more options for Meridian area.
1	Just say no to the downtown loop. No, no, no. Does not go anywhere I want or need to go and I would have to drive there and then find paid parking for the dubious privilege of using it. Now light rail between cities - Yes!
5	Absolutely. Boise needs one to serve communities around and it needs to be reliable and frequent enough. Also, I would use the train to go to Portland and salt Lake. The depot is such a fabulous train station. It s too bad it s not used anymore for this purpose.
2	YES! build a rail system above state street going out to Middleton with no more than 5 stops!
5	YES YES YES
5	Would LOVE if everyone could get together and make this happen.
3	This depends highly on available routes.

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
2	I seldom go to Canyon County, so probably wouldn't use it. Great idea to handle commuters, though.
1	I've seen too many light rail systems be overrun by bad people where no one will ride the system.
5	This is something we very much need, if it's extensive and well planned.
5	I would love a version of Cal Train in Idaho. Mountain home commuters and Caldwell commuters need a train and light rail would be great throughout the Treasure Valley. We need to plan better and not wait like we did when the freeway was expanded the first time.
5	Please use the existing rail tracks along I 84 for commuter trains to take commuting traffic off I 84. No one is talking about this but this is the area's greatest untapped asset
1	won't happen, when they can't even expand local roads
No answer	3
1	Completely impractical for 90% of Treasure Valley needs.
5	Like the top description states, it would have to be available and convenient.
5	Not a circulator train but commuter rail from Caldwell to Boise. Would allow me to live further away
5	Would take advantage of this at every opportunity.
4	Boise needs to explore this. It might help a lot with the W-E/E-W commute. A successful implementation might point the way for other routes. And we have the rails/corridor already
5	Airport, BSU, downtown, mall to start. YES. NOW
2	i live in the foothills of Boise and Rail would not be an option. if i lived in meridian or nampa and commuted to boise, i could see myself taking rail.
5	I'm coming from Europe where public transport is a way of life. We really miss this in the U.S.
5	Would love it from nampa, meridian to downtown boise
No answer	4
4	It would need to be usable for commuting. The circulator route around downtown seems expensive and unnecessary.
1	Light rail stinks. It only serves one route and its fixed - even if you want to, you can't change where it goes. Light rail is for rich people.
5	If we could get something even close to how SLC utah is that would cut down on traffic drastically!
2	I would ride a train or a bus if it did not take me 2-3x the time and I didn't have to be worried about if I stayed later than the bus or train how I could get home. Our current buses are sparse and they stop running way too early, and They don't come by often enough for this to be a feasible option.I for where I live and work.
1	To where, exactly?
5	Sure I'd love it if we had a light rail in this valley but I'll be dead by the time it is ever built
5	Please bring light rail to the Treasure Valley, it would be a game changer for our Valley!!!
5	I would love to take a bullet train btwn boise & portland. The views are amazing and I feel like I miss out on so much having to drive. It would be awesome to be able to work while traveling. I want to drink lattes, have wifi, and not have to fly for such an easy trip. It would be so relaxing & fun to have a train through that gorgeous region.
5	This is very IMPORTANT for Boise, especially since we can utilize some existing tracks.
No answer	4
5	Rail using the current tracks makes the most sense. Major companies/warehouses by the rail line increases usage
5	I would love to see "those in power" working out a light rail system between Boise and the Nampa/Caldwell area.
5	Unless future businesses plan to open in West treasure valley plans should already be in process for this
5	From nampa to Boise would be outstanding!!

<b>Question / Quantitative Reponses</b>	<b>Comment</b> <small>(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)</small>
5	Extensive to link urban buses. Europe's system is awesome.
4	The downtown trolley system was a joke. The real benefit is light rail replacing traffic from Nampa/Caldwell coming into Boise
5	Yes please! We could sit back relax and enjoy our commute. And how great would that be for a change. Would help ease the community anger that's built up from the years of poor planning and rework that's occurred from lack of foresight.
No answer	Its too late for anykind of rail - but underground hyper tube could be used under the current roadways like in LONDON.
1	expensive infrastructure for a limited rider base.... not a fan of this solution for the widely spaced area we live in. makes sense in very dense urban environments with high frequency mass transit from the train stops. This area doesn't have the density to make a rail system financially viable. I don't believe there would be a rider volume to justify the investment.
2	Develop a train to run from Caldwell to Boise - utilize the Depot and, if Bieter is dead set on a light rail or trolley, have a light rail or trolley from the depot to the bust transfer station downtown...
5	Sure, look at Seattle, Washington D.C., St. Louis. SAFETY has to be #1.
5	That would help so much. Look at the other cities, light rail works
1	Repeated proven to be too expensive to build, even more expensive to maintain, too expensive to expand

Question / Quantitative Responses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
<b>How likely would you be to use the following options, if each were available and convenient?</b>	
<b>Bike, Walk, Scooter (1 = very unlikely; 5 = very likely)</b>	
5	If I lived close enough to walk to work, I'd be all over that. Working from home would be even better.
5	I bike often and believe the road network in the valley is too car oriented that reduces bicycle use.
5	I already prefer biking for social outings in good weather.
No answer	5 star
No answer	5
1	Not very many will use this. You are planning for a very small population; mostly younger people. And, these forms are not viable in the winter.
3	not a bike fan on path shared by cars
2	The scooters are fun but create issues. Probably just a fad
5	Not scooters.
5	I already use these modes often, but I'd certainly love to see better sidewalks and *protected* bike lanes so that I'd feel a lot safer using the roadways. Also, I'd love to see more multi-use paths. Why we don't have those, beyond the Greenbelt, is beyond me.
5	Only, and I repeat only, if it is safe with sufficient lanes and paths that will allow me (in my older years) to safely get to and from my day to day appointments. I will be an old geezer. You won't want me on the roads.
5	Walk
5	I currently bike whenever I can, but job is on Eagle road, so that means no biking.
No answer	Any of the above
5	Definitely bike and walk. Definitely NOT scooter. Poor grouping.
5	Real bike/walking infrastructure please!
3	If it was safe to do so. I live near Fairview & Eagle and would NEVER walk or ride my bike near either of those roads.
5	I currently bike 3 out of 5 days to my work and other errands. The reason I am able to do so is because there are reasonably safe routes for me to use in NW/N boise.
5	I walk to and from work everyday.
3	Depends upon travel distance.
3	Depends on distance and weather.
4	Biking paths and connectivity need to be improved significantly for this to be a daily option.
5	Love to bike..need safety
5	NOT a fan of the scooters. Yes they are fun but I'm already seeing them lying around neighborhoods. Unfortunately many who use these are not very responsible and I think there should be a quick test or something so the riders understand the basics of etiquette
No answer	2
1	I have bad knees. These are not an option for me.
5	I have been cycling boise for 28 years, but it was much easier when i could afford to live in the north end!!
5	I do not own a car and bike everywhere but feel like a 3rd class citizen in regards to infrastructure and consideration.
3	Would be more likely to ride a bike if there were more bike lanes but probably 75% of roads have none.
3	Walk or bike -yes Scooter - not under the current business/usage model which is unsafe for everyone.
1	Just impossible where I live to do this and get kids to practices, etc.
3	I'll walk as long as I can.
5	In SW Boise we lack consistent bike lanes which make it safer to commute to work. Painted and segregated bike lanes are a MUST please please please.

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment  (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
2	I don't feel safe to ride my bike on busy streets.
No answer	3
2	I live too far away from where I work or shop.
4	If electric bikes become affordable and there are safe routes
5	But NOT an scooter! Get rid of those!
5	I walk / bike quite a bit, could do more. Have not tried the scooters
1	Would if I was younger.
No answer	4
5	Walking will be my choice and preference. Elderly will not find bike or scooter possible.
3	I do this for fun, not to get to work. I need my truck and my tools to get to work
3	walking is always good. biking and scootering is only good for certain ages/physical abilities
3	I live in Kuna and the bike and walking areas are not developed yet. I've been asking for it. When I lived and worked in Boise I walked and rode often.
5	Got 3 bikes now.
5	Already do!
5	One great book to read that shows how much healthier your community can become is "How Cycling can save the world" by Peter Walker.
No answer	too far from work for this to be feasible.
4	I work at Mountain Home so could not bike to work. However, I like to bike to the gym, visit family, quick store trips, etc.
No answer	2
5	I would like this option now, but the paths are poorly located or non existent. Example: Caldwell bike paths run through residential areas but residents use the area as parking & there is no monitoring
1	Unless there are plans in process to improve bike lanes to and from east treasure valley to west this can never be an option
3	safety is a concern, lack of bike paths.
3	This is not safe or convenient where I live off of hwy 55. If there were dedicated bike lanes and motorists were educated on driving safely around bicyclists I would choose this option more.
3	I have considered an electric bike, motorscooter to ride to work. ~2.6 miles.
5	I already commute by bike and hope to continue so.
5	No scooters. Get bikes and scooters off the sidewalks
1	Dangerous options until we devise dedicated space(s) for these options and increase/improve user safety

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment <small>(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)</small>
<b>How likely would you be to use the following options, if each were available and convenient?</b>	
<b>Drive Myself (1 = very unlikely; 5 = very likely)</b>	
5	Because I don't really have any other option at this point, and don't see that option coming available in the next 10 years, I will probably be driving myself to work.
3	The cost of owning a car is noticeably having a higher monetary cost. More studies should be done on what the true cost of commuting by personal vehicle is. \$6 for all day parking in downtown Boise does not encompass all the externalities of building the parking space, lost economics/land value in downtown, injuries, and impacts from emissions.
5	Often this is my only option. I would prefer it not be. I have been to cities where public options were viable and often better. I would prefer a public option if I had a choice.
3	I imagine mode choice is dependent on specific trips and I believe people will use what you accommodate, so if driving is available and convenient and other modes were not, I would drive. If other modes were available and convenient, driving would likely be my second to last option because it is the most expensive.
5	My trips are specific, short and infrequent so I prefer autonomy.
No answer	5 star
No answer	5
3	Best option currently
5	I'm only very likely to use my own vehicle because the alternatives are either not here or not viable for me.
3	If I had better options I would drive less.
5	Until rail is an option this is the only option
2	I hope to see a lot less of this, but given the current urban to rural landscape, I am actually probably most likely to be using this mode. Again, I dislike that this is what our region prioritizes and I hope we find ways to get away from it.
5	Best way to get around in this sprawl.
5	Many people such as my husband and myself really enjoy driving.
2	On passion I have to drive as there are no good bus or bike routes to certain areas of boise like Southwest Boise.
3	This is not a significant problem when one makes an intentional decision to have as many "car free" days per week, as they do car use days.
No answer	This is best for self-determined fate of the human mind.
5	This equates to much of our personal time as a family.... personal experience, conversations, etc can happen during traveling solely as a family.
5	Unfortunately this is my primary transportation right now. We live close to shops, but not close enough where alternate methods work well, especially with two kids.
4	Only if there is no other option.
No answer	3
5	For sure will happen.
5	i'm safer!
No answer	Treasure valley needs a bypass freeway south of the valley
1	Driving SUCKS. The infrastructure needed to support driving is absolutely insane.
1	I am car free and do not plan to buy another.
5	Already drive and will continue unless bus/rail is developed with more options throughout the entire day.
1	Company veichial
3	I think we need to heavily tax people who are the solo passengers in a car. tolls on all roads - you ride alone and you pay a toll. Would encourage van/ride sharing and using public transportation
5	Or friends
3	I would love to drive less, but without safe alternatives I have no choice.

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment  (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
5	I enjoy driving and having the freedom to go where I want and when I want.
No answer	4
5	Need to do less of this, bike in nice weather. Again,if bus routes had more hubs and better hours, I would probably do this as well
5	Please. Impact fees NOW for new roads!
5	I think of this option as most likely but for me personally least desirable. The other options aren't an option or convenient presently
No answer	5
2	I would still do this for longer trips or to access the outdoors, but if bus, rail, and micro mobility options were available and convenient I wouldn't drive myself for day-to-day transportation.
5	Still want the option to drive myself places. Just want more efficient ways to get to popular places.
2	I try not to.
5	Only when forced to do so.
2	I come from a rural farm background. There doesn't really seem to be an easier answer out in farm land. :(
3	Not ideal as parking is expensive or unavailable. Businesses should be helping their staff pay for parking or provide a space to park.
No answer	5
5	Hopefully I will have the room in this area to write my thoughts. Why does this area (Idaho) neglect the future in transportation planning? When or before development occurs, planning does not require the developments to provide for the road widening that will be required as a result of the additional traffic. The only requirement is for a developer to provide a "driveway" into there subdivision. Street widening, traffic signals, sidewalks, etc should be required along the full frontage of the property BEFORE a building permit is issued in the subdivision. The cost can be spread over all future new occupants as they increase the traffic demand. Not the government. The same with impact fees. Other areas of the country with High Growth (which we have and will continue to have) also have impact fees in the neighborhood of \$14,000 per dwelling permit to cover the needs of fire, police, parks, etc. Spread the cost over the expansion, not the tax payers as a whole. Do the improvements before you need to close roads to do the improvements after the traffic becomes unbearable and inconvenient. Planning is important!
5	This is the most likely option. The speed of population growth surpasses the transportation planning abilities of Idaho. Increase my taxes if needed but don't procrastinate!
5	Without viable light rail and bus network, this will be the default. I have zero faith that either would come to fruition.
3	I'd prefer public not personal
5	Tend to drive for the next 10 years!
5	I work 12 or more hours per day M-F plus on-call hours. quick and direct travel is important to me.

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
<b>How likely would you be to use the following options, if each were available and convenient?</b>	
<b>Carpool / Vanpool (1 = very unlikely; 5 = very likely)</b>	
1	No wheelchair accessible options.
3	If it is a viable option, I will carpool, but often it often doesn't.
No answer	1 star
No answer	3
2	Difficult to coordinate and maintain
No answer	I am retired.
1	Again, no incentive for this except political reasons which most people don't care about
1	Fails for same reason as buses
1	Just no. Honestly, this is not a realistic solution to our transportation problems. Plus, let's be real, I don't trust over half the people that might be driving the vehicle. No thanks!
5	What a lovely thought. Sharing space with community members.
1	I need a flexible schedule, and there is no public transit backup if I deviate from carpool schedule.
4	The issue with carpool/vanpool is my hours can vary as to when my shift ends. If we are done with patients I am able to leave the clinic. That isn't always possible when sharing rides.
5	vanpool is good.
3	I would use this for social events, e.g., ride to bogus basin and/or other event.
1	I'm not the candidate for this due to location. I can bike to work in 15 minutes or drive in 10.
1	My schedule is really varied and I live near where I work so I think I wouldn't use this.
No answer	never
3	I would use this option specifically for accessing outdoor amenities (ski areas, hiking trailheads, parks, etc.)
2	Carpool becomes much more viable without kids.
4	I would love to do something like this, but needing to run home to let my dog out during the work day and planning for kids makes it prohibitive.
5	Obviously
3	This is only easy, IF you can make your schedules very definitively match each others down to start and end time.
1	Not flexible enough for scheduling.
5	My family always carpool to major events when we can or just out for dinner
2	Doesn't work well for those of us with car seats
No answer	1
5	If there were a ride share option near my home, I would use it.
3	depends on credentials and backgrounds of drivers
3	Doesn't fit my current life, unless just sharing a car with a friend.
5	Only with people that I know or apart of an organization I know. Being a women of color, we have to have safety first.
1	I value the solitude of driving by myself. I don't want others in my space then.
1	Please increase 44/state street to a 10 lane highway with limited access (on ramp/off ramp). Do this yesterday - just like the recommendations made back in 2011. Ada county has destroyed the livability of the area with no infrastructure development to handle the growth.
2	Possible, but again, doesn't fit my hours of employment.
1	Not wheelchair accessible
1	I live next door to commuter van but they won't pick me up unless I drive 10-20 miles to their stop. Ridiculous !
3	Sure I would do this, but how to coordinate? I don't want to join the existing vanpool as my schedule can vary.
1	This is only worth it if you use it everyday.
No answer	3

<b>Question / Quantitative Reponses</b>	<b>Comment</b> <small>(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)</small>
1	Too little flexibility for unforeseen circumstances.
5	I'm not likely to do this. BUt I know it worked well for some people who shared a long commute
No answer	2
3	Depends on who runs it and vets drivers.
2	Very few people work near me and on my varied schedule and live near me.
3	I have ridden a vanpool in the past and much prefer the bus.
2	It's expensive and difficult to coordinate schedules to make this option work.
No answer	1
3	Few if any options are available in the unincorporated areas
5	I've attempted to get on the list multiple times before and it's been unsuccessful both times. So not a really efficient system
4	Retired - will try to drive for 10 more years.
2	my 60 hour work week and variable overtime does not permit a normal carpool schedule.
5	Try to carpool as often as possible up to Bogus - would be nice to have a better system up there.
4	Next best bet to viable transit system; provide gas tax credits for primary driver/owner

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment  (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
<b>How do you see yourself shopping in the future?</b>	
<b>Go to a store (1 = avoid this as much as possible; 5 = will do this as much as possible)</b>	
3	Some things you just have to touch and feel. I can't ever see myself buying groceries online.
5	I don't trust others to pick my fresh fruits :) no bruised rotting fruit for me
2	Other than a grocery store and an occasional outing to a local gift shop that carries unique, fun jewelry art and gifts - I don't typically shop at physical stores.
5	Mainly for groceries or hardware.
No answer	5 star
No answer	5
5	Personal preference
5	Yes, I hope to buy local Produce, products.
5	I can't trust a store to select the best groceries, I have to be able to select it myself. I do not eat packaged food.
3	This really depends on store location (convenience of getting there) and type of product (e.g., I prefer to select my own produce and most other groceries)
3	For food. For non-perishable items, I see less shopping at brick and mortar locations.
5	I order online for home delivery and pick-up groceries, like Wal-Mart. But, I like shopping for clothes, household goods and furniture. It is a therapeutic activity for some people
5	If we are to ensure employment opportunities for all levels of education and ability, we can not eliminate retail and service jobs.
5	for groceries. For certain clothing and shoes that need to be tried on. I shop a lot online but hate having to return to find the right size/fit.
1	only to pick up produce during the winter months until online retailers can get this selection dialed in.
5	I never shop online. I like to see the product in person.
No answer	3
5	duh
5	I prefer to shop in person in a brick and mortar store. I only shop online because the stores no longer have any inventory in their physical buildings.
4	Just as long as Walmart stays in business!
4	I would like to see more local sourced open food markets with restaurants, places to meet and groceries.
4	Only because I can't afford delivery and don't trust them to get fresh items
No answer	2
5	Don't really like shopping on-line, but sometimes cannot find the products I want in store or am unwilling to brave the traffic/malls
No answer	4
4	I like to see new things and things in general before i buy them. hate the expense and co2 of shipping/returning online items.
No answer	Local
4	I would like this to remain an option. it would be sad if we have to buy everything online.
No answer	4
5	No personal shopper, drone nor robot will ever be able to select fresh produce and fresh fish/meat to my satisfaction

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment  (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
<b>How do you see yourself shopping in the future?</b>	
<b>Online – national retail (1 = avoid this as much as possible; 5 = will do this as much as possible)</b>	
5	I admit I do this often - it is convenient and often saves money and time - especially gas money.
No answer	5 star
No answer	5
5	Best option to get things not available in stores
3	Sometimes, yes. Dispensible income will be limited so I will Mostly buy food.
4	I prefer going to a physical store if I need to compare things; I prefer online shopping for when I already know exactly what I want.
3	I do extremely little shopping.
No answer	2
2	I have found this not to be very reliable. Especially Amazon has often "substituted" with a like item (ie, shirts, bedspreads, appliance models, etc) without asking first. then I have to send it back at my expense. a real hassle.
1	Too expensive
5	It will do out of necessity, but I return at least 50% of all purchases as they did not meet expectations as described online. Takes much more time, energy, effort, stamina, patience, and tenacity to buy an item that is actually what you thought it was.
2	depends, I can not purchase clothing online because of my oddly thin shape
5	I do this with Amazon now. I get some household goods from them.
No answer	4
3	Don't really like shopping on-line, especially clothes. Also, am gone a lot, and do not like things left on the porch.
No answer	3
4	I only like to buy online when i am already familiar with a product. Generally that means I have personal direct experience with a product.
5	The UPS store (where my mail box is) is my hardware store (and more). But not for everything.
1	Probably only a few times a year.
5	I use this alot already. Because of the explosive growth in our area I honestly avoid the interstate at all costs. Getting to the store and dealing with traffic and congestion always factors into my decision to drive to a store vs. ordering online even if it costs more.
No answer	3
2	Use it when quality/price are equal to/or better to storefront....and must provide FREE shipping/handling

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment  (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
<b>How do you see yourself shopping in the future?</b>	
<b>Online – local retail (1 = avoid this as much as possible; 5 = will do this as much as possible)</b>	
4	I am mostly interested in shopping at locations that source responsibly(e.g. Patagonia). I am more influenced by WHO I am purchasing from rather than HOW I am purchasing it. I anticipate many of my "millennial" constituents feel similarly.
5	I try to opt local whenever possible, and if there is delivery I am more likely to utilize it.
No answer	5 star
No answer	5
5	Works if practical
5	Would not shop online for most food products and/or restaurants, but would do so for other goods (e.g., clothing) not readily available locally/within walking/biking distance.
No answer	A necessity to maintain or regional character
2	I'm not aware of "local" businesses that offer delivery. Most are chains.
5	I do like to have food delivered
No answer	4
2	outside of pizza - no
1	Too expensive
5	It is an OK option, but not a priority or desirable shopping option.
3	Have heavy stuff delivered, like cases of water.
2	Not sure if you can trust a delivery driver anymore - they may kill or rape you
No answer	2
5	I sometimes order pizza, but that is about it. Also have had large/heavy items delivered. Again. I prefer shopping/buying at store where I can see, touch, smell. It would depend on which retailers offer delivery, cost etc
No answer	2
3	As I get older having shopping delivered may be appealing unless it is expensive to do so. Make things too expensive and people don't buy, period.
3	Depends on shipping costs
5	Eastside Cycle.
3	If there was a bike food delivery service I'd probably do that a few times a month.
No answer	Not interested... eat out or make your own meals.
No answer	3
1	Unless I'm incarcerated, will always travel to location for product

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment  (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
<b>How do you see yourself shopping in the future?</b>	
<b>Online – local pickup (1 = avoid this as much as possible; 5 = will do this as much as possible)</b>	
3	If this option saves me shipping costs I will do it, but if I can avoid driving and traffic I will.
No answer	1 star
No answer	5
1	Sorry but I like to pick out my own food...
2	Why not just go to the store in the first place.
1	Why wouldn't I just go to the store??
5	I grocery shop online for p/u at store
5	Once in a while I do so this
No answer	4
2	as long as I'm healthy, I'll go and see for myself locally
1	If I'm going to have to visit the store, I can do my own shopping. I can see that this would be a help to families with young children.
5	Convenient, if the store's inventory as reflected online is correct. If not, it is a huge waste of time.
1	I will be looking for the lowest carbon footprint option with no plastic.
1	I don't have a computer or smartphone
1	I don't care for the pick up feature. Items are not selected well in produce and bagging is wasteful with one item in a bag sometimes.
No answer	5
1	If I buy it online im not going to the store to pick it up.
5	Depending on cost, retailers etc, might use. this would be a good option when I do not want things left on porch or have to sign for
No answer	3
5	I have been using the pickup at Walmart on overland. It's a great service and the people are friendly
3	Not sure. I think i would be okay with this except produce. I don't want produce picked for me.
5	Kuna needs more delivery options. Even places that advertise delivery say we are often just outside of delivery boundaries-either too far out of Kuna or too far out of Meridian (we are on Hubbard-incorporated Kuna).
5	Doing lots of this already.
5	We utilize grocery home delivery and see a lot of value in it.
4	Depends on costs to ship to home
5	Best Buy, Trek store, REI.
1	its cheaper and healthier to make your own meals.
5	I use this alot already.
No answer	3
5	I do all these now.
5	This is my favorite
4	The stores are too big for my wife and I at our ages -
5	frequent user of online ordering with local self pickup.
3	May be a viable option if/when it's better than on-site and FREE

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment  (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
<b>How likely are these to impact your future housing and neighborhood choices?</b>	
<b>Housing Prices (5 stars = very likely; 1 star = very unlikely)</b>	
1	Our home is paid for. We are debt free.
1	I own my house free and clear of a mortgage
5	Property taxes
5	I am praying daily I don't get priced out of the neighborhood I love living in.
No answer	5 star
No answer	5
5	The number one issue for people wanting to buy
1	I will pay off my house next year
3	Housing prices always are a consideration... but we can't always live where we want to live.. our budget says where we can live and as we improve our lives we can move to a better area.
5	Given the current rising costs of housing, this is a major issue. Even if there is a recession, projections make it clear that I am not likely to enter home ownership until I am in my 40s. When you couple that with loan repayments/loan debt and rather stagnant wage growth, I feel permanent (not rent-based) housing may be unattainable in my lifetime.
3	I will pay more to drive less. Would rather live in half the space, but within walking or biking distance, not in the middle of a cornfield in a McMansion.
5	I will own my home and hope that I will be able to afford the taxes.
1	Own my home; not selling or moving.
5	Already hard to live where a person would choose to in the Treasure Valley
5	The Taxes need to stay down so people aren't forced to move
1	House is paid off with no plans to move.
5	I feel that southern Idaho is allowing a selling out longer term residents, to whatever brings quick/easy money. Quality of life is diminishing for those that have had roots in Boise for a longer period of time.
5	We arrived 2 years ago. With our wages we are barely hanging in with our mortgage/tax/household expense. Already if we had waited until now, we would not have been able to afford a home and possibly rent. What about the locals that have lived here their entire lives. How do they buy homes and pay rent?
No answer	NA, own home
5	When Property taxes become unreasonable, I'm moving.
5	Taxes hurt
5	Being in real estate I have many local families who can not buy in this market. Also the taxes are getting out of control. There needs to be something done about holding assessed values . Everytime a new or existing home sells it should not affect the guy next door.
3	Our mortgage is paid in full.
4	I am settled down, not a big consideration at the moment.
No answer	5
3	I own my home and won't be moving.
5	Duh! Since not even city employees are assured of a LIVING WAGE, many people are suffering in their living conditions (only onrroom, with creeps; overcrowded and with inconsiderate strangers; too far to walk or ride a bike; etc) and struggling to pay for housing, with nothing left for savings, emergencies, dead cars, etc etc. People who have lived here for many years can no longer afford to live here. Beiter/Boise dropped the ball on this issue years ago!

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
5	Something needs to be done to regulate how much a rental property can ask for monthly. The current market is a scam. A person(s) has to fill out a credit application and pay up to \$50/person renting; And that is for EVERY property that is applied for. The cost of rent is out of reach for most people and families. Its ridiculous! We are paying California rent prices on an Idaho wage that is barely even livable off of. There should only be one credit check that can be used for every property that someone looks at to rent, instead of paying for a new application fee for every property that a consumer looks at. The property owners are also asking for first and last rent, and a security deposit matching the rent. Plus, if you have a pet, there is an additional pet deposit and an additional monthly fee added to the monthly rent cost. It is impossible to afford what's being charged for anymore. Something really needs to be done. People can't afford the prices being required by the Landlords here, even if both parents work...and then have to pay daycare costs on top of that.
5	Making more than double min. wage and still cannot afford to buy in Boise.
1	I'm not moving - I own my home
5	Can't afford to even sell and move at current prices. It's untenable for most of those born and raised here. Lot of people moving in with money, born and raised have to start moving out.
2	Luck to have purchase my house 3+ years ago in the East End where I have lived off and on since 1955 and return in 1976! It is close to the Greenbelt and Broadway stores...I paid dearly but downsizing and a smaller lot was what I wanted.
5	There is a housing crisis in Boise, especially the North End. Many of us who have been here for decades are being priced out of our own neighborhood with increasing rent, mortgage and property tax rates.
5	Property taxes rising at 3 percent a year could pose a problem to me if I'm on a fixed income.
5	If property taxes keep going up 3% a year, my income may not be able to keep up.
5	Your taxes will be making me sell in the very near future. Back to Twin Falls or look for a non existent small town
5	I would love to live closer to work downtown, but home prices prohibit me from doing so.
5	The average person can barely find housing. All of the 100,000 to 200,000 homes are disappearing. The housing market is insane.
5	I'm already in "ren-controlled" housing at half my income.
No answer	5
5	I bought at the LOW end when I moved to Boise. My house is still on the low end, but in a desirable area. Getting very concerned that property taxes might make it unaffordable, but where would I move if it is already on the low end? Also concerned about increased traffic andthe effects it has on neighborhoods
5	Property taxes are increasing at an unsustainable/unaffordable rate.
No answer	4
5	Housing is already terrible. 6 weeks home shopping living out of an RV as an early retiree. Would not have been able to find a house had we not been able to park at a friends. Rent in everything is ridiculous. People just raping people, though you may call it capitalism, it's really exploitation.
5	Housing is out of control. It's unacceptable. It's becoming too expensive for younger people who haven't purchased homes to find somewhere to live. I know many people who are professionals who have to have multiple roommates.
5	I doubt that I would ever buy a house. Too much money, too much work, too ties to one place.
5	For myself I hope that there will always be an option to purchase a bigger yard or a bigger house. Not everybody is willing to live in a home where you can reach out and touch the house next door. also not everybody wants to live in an apartment or condo.

<b>Question / Quantitative Reponses</b>	<b>Comment</b> <small>(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)</small>
5	Grew up in Idaho and always thought I would never leave the area where I live. Now due to the explosion of growth, encroachment on rural living/farmlands/traffic nightmare my husband and I actually today believe we do not want to retire in the Treasure Valley. Our adult sons are not able to afford a home in the area they grew up in. The mismanagement of growth has forced Idahoans in the area to be pushed out.
No answer	5
3	Why is this question under "Transportation?"
5	Housing is out pricing our quality of life.
1	I am retired. Have a reverse mortgage.
4	WAY OUT OF LINE - JUST GREED
No answer	Again, I don't plan to move again; these things should not affect me.
5	desirable places, with easy walk/bike/transit access are being overpriced right now. This needs to change.
1	Our home is completely paid for and it's our intention to live here until we can no longer care for ourselves.
4	Currently own a home that I plan to stay in for the remainder of my life
No answer	Plan to stay where I currently live
5	Going to force me out of the urban areas and to a viable-farming rural site

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
<b>How likely are these to impact your future housing and neighborhood choices?</b>	
<b>Transportation Costs (5 stars = very likely; 1 star = very unlikely)</b>	
3	Commute times much more than fuel costs.
5	I'm willing to pay more for housing in a location with transportation options.
5	I am willing to pay a little more in rent to save on gas and time in traffic.
No answer	5 star
No answer	5
4	Always a problem
3	It's just okay
5	As far as Idaho and Ada County region goes, I see this being a major issue unless we figure out better transportation strategies. At the moment, I don't see many positive options, even with Boise pushing forward with transportation initiatives. Without buy-in from other cities, ACHD and ITD, I just don't see change happening. Again, only until it hurts--only when people feel the pain of traveling by car alone--will we likely see change.
3	I work from home, so commute matters little to me. My primary concern is time/distance to bike to places after work.
5	Commuting has become very bad in the past decade, but we continue to do nothing related to mass transit. Stop adding lanes to roads that are just going to fill up - there are so many examples of this not working in other areas that we don't need to continue on the same terrible trajectory.
5	IF YOU WOULD SYNCHRONIZE THE LIGHTS TO PEAK TRAFFIC TIMES, IT WOULD CUT DOWN ON AIR POLLUTION, INCREASE FUEL EFFICIENCY, REDUCE COMMUTE TIMES, REDUCE WEAR AND TEAR ON VEHICLES AND REDUCE GENERAL ANNOYANCE OF HAVING TO STOP EVERY BLOCK.
1	I ride my bike to avoid these costs
4	Commute times. Have gotten worse since I moved here 20 years ago. I blame it on poor planning, and unwillingness to spend \$\$ up front on roads, to benfite, and cost sometimes less down the road.
4	I think there should have been something about the infrastructure and lack of it. It is not keeping up with the people that are moving here. The Valley needs to look at having the developers put in the infrastructure or else their permit is not granted. They are just going to pass the cost onto the buyer, but that way it is there when the traffic starts to build.
5	No toll roads!
3	commute times are my main concern. I believe that Meridian has done a great job with trying to keep up with all the growth.
4	I am settled down, not a big consideration at the moment.
No answer	3
3	I am eligible for retirement in 4 years
5	with the bus situation, the timing/schedule situation is bad for everything I do. Also, having no buses going directly to /from the university or to the other side of town--so forcing riders to go downtown and to change buses totally sucks. Not to mention not issuing transfers, like normal city buses, forces me to pay a double fare even if I ride my bike the whole, long way home. Boise needs some experts from Chicago or New York to design the system here, NOT some local yokel!!
2	Transportation costs are directly related to housing prices. You 'drive until you can afford the mortgage' resulting in longer commutes and increased transportation costs for households who cannot afford to live closer to employment centers
5	Growth needs to be limited or we're all going to be stuck in traffic like many big cities around the bay.
5	If fuel prices soar, then my cost to drive to work does too. Also, more traffic = more fuel consumption. SW Boise commute to downtown has become ridiculous with all the new construction.
1	3

<b>Question / Quantitative Reponses</b>	<b>Comment</b>  (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
3	Transportation costs are more likely to affect the trips and how many I make rather than where I live. But if the choices made it easy and were low cost to get to where I like to go, it might encourage me to think about where I live. I am in easy walking area of dog friendly areas. If I needed to take a bus, drive further etc, that would influence choice n where to live. I also like dark skies, getting harder to find
5	Treasure Valley really needs a good public bus system. Current system isn't working.
No answer	2
5	Hopefully technology, well educated voters, and the end of government corruption, will bring improvements that will reduce cost and reliance on fossil fuels. However, population numbers will snarl traffic unless some investments in effective commuter mass transit (e.g., high speed monorail) are made.
5	ITD and ACHD Are doing a poor job of keeping up with growth. What used to take me 15 minutes now takes 45 minutes plus.
1	I haul the RV where it needs to go.
5	The noise of cars, and traffic hurts my brain. I really only want to be able to bike 2 miles to work.
4	Boise fuel seems to always be higher than other major cities in the West, even Pocatello.
No answer	3
5	I paid more to live in Boise to avoid spending more on Transportation.
1	I am retired. Have a reverse mortgage.
4	increased fuel costs will drive people to living closer and to demand better wages. But to also demand more options, as they are doing right now.
5	Are you kidding? Are you even asking? Costs will sky-rocket, never reduce and destroy many businesses

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment <small>(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)</small>
<b>How likely are these to impact your future housing and neighborhood choices?</b>	
<b>Vulnerability (neighborhood vulnerability to flood, fire, etc.) (5 stars = very likely; 1 star = very unlikely)</b>	
5	I would not buy a house by the river,
No answer	5 star
No answer	5
5	I will choose the safest place within my budget every time
5	Infrastructure is a vulnerability due to it not being anywhere near ready for this growth
5	Lack of infrastructure, like we have here, is a vulnerability. Particularly transportation. We need to improve but unfortunately we seem to be falling further behind. Something bold is needed!! Options do exist that could really make a huge difference, if we were willing to do what is right.
5	crime rates and density go hand and hand. Do not like high density pushed into my neighborhood.
5	I assume crime?
3	Generally, Boise is safe. Fire is probably the only major issue that would likely affect the city, but I feel like Boise Fire, Eagle Fire, and NACFR have a solid agreement and enough stations and services to protect Boise. It's less than ideal, but it's not bad.
5	The risk for wildfire in Ada County is predicted to escalate, which the City of Boise needs to address by funding additional fire stations to keep up with growth and to have an effective fire fighting force when a wildfire strikes. Otherwise we don't stand a chance.
4	Vulnerability to crime is always a concern. For my family, it is best to be self reliant on our own land and away from the crowds and crime of cities.
1	I live in a dorm right now, so very vulnerable. I'd rather live out of the city in an area where neighbors can look out for each other and help in emergencies
3	I am settled down, not a big consideration at the moment.
No answer	5
1	Not a concern - Boise north end
5	Based on future uncertainty if climate changes are not addressed.
5	Unpoliced speeders and constant aggressive driving--very dangerous to humans and cyclists.
3	civic unrest and violence unless society gets back to teaching proper respect for others and less "me-ism"
3	Won't buy housing that destroys fragile ecosystems
No answer	2
5	I would not chose to live in fire/flood prone area, and think Boise needs to do much better management of allowing development in those area
5	Housing developments in the foothills should be severely limited due to fire danger, landslides & cutting off wildlife migration. Flood insurance should be required for all home in the flood plain. Not only those homes still with a mortgage.
No answer	1
5	I want to live in a safe, quiet area with lots of space around my house. I want to get away from the noise and the crime where I live now.
3	Don't know enough about the potential vulnerabilities of our neighborhood already to have a well formed opinion on this for the future.
1	I'm by the Greenbelt and if the Boise River is going to flood, I would move the RV.
4	We need stronger land use regulations so builders don't build homes in a flood plain.
No answer	4
1	I am retired. Have a reverse mortgage. Not subject to floods. All housing is vulnerable to fire.
3	continued foothills/riverbed/ farmland development will have a negative effect on our lives. smart building, density, and access improve our lives.

<b>Question / Quantitative Reponses</b>	<b>Comment</b>  (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
4	As climate change effects daily life more and more this will be more of a problem but also become more inevitable
3	Urban terrorism most likely vulnerability we face in Idaho as those who HAVE continue emigrating to the state, only to be followed by those who HAVE NOT

Question / Quantitative Responses	Comment <small>(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)</small>
<b>How likely are these to impact your future housing and neighborhood choices?</b>	
<b>Water (availability and cost of water for landscaping, gardening, etc.) (5 stars = very likely; 1 star = very unlikely)</b>	
1	I would change the landscape before I would move from the neighborhood I enjoy.
3	Landscaping is extremely important for visual relief and aesthetic enjoyment.
No answer	5 star
No answer	5
5	Safe clean water is a very high priority
1	I will happily xeriscape, and the community should encourage that and lead by example.
3	I am concerned that you are not considering availability of water when adding new subdivisions. Of course Suez says they have enough... they will just raise prices as it becomes more scarce. It's gov't job to see that we don't overbuild for our water supply and consider drought years in the equation.
2	I don't want to mow my lawn so I am okay with not having one.
5	Given climate change/global warming, I am confident that we'll deal with a future that requires us to think creatively about how we use water and energy. As far as water goes, I think we'll begin to see more homes and public spaces move toward zero-scaping and thoughtful reuse designs. Temperatures are only going to rise for our area and region, meaning we'll see less snow pack and less precipitation in the area. Slightly related: Older generations, such as Baby Boomers, did not set up future generations, such as millennials and Gen Z, for success. It's a shame that we're even having to debate whether climate change is a "legitimate" issue or not when we are literally living through the consequences of abhorrent environmental stewardship from generations before.
1	I don't understand why people grow so much grass in a desert. So ignorant and wasteful.
4	I don't see a need for change here, current irrigation where available is good.
3	I'm happy to have a smaller yard or no yard to cut down my need for water consumption
4	I have a xeriscaped lawn and love it - would recommend highly to neighbors and others.
3	This will be a problem as many "gardeners" are misinformed and uninformed on the quantity and frequency of water use for various plants and grass.
3	It would be good to get rid of all these lawns
No answer	All of these apply. This is not the town I moved to. Will probably leave Idaho for elsewhere.
2	I can do without a garden. CC&Rs would need to change to support xeriscaping.
5	when utility rates become unreasonable, I'm moving.
No answer	I rent an apartment. Doesn't apply to me.
1	Well so far we are very fortunate to have the water needed for the irrigation. However as we grow this will indeed have an impact.
3	I am settled down, not a big consideration at the moment.
No answer	2
5	The water is not drinkable as piped--it stinks of chemicals!! I have to buy filters for it. Also, since Boise sold out the water supply to a foreign corporation, they charge me a lot of money every month even if I only use \$1.50 worth of actual water!
5	If I didn't have flood irrigation my yard would be dead. Can't afford city water.
3	I have a new little house and tore up the grass and have planted trees and shrubs and plants...
4	cost to heat the house in winter I can't afford a gas furnace would probably be just as high anyway
5	BIGTIME. It's awful to be rationed. However, I am careful with my water usage.
5	We live in a desert, how long will the water last? My water pressure is already low.
No answer	2

Question / Quantitative Reponses	Comment  (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected)
5	I currently have irrigation for outside water. I wouldn't water my lawn with city water because of the cost.
1	Despite public perception, Meridian has a large ground water supply. If droughts cause irrigation to become a problem I would just adjust my landscaping.
5	This is an issue far broader than just watering a lawn and some flowers - nowhere near enough consideration is given as development continues to expand.
4	I do like having a garden, and an area for the dog to romp in the back yard. The garden is not critical for my choice. but a yard for the dog might be
5	Promote less grass in yards & more native landscaping to reduce water use.
5	More mouse box housing is still using up our water supply and Aquifer. Slow the growth down a little, please.
No answer	2
5	We've already taken measures to clean up our drinking water which is very heavy in chlorine. It's like standing over a swimming pool. Very concerned about water quality, availability and cost.
6	With this many people living in our high desert climate we will be struggling for water in a few years just like the LA basin.
5	Boise's great water is one of the key reasons we live here.
1	Sagebrush is my favorite plant. The natural landscape surrounding Boise is gorgeous and it is sad that people ruin it with grass.
5	The growth pouring into our area and the thousands of new wells being drilled are taxing our own aquifer.
No answer	5
3	Irrigation water isn't universal. I did look at it while buying a house. Got a smaller lot and still pay more than I should for water. Irrigation should be infilling now.
4	Water decisions should not be made on costs alone!
5	I would be interested in how water availability in the treasure valley will be effected by population growth. Please avoid being another California. That state is a train wreck in terms of infrastructure planning
1	I am retired. Have a reverse mortgage. The only control I have over water costs is using less. Don't plan to move unless it is to a nursing home.
4	HOA's requiring green lawns should be outlawed. This profligate use of a precious resource to make a neighborhood "look" better is ridiculous. We need to protect this resource, which is much more valuable than oil.
5	Wasting water on grass and bushes in insane. growing your own food is is the only intelligent use of water outside.
3	Idaho's next major reality check will be our first drought and lowering of the aquifer below our current source wells...gonna be a real nut-buster when it happens. Water rationing is coming for both irrigation as well as municipal water sources

Open-Ended Comments Received Via Email	Staff Response
<p>I was trying to find the comment section that a Sept 22 news article asked citizens to take 5 minutes to answer a few quick, interactive questions re the future of the Treasure Valley. If that website is no longer available, here are my suggestions:</p> <p>1. Strategic planning and FAST action for relief for the area's daylong congested and unsafe roads and highways is sadly lacking. Improving the transportation movement needs to be put on the fast track or the Boise area will never catch up with the population growth. I know what I am talking about because I have seen first hand the problems Virginia now has in its fast growing population areas. The Treasure Valley congestion is as bad as the large metro areas. I wait 3 times for the light to change before getting through some intersections. We are talking about highway safety here, not just the wasting of productive time that has an economic impact.</p> <p>2. I have not heard of any discussion re using the rail line that parallels I 84, East to West, for a commuter rail. This should be at the top of the transportation improvement list!!!!</p> <p>3. Star government is struggling with what to do with the increased traffic on State Street through its town. It seems to me there are only two options: tear down the town (which is not very practical), relocate the buildings, and double lane the highway so motorists have an efficient way to get through the town on the northern end of the T Valley; OR reroute that thoroughfare on Floating Feather Road further north and START to buy the land for a four-lane highway.</p> <p>The Californians are moving here by the thousands and the area leaders keep underestimating this impact. The norther end of the valley needs a four lane highway to accommodate the influx.</p> <p>I worked with land use in my 50 year career, so I know a little something and hope that the leaders will finally wake up to their challenge.</p> <p>--</p> <p>Following reply:</p> <p>Thank you, Amy.</p> <p>I moved out here two years ago after I retired from Virginia Tech to help care for four your grandchildren. I have been horrified to see how far behind the valley is in its planning and land use, which I hope will also include the preservation of family farms/ranches, which is good for the values that open space offer.</p> <p>The valley will have a very difficult time ever catching up with the rapid population growth if they don't INTENTIONALLY focus on moving fast.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. The online survey has closed, but I will add your comments to those we received.</p> <p>I appreciate you taking the time to share your thoughts and concerns.</p> <p>Regards, Amy Luft</p>
<p>Instead of asking how we can/will alter our habits and behaviors to accommodate the increases in traffic and population, how about asking how <b>ACHD</b> can better accommodate the increases in population and traffic. I have lived a lot of places over the years and have never seen a more incompetent and inept traffic control system. Whoever is in charge of traffic flow and stop light control in the Boise Metro should be fired!!!! I have never seen a more retarded stop light system. The function of stoplights is largely responsible for the poor traffic flow in this metro area and could be alleviated easily with a more efficient system and a more competent person in charge at ACHD.</p>	<p>Thank you for your remarks. They will be included with our survey responses and have been forwarded to ACHD.</p> <p>Regards, Amy Luft</p>

Open-Ended Comments Received Via Email	Staff Response
<p>I took the survey but had hoped for the ability to actually give comments and preferences. I am stymied by the lack of a North-South expressway in Meridian. It seems odd and wasteful to me that we continually put more and more traffic on 'roads' that were designed to move small amounts of traffic. Because of the heavy traffic, weight, noise, heat, speeds, these roads constantly need work and cause upset among the residents who live even within a mile. Where I live, nobody uses Eagle because it is hideous and dangerous yet it is right next to our housing area. Eagle is used by people 'coming' to Meridian or Boise from other areas. Same with 5 mile, with Maple Grove, Meridian Rd. Why on earth has no official decided to bite the bullet and get an expressway so that we are not 'stuck' constantly trying to get places on the busiest Road in our State? I never go to Eagle, close as it is, because from where I live, my only ability is to traverse Eagle Rd all the way down. You can continue making it wider and we can continue bearing the taxes but why on earth are there no expressways to let us all go places w/o beating up these roads? Hope this can be of use. I'm already looking to leave Meridian because as a retired person, the property and road and library and school taxes are running me out of a place I could easily afford 7 years ago. This is crazy.</p>	<p>Thank you for participating in the survey and for your additional feedback.</p> <p>A north-south expressway to extend State Highway 16 from Chinden Boulevard to Interstate 84 is in the planning stages, but construction of that highway is currently unfunded, as transportation funding has not kept pace with transportation needs.</p> <p>COMPASS will continue to work with the Idaho Transportation Department, Ada County Highway District, and others to address this, and other, unfunded transportation needs in the Treasure Valley.</p> <p>Thank you again for sharing your concerns.</p> <p>Regards,</p> <p>Amy Luft</p>